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Surveying and monitoring of black petrels on Great Barrier Island, 1998/99
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ABSTRACT

An endemic seabird, the black petrel (Procellaria parkinsoni) breeds on Little and Great Barrier Islands, New Zealand in burrows found 300 metres above sea level. The main breeding area on Great Barrier Island is around the highest point, Mount Hobson. During the 1998/99 breeding season, 202 burrows were identified (of which 197 were study burrows) in this area and intensively monitored during January and February. There were 142 study burrows used by breeding pairs, 42 by non-breeding adults and 13 empty burrows. There were 109 chicks present in April, which meant an overall breeding success rate of 77%. In addition three more census grids were established in the original areas. These and the 1995/96 census grids were intensively monitored. A total of 91 burrows were located within the six grids and of these, 65 burrows were being used for breeding. Extrapolating from these grid burrows estimates the black petrel population around the peak of Mount Hobson to consist of 4062 breeding birds and at least 719 non-breeding birds.
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1. Introduction

The work carried out during the 1998/99 breeding season is a continuation of the survey and monitoring study begun in 1995/96 (Bell & Sim 1998a), and continued over subsequent seasons (Bell & Sim 1998b, 2000). The continued monitoring on Great Barrier Island will help define the black petrel population dynamics and determine any effects that long-line fishing, predation and habitat disturbance may have on the overall population. A more accurate population estimate will be able to be made. In the future, this information can be used to develop an accurate population model that will determine any effects the long-line fishing industry (and other mortality factors) might have on the population.

2. Objectives

As a continuation of monitoring during previous breeding seasons, this study will provide more data to establish current population trends of the Great Barrier Island black petrel colony, and assist in determining causes and timing of mortality.

In summary, the objectives were to:

- Monitor a sample of black petrel burrows. Band all adults present in the burrows during January and February and all the remaining fledgling chicks present in April.
- Determine breeding success in the sample of long-term study burrows. Causes of breeding failure, such as predation or disappearance of parents were noted.
- Establish a further replicate census grid (40 x 40 m) in each of the three environmental strata types.
- Monitor the three census grids established in the 1995/96 season. Band and recapture as many breeding and non-breeding birds present as possible.
- Determine a population estimate by extrapolating from the grid areas to the main Mount Hobson breeding area.
- Search other areas thought to be suitable for black petrel breeding.

3. Methods

The three census grids set up around Hirakimata during the 1995/96 black petrel breeding season (see Bell & Sim 1998a, figs 1 and 2) were re-surveyed to locate any new burrows and to determine this seasons occupancy. Three new grids (also 1600 m²) were established in close proximity to the original grids.
(Palmers Track, Kauri Dam and South Fork Track), but off the track system (Fig. 1), for greater representation of each study site. The location of these grid sites are chosen on three main ridges which face different directions (east, south and north-east). As a result, each grid has quite distinct microclimates and in some cases, vegetation cover. The information gathered from these census grids was used to determine a population and density estimate for black petrels around the Mount Hobson summit area (30 ha).

During the first session in the field (from 25 January to 28 February), the number of study burrows was increased from the 100 selected during the 1996/97 season (Bell & Sim 1998a) to 197 (see Figs 1 and 2). All study burrows are accessible either through the main entrance or via an excavated opening through the roof into the chamber. This opening is covered with a piece of plywood, soil and debris. During the first monitoring session, any adult present in the burrow was removed, banded, weighed and returned to the burrow. Any eggs or chicks in the burrows were noted if present, and the lack of eggs or chicks identified non-breeder birds. The study burrows were monitored again between 14–20 April and all remaining fledgling chicks were banded. This information was used to determine breeding success and continue the collection of long-term population dynamics data.

Figure 1. Location of the burrows and census grids around the summit area of Great Barrier Island. Note the numbers of the burrows found within the study grids are shown in Fig. 2.
Rat index lines were set up in February as directed in Cunningham & Moors (1993). A peanut butter and rolled oat mixture was used as bait. Index lines were set up in the same three areas as the census grids; Hirakimata Track, South Fork Track and Palmers Track. Rats were also trapped around the hut. Observations were also made on feral cat and rat predation and pig rooting.

### 4. Results

A total of 91 burrows were found in the 6 census grids (Table 1, Fig. 2). Of these, 65 were being used by breeding birds, 23 used by non-breeding birds, and 3 burrows were empty.

Breeding black petrels used 142 of the 197 study burrows, 42 burrows were being used by non-breeding birds, and 13 burrows were empty. There had been 33 failures, including an egg that was laid on the surface outside the burrow entrance. In April, 109 chicks were present and this corresponds to a breeding success of 77% (Table 2).

There were 274 adults present in the study burrows with 151 already banded and 123 banded this season (Appendix 1). Eight other adults were caught around the summit area. The average weight of breeding adults was 759 g, of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>BURROW TYPE</th>
<th>GRID 1</th>
<th>GRID 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kauri Dam</td>
<td>Empty*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Breeding</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-breeding</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palms Track</td>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Breeding</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-breeding</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Forks</td>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Breeding</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-breeding</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual totals</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* These burrows have been used in previous seasons for breeding, but have no activity this year. The data is included in breeding success estimates if the burrow corresponds to one of the 197 study burrows.
Kauri Dam grid one (KDG1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>81</th>
<th>67</th>
<th>103</th>
<th>104</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>79</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>77</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kauri Dam grid two (KDG2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>132</th>
<th>143</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>134</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>133</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Palmers Track grid one (PTG1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>63</th>
<th>126</th>
<th>32</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>96</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>124</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>122</td>
<td>121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Palmers Track grid two (PTG2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>161</th>
<th>162</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>158</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>156</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

South Fork Track grid one (SFG1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>183</th>
<th>111</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>192</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>113</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>114</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

South Fork Track grid two (SFG2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>141</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Location of burrows found in each grid site.
TABLE 2. BREEDING SUCCESS AND CAUSES OF MORTALITY.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of study burrows*</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggs —laid</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—predation (rat)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—crushed/pushed out (flight)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—abandoned</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—infertile</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—dead embryo (various stages)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicks —hatched</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—predation (rat)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—predation (cat)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—died (disease)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—died (starvation)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—died (unknown causes)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—fledged†</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall breeding success (%)</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* As the aim of this study has changed since the first season (1995/96), more study burrows have been added each season. The final aim is to have at least 250 study burrows by 1999/2000.
† All chicks still present at the end April trip. It is assumed all will fledge safely.

Non-breeding adults was 689.6 g and all adults combined was 744 g (Table 3). In April, 109 chicks were present in the study burrows and all were banded (Appendix 1).

Both parents were identified in 92 of the breeding study burrows, with 31 where only one parent was identified and 20 burrows where no parents were identified (Appendix 1). Of the non-breeding burrows, there were 23 burrows where two or more birds were identified, 10 where one was identified and 8 where no birds were present during the day, but the burrows were active at night (Appendix 1).

Extrapolating from the census grid data, to the 30-hectare area around the summit area of Mount Hobson, the black petrel population is estimated at 4781 birds (Table 4). This is made up of 2031 breeding pairs (i.e. 4062 birds) and 719 non-breeding birds.

TABLE 3. AVERAGE WEIGHT OF BREEDING AND NON-BREEDING ADULTS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breeding adult</td>
<td>761.7</td>
<td>776.0</td>
<td>754.0</td>
<td>759.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-breeding adult</td>
<td>710.0</td>
<td>680.2</td>
<td>679.0</td>
<td>689.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All adults combined</td>
<td>728.3</td>
<td>755.2</td>
<td>734.1</td>
<td>744.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4. Population Estimate of Black Petrels Around the Hirakimata Area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Density (No./sq. ha)</th>
<th>Total Study Area Size (sq. ha)</th>
<th>Population Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Breeding Pairs</td>
<td>Non-breeding Pairs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995/96 Total</td>
<td>45.75</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995/96 Grand total (Breeders and non-breeders)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996/97 Total</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>22.92</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996/97 Grand total (Breeders and non-breeders)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997/98 Total</td>
<td>68.75</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997/98 Grand total (Breeders and non-breeders)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 1998/99 Population Estimate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Breeding Pairs</th>
<th>Non-breeding Pairs</th>
<th>Total Study Area Size (sq. ha)</th>
<th>Population Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kauri Dam</td>
<td>84.375</td>
<td>31.25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>843.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmers Track</td>
<td>78.125</td>
<td>21.875</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>781.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Fork</td>
<td>40.625</td>
<td>18.75</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>406.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998/99 Total</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>23.96</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2031.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998/99 Grand total (Breeders and non-breeders)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4781 individuals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Also extrapolating from the census grids, the ‘useable’ burrow density was 95 burrows/ha. Of these, 68 burrows/ha are used for breeding, 24 burrows/ha for non-breeding and 3 burrows/ha were empty. This relates to a ratio of 1:3 for non-breeding to breeding burrows and 1:31 ratio of empty to occupied burrows. There were also 14 ‘potential’ burrows within these six grids, which have not been included in any burrow estimate. We define ‘potential’ burrows as those which have been investigated and/or preliminarily dug out by a bird, but are not yet being used by breeding or non-breeding petrels.

Rat index lines were completed in February. The rat index figures are shown in Table 5. The rat index figures were calculated using the formulas devised by Cunningham & Moors (1995). Seventeen rats in total were caught; and both

### Table 5. Rat Index Line Data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No. of Rats Caught</th>
<th>No. of Traps Sprung</th>
<th>Total Trap Nights</th>
<th>‘Lost’ Trap Nights</th>
<th>Corrected Trap Nights</th>
<th>Rat Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1997/98 (total)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>548.5</td>
<td>7.1 rats/100 trap nights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Fork, Feb. 1998/99</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>145.5</td>
<td>1.4 rats/100 trap nights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmers Track, Feb. 1998/99</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>143.5</td>
<td>1.4 rats/100 trap nights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirakimata Track, Feb. 1998/99</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>9.6 rats/100 trap nights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 1998/99 (total)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>4.0 rats/100 trap nights</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Conservation Services Levy contract report*
*Rattus rattus* (all three colour morphs were caught) and *R. exulans* were caught (Table 5, Appendix 2).

This season was the first occasion that a feral cat (or cats) predated black petrel chicks. Chicks from two study burrows were predated. Another black petrel chick corpse was found on the Shortcut Track (also inside the study area), but this chick was not from one of the study burrows in the area. There was also several feral cat predated corpses of Cook’s petrel (*Pterodroma cookii*) found around the summit area (two being directly adjacent to black petrel burrow entrances). A ginger feral cat was seen near the lower Kauri Dam, but trapping was not successful. Other cat trapping around the summit area was also not successful. Further cat predation sign was found along the Coopers Castle Track. Pig sign was also found along this track as well as along the lower sections of the South Fork Track.

The general public visit Mount Hobson over the black petrel breeding season and approximately 500 visited during January and February and at least 200 over March and April. Two school groups (the local Okiwi School and a visiting school from Tamaranui) were given introductory talks about the black petrel project during the first monitoring period. As usual, even those petrels with burrows directly adjacent to the track, did not appear to be disturbed by the high numbers of visitors.

## 5. Discussion

Monitoring of the three census grids established in the 1995/96 season (Bell & Sim 1998a) continued in the 1998/99 season (Table 1) along with the three additional census grids (one in each of the three distinct environmental areas). In the 1998/99 season, there were 91 burrows within the six grids (65 breeding, 23 non-breeding and three empty burrows). The previously established grids are resurveyed each season and as a result 4 new burrows were located in Kauri Dam grid one, and 2 new burrows were also found in South Forks grid one. As this study continues the number of burrows within the grids will only change slightly as new birds return to their natal area and start to excavate new burrows, or burrows collapse and become unusable.

In the 1998/99 season there were 14 potential burrows (burrows that have been investigated, or preliminarily dug out by black petrels) identified within the six grids. Two were first identified in the 1997/98 season and were still not being used this year. The remaining 12 burrows were newly identified this season.

Comparing the ‘old’ and ‘new’ grids shows distinctly different numbers of burrows in some areas. Both Kauri Dam grids one and two had 19 burrows, but Palmers Track grid one had 22 while the new Palmer Track grid two only had 11 and South Fork grid one has 16 burrows and the new South Fork grid two only had 4. Both Palmers Track grid two and South Fork grid two are in areas of more steep terrain with tangled vegetation. These areas may be less optimal environment for digging burrows or may be difficult for the black petrels to get through.
Extrapolating from the 6 census grids to the Mount Hobson summit area (30 ha) gives the population estimate of the Great Barrier Island black petrels to be 4781 birds (2031 breeding pairs (4062 birds) and 719 non-breeding birds). This estimate is less than previous years (5188 in 1996/97 and 5250 in 1997/98) and this is likely to be the result of increasing the number of study grids from 3 to 6. Three more grids will be set up again during the next season, and so the population estimate is likely to lower again. Replicating the grids will give a better idea of burrow density within each distinct area and as a result give a more statistically sound population estimate for the entire study area.

As this population estimate is only for the area directly around Mount Hobson it does not give an accurate estimate for the entire black petrel population on Great Barrier. To determine this, more study grids should be set up on other high points around Mount Hobson (for example Hog Back, Mount Heale, and Mount Matawhero). Also the status of the population present in the Northern Block (Tataweka) should be checked and possibly monitored regularly. This population has not been monitored since Scofield (1989) visited the area.

As in all the previous years, the burrows were occupied at a much higher ratio than found in previous studies. Imber (1987) and Scofield (1989) both had ratios of about 1:1 empty to occupied burrows. For this season the ratio is 1:31, which is also higher than the previous years (1995/96 was 1:1, 1996/97 was 1:7, and 1997/98 was 1:25). This is because of the low number of empty burrows this year, and also a feature of the higher number of burrows in the six grids. This occupation rate is probably due to a higher number of returning birds during the 1998/99 breeding season.

The ratio of non-breeding burrows to breeding burrows was 1:3. This is higher than the 1:1 from both Imber (1987) and Scofield (1989), and higher than the two previous seasons of 1995/96 (1:2) and 1997/98 (1:2). However, it is identical to 1996/97 (1:3). This is probably due to more breeding birds this season compared to 1995/96, 1997/98, and the Imber and Scofield seasons, and similar numbers to the 1996/97 season (see Table 1).

In addition to the 100 long-term study burrows selected during the 1996/97 season (Bell & Sim 1998a) a further 97 burrows were established. All 197 study burrows were intensively monitored this season. Of these, 142 were used for breeding, 42 were used by non-breading birds and 13 burrows were empty. Two eggs were predated by rats (1.5%), 5 eggs were abandoned (3.5%), 12 eggs were infertile (8.5%), 6 embryos died at various stages within the egg (4%) and 1 egg was crushed during a fight between adults. Two chicks were predated by rats (1.5%), another 2 chicks were predated by a feral cat(s) (1%), and 3 chicks died of unknown causes (2%). This equates to an overall breeding success rate of 77% compared to 50% in 1977, 60% in 1978 (Imber 1987), or 62% in 1988/89 (Scofield 1989). This breeding success rate is lower than the rates for both the preceding seasons of this study—1996/97 was 78% (Bell & Sim 1998a) and 1997/98 was 84% (Bell & Sim 1998b). This is the result of more egg failures and chick deaths than in the previous years.

Each breeding season has had very different mortality factors. The 1996/97 season was predominately effected by rat predation (6.5%), but that has not been such an important factor in the last two years (1% in 1997/98 and 1.4% in 1998/99). The percentage of infertile and abandoned eggs was higher this
several of the infertile eggs were located in burrows with 'new' partnerships (after an adult death, disappearance or divorce event, Appendix 1) and therefore may be due to one of the birds being not ready for breeding yet, or both birds 'practising' breeding. The number of embryos dying within the egg was less than last season (4% compared to 8%). Chicks are very vulnerable at the pipping stage to changes in humidity and temperature and are affected by loss of water (Warham 1990). In 1997/98 the weather was very hot and dry whereas this season the weather was cooler and had more frequent periods of rain (or drizzle). There were similar percentages of chicks hatched both in 1997/98 (85%) and 1998/99 (82%), but more chicks were predated or died of unknown causes in 1998/99. This resulted in a lower breeding success for this season (77%) compared to last year (84%). Despite the range of mortality factors, this year appears to be a good breeding season with a large number of chicks surviving to fledging.

This season a total of 283 adult black petrels were found around the summit area this season and 274 of these were found in the study burrows with 151 already banded. Most adults were weighed. The average adult weight (of all adults combined) was 744 g (compared to 770 g from Scofield 1989). The average weight for a breeding adult was 759 g compared to 690 g for a non-breeding adult and this difference is due to differing physical requirements needed for incubation, and chick feeding. Over the past 4 seasons this weight difference has been between 50 g and 100 g. The 1998/99 weights of the breeding adults were lighter than the weights in 1995/96 and 1996/97, but heavier than 1997/98 (Table 3). The weights of non-breeding adults were lighter than those from 1995/96, but heavier than 1996/97 and 1997/98 (Table 3). However there is not much difference in both the breeder and non-breeder weights (22 g spread between the breeders weights and 31 g spread for non-breeders). This may relate to the availability of food and overall condition of the birds.

In April, 109 chicks were present in the study burrows and together with the eight chicks found in other non-study burrows around Mount Hobson, were banded. Chicks present in two study burrows were very small (375 g and 390 g). One chick was not very well developed and was still downy, with barely any feather development (only the wing feathers were beginning to pin), but was very healthy (large amounts of body fat could be felt) and active (study burrow 57, Appendix 1). The other was very thin (no body fat), balding in some areas (belly and rear) and was very lethargic. This chick had clear, feathered wings (study burrow 87, Appendix 1). Both chicks were banded and were still alive at the end of the April visit, however the chick in burrow 87 was not very healthy and gave the appearance of being 'at deaths door'. It will be interesting to see if there are any remains of this chick next season.

Trapping and observation during the 1995/96 and 1996/97 breeding seasons suggested high numbers of rats in the Mount Hobson study area. Rat predation occurred again this season (3%) and this was higher than last year (1%) and 1995/96 (2%) but lower than 1996/97 (6.5%). It was also the first season that rats had predated small (newly hatched) chicks. Two chicks and two eggs were predated. Both Imber (1987) and Scofield (1989) also recorded rat predation
during their studies. Although the percentage of rat predation varies annually, it is still a major mortality factor. As such it is important to monitor the density of rats in the study area and so the rat index lines set up during the 1997/98 season were repeated in 1998/99 (Palmers Track, Hirakimata Track, and South Fork). Both *Rattus rattus* (all three colour morphs) and *R. exulans* were caught. The results from the index line was 6.2 rats/100 trap nights. This gave much higher rat densities than Scofield (1 capture/98 trap nights, 1989). Note that previously, in the earlier breeding seasons, rat predation was generally in Palmers Track grid one, in study burrows along Palmers Track, or around the summit (pers. obs.; Bell & Sim 1998, 1998a). However this year there was a rat predation in each of the two Palmers Track census grids, in the South Forks area and in Kauri Dam grid one. It is also interesting to note that where the highest density of rats were caught, the breeding burrows in the area all produced chicks that fledged safely. However, it must be stressed that this does not mean rats did not predate eggs and/or chicks in other (non-study) burrows in this area.

This season was the first year that chicks were predated by a feral cat(s). Two chicks were predated. Only one corpse was recovered (in the entrance to the burrow). This corpse had crushed bones and the skull was missing which are classic indicators of a cat feeding. A feral cat could have fitted into the burrow to reach the chick (the entrance has now been reduced). The adult black petrels were both very stressed and had dug another entrance after this predation. The second burrow had an entrance which would have been too small for the feral cat and so the chick must have been predated when it was outside. As most of the black petrel burrow entrances are too small (or passages too narrow and long to reach the chamber), juvenile petrels are vulnerable to feral cat predation as soon as they leave the burrows to strengthen wings and practise flying (Warham 1996).

Another black petrel chick corpse was found along the Shortcut Track. The whole chick had been swallowed and then regurgitated by a feral cat. No chicks were missing from any of the adjacent burrows, so it must have been from another nearby non-study burrow. Trapping in the area (and further trapping at the lower Kauri Dam by Te Ngahere Native Forest Management) did not result in any captures. A ginger feral cat was seen near the lower Kauri Dam on two occasions (pers. obs.; W. Scarlett pers. comm.). A black petrel with suspected avian pox was also found near the lower Kauri Dam by Te Ngahere Native Forest Management staff, but before it could be collected, the bird was predated by a feral cat (W. Scarlett pers. comm.). Further feral cat sign and predation evidence (of both Cook’s petrels and black petrels) were located along the Cooper’s Castle walk. Three black petrel chick remains and four Cook’s petrel corpse were counted. Two Cook’s petrel corpses were also found in April, directly adjacent to black petrel burrows. The trapping completed this season should be extended and constant trapping over the breeding season (especially during fledging, May–June) should continue throughout this study.

As in all the other breeding seasons, high numbers of the public visited the Mount Hobson black petrel breeding area. This had little-to-no impact on the breeding success. The construction of raised walkways around the summit has decreased damage to the environment and consequently, to the burrows. This
season, Te Ngahere Native Forest Management was completing construction of several of the DOC structures on and around the summit area. The team was informed of all burrow locations near the building sites and structures to reduce disturbance or prevent destruction of any burrows. None of the building disturbed any of the breeding birds. Extra walkway construction is recommended, particularly on Palmers (Windy Canyon) and Kauri Dam Tracks where erosion has increased down the tracks. This should be done with full consultation, to prevent the destruction of burrows as certain areas have high burrow densities. Another important development for Great Barrier Island would be if more interpretative material could be placed around the summit area (perhaps sited on the summit platform). This would educate the visitors about the unique habitat and the black petrels, and deter them from littering or fouling the area.

It was noted last season (Bell & Sim 2000) that a parent went ‘missing’ from study burrow 15 resulting in the starvation of the chick. This season the burrow was empty and the female had moved to another burrow (96), got another partner and laid an egg (that was later predated). This means it is likely that its former male partner died during the 1998 season. It is very important to continue to monitor for this ‘missing’ bird (in case he returns) and to monitor the female’s progress with the new partner over the next few seasons.

The domestic long-line industry operates during the black petrel breeding season and despite observer data being limited, black petrel by-catch has been recorded in previous breeding seasons (Ian West pers. comm.). Over recent years approximately 15 black petrels have been caught by the fishing industry (Ian West pers. comm.). Adult black petrels caught on long lines from February to June could easily be foraging food for chicks and this would result in the starvation of the chick. Overall this will effect the entire population by reducing recruitment and productivity. Like most procellariiforms, black petrels have delayed maturity, low reproduction rates, and high adult survivorship. Any change, however small, in adult survivorship will effect the population greatly (Murray et al. 1993). If large numbers of breeding adults continue to get caught on long-lines, this species could be drastically effected. It is important to continue to study the black petrel population especially in relation to adult survivorship, mortality, productivity and breeding success. The development of an accurate population model to determine these factor and their effects is very important. A good population model will assess the various factors affecting the black petrel population and help to determine the overall effects of by-catch in the long-line fishing industry.
6. Recommendations

The authors recommend:

- Continued monitoring of the black petrel population (using the long-term study burrows) at Great Barrier Island for at least a further five breeding seasons. This will ensure enough data will be collected for determining the population dynamics of black petrels, in particular survivorship, mortality and breeding success, and to determine the effects of predation, long-line fishing and other environmental factors.

- A check of the Northern Block (Tataweka) for the black petrel population recorded by Imber and studied by Scofield, to determine whether black petrels still inhabit the area.

- Setting up census grids on other high points around the Mount Hobson area (Mount Heale, Mount Matawhero, Hogs Back) to get a better estimate of the black petrel population on Great Barrier Island. These sites should be monitored for as long as the study continues.

- Visit the Great Barrier Island breeding population for two weeks during October/November to monitor pair bonding and pre-breeding behaviour. A large number of adults could easily be banded (hence identifiable) as they are generally outside the burrows at this time. This could be established as a mark-recapture programme to determine a better population estimate.

- The January/February study session should remain five weeks long, as this gives a clearer picture of breeding behaviour and results; the April period should remain as one week.

- Rat index lines continue to be run in the study area. This will ensure data on species present, total rat densities, and densities within distinct areas will be collected and can be related to effects on petrel mortality.

- Constant cat trapping over the black petrel breeding season, November-June, especially during pre-laying (November) and the fledging period (May-June) should continue.

- Continuation of the walkway system down Palmers (Windy Canyon) and Kauri Dam Track Construction should be completed between July and October when the chicks have fledged and before the adults return. Known petrel burrows could be identified for the construction team to avoid.
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### Appendix 1

**RESULTS FROM THE BURROWS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BURROW</th>
<th>ADULT</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BAND</td>
<td>WEIGHT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Empty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>H25408</td>
<td>760 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25438</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>H31267</td>
<td>650 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H51109</td>
<td>905 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>H27542</td>
<td>800 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25481</td>
<td>800 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>H31020</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Chick H31533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Non-breeder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>H31272</td>
<td>935 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H30857</td>
<td>885 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>H31103</td>
<td>710 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H31273</td>
<td>840 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Non-breeder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Empty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Empty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Empty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>H31281</td>
<td>745 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25418</td>
<td>625 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>H31281</td>
<td>780 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H31202</td>
<td>815 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Empty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>H31001</td>
<td>760 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H31296</td>
<td>755 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>H31108</td>
<td>750 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>H31201</td>
<td>675 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25434</td>
<td>690 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>H31162</td>
<td>735 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H30859</td>
<td>580 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25468</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>H31134</td>
<td>715 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25420</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>H31235</td>
<td>905 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H51019</td>
<td>800 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>H31214</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25492</td>
<td>735 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Empty</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BURROW</th>
<th>ADULT</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BAND</td>
<td>WEIGHT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>H31242</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Infertile egg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>H25487</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H31217</td>
<td>760 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>H25014</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H31218</td>
<td>770 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>H31251</td>
<td>780 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H51114</td>
<td>935 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Empty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>H25412</td>
<td>670 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25445</td>
<td>790 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25446</td>
<td>710 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>H31101</td>
<td>795 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H31257</td>
<td>710 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>H25480</td>
<td>680 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H31466</td>
<td>605 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PTG1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Non-breeder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>H31123</td>
<td>715 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H31244</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>H31248</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H31121</td>
<td>730 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>H31249</td>
<td>730 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H31641</td>
<td>870 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>H31129</td>
<td>680 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25414</td>
<td>680 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25250</td>
<td>635 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>H31107</td>
<td>610 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Chick H25548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>H31039</td>
<td>640 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25426</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>H31111</td>
<td>610 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Chick H31518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>H31029</td>
<td>835 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H31112</td>
<td>680 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>H31115</td>
<td>900 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H31140</td>
<td>670 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>H31016</td>
<td>710 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Non-breeder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>H31130</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25424</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conservation Services Levy contract report
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BURROW</th>
<th>ADULT</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>H31117 H31157</td>
<td>805 g 725 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>H28813 H31002</td>
<td>660 g -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>H31005 H31018</td>
<td>630 g 615 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>H31003 H26991</td>
<td>790 g 720 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>H31243 H31010</td>
<td>700 g 790 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>H25466 H25476</td>
<td>680 g -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>H31454</td>
<td>640 g -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>H31289 H31255</td>
<td>695 g -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>H31021 H30884</td>
<td>- -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>H31022</td>
<td>- -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 (PTG1)</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>Empty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 (PTG1)</td>
<td>H31151 H31152</td>
<td>765 g 700 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57 (PTG1)</td>
<td>H31136 H31153</td>
<td>860 g 820 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58 (PTG1)</td>
<td>H31205</td>
<td>660 g -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59 (PTG1)</td>
<td>H31125 H31220</td>
<td>790 g 840 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 (PTG1)</td>
<td>H25456</td>
<td>- -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 (PTG1)</td>
<td>H31113 H30878</td>
<td>800 g 770 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62 (PTG1)</td>
<td>H31257 H31288</td>
<td>- -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63 (PTG1)</td>
<td>H31247 H31206</td>
<td>910 g -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64 (PTG1)</td>
<td>H31286 H30861</td>
<td>- -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>H31460 H27548</td>
<td>680 g 700 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>H25406 H25407</td>
<td>690 g 615 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67 (KDG1)</td>
<td>H31270 H31271</td>
<td>820 g 670 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68 (KDG1)</td>
<td>H31154 H31172</td>
<td>790 g 825 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>H31240 H27604</td>
<td>850 g 765 g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BURROW</th>
<th>ADULT</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>H25401 H27665</td>
<td>830 g -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71 (KDG1)</td>
<td>H31242 H31025</td>
<td>705 g 710 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72 (KDG1)</td>
<td>H31293 H31155</td>
<td>900 g 820 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73 (KDG1)</td>
<td>H28572 H31300</td>
<td>865 g 815 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74 (KDG1)</td>
<td>H25429</td>
<td>- Abandoned egg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 (KDG1)</td>
<td>H30867 H31147</td>
<td>800 g 710 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76 (KDG1)</td>
<td>H25402 H31001</td>
<td>815 g 600 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77 (KDG1)</td>
<td>H31274 H30870</td>
<td>680 g 710 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78 (KDG1)</td>
<td>H31141 H31102</td>
<td>650 g 780 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79 (KDG1)</td>
<td>H25403</td>
<td>645 g -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 (KDG1)</td>
<td>H31104 H25404</td>
<td>820 g 860 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81 (KDG1)</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>Abandoned egg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>H31253 H30889</td>
<td>825 g 675 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>H25415 H25496</td>
<td>660 g 630 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>H31179 H28551</td>
<td>- 730 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85 (SFG1)</td>
<td>H25478 H31118</td>
<td>715 g 750 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86 (SFG1)</td>
<td>H27678 H31259</td>
<td>880 g 710 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87 (SFG1)</td>
<td>H31211 H31158</td>
<td>740 g 760 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88 (SFG1)</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>Abandoned egg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89 (SFG1)</td>
<td>H31233 H30910</td>
<td>790 g 750 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 (SFG1)</td>
<td>H25409 H25432</td>
<td>- 770 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91 (SFG1)</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>Non-breeder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92 (SFG1)</td>
<td>H31261 H31119</td>
<td>710 g 745 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>H30856 H27552</td>
<td>- 720 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BURROW</td>
<td>ADULT</td>
<td>WEIGHT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>H23018</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H31028</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>H50880</td>
<td>825 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25425</td>
<td>640 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96 (PTG1)</td>
<td>H31011</td>
<td>810 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H31287</td>
<td>640 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>H30872</td>
<td>650 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H31263</td>
<td>700 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>H31283</td>
<td>815 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H30890</td>
<td>680 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>H31262</td>
<td>655 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H31201</td>
<td>670 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>H25485</td>
<td>655 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H31208</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 (KD1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102 (KD1)</td>
<td>H25511</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103 (KD1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104 (KD1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>H14018</td>
<td>680 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25444</td>
<td>795 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>H31038</td>
<td>770 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25458</td>
<td>680 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25451</td>
<td>690 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>H25452</td>
<td>750 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25477</td>
<td>760 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>H31052</td>
<td>670 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25428</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110 (SFG1)</td>
<td>H31007</td>
<td>670 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111 (SFG1)</td>
<td>H31026</td>
<td>810 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25431</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112 (SFG1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113 (SFG1)</td>
<td>H25410</td>
<td>725 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114 (SFG1)</td>
<td>H31025</td>
<td>1000 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25435</td>
<td>750 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>H31031</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25433</td>
<td>620 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116 (PTG1)</td>
<td>H25411</td>
<td>920 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25435</td>
<td>920 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117 (SFG1)</td>
<td>H28804</td>
<td>610 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>H25467</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25486</td>
<td>650 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>H31055</td>
<td>680 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25454</td>
<td>740 g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BURROW</th>
<th>ADULT</th>
<th>WEIGHT</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>120 (PTG1)</td>
<td>H23635</td>
<td>750 g</td>
<td>Non-breeder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25430</td>
<td>785 g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121 (PTG1)</td>
<td>H25455</td>
<td>750 g</td>
<td>Chick H31542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122 (PTG1)</td>
<td>H31050</td>
<td>720 g</td>
<td>Non-breeder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123 (PTG1)</td>
<td>H31055</td>
<td>750 g</td>
<td>Chick H31554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H31246</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124 (PTG1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Non-breeder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125 (PTG1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Non-breeder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126 (PTG1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Infertile egg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>H25415</td>
<td>785 g</td>
<td>Dead embryo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H31128</td>
<td>830 g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>H31054</td>
<td>700 g</td>
<td>Dead embryo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25495</td>
<td>790 g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>H25417</td>
<td>760 g</td>
<td>Dead chick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>H28212</td>
<td>765 g</td>
<td>Chick H31532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25457</td>
<td>830 g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>H25421</td>
<td>980 g</td>
<td>Chick H31470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132 (KD2)</td>
<td>H25465</td>
<td>730 g</td>
<td>Dead embryo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133 (KD2)</td>
<td>H25430</td>
<td>830 g</td>
<td>Chick H25525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134 (KD2)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Non-breeder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135 (KD2)</td>
<td>H25465</td>
<td>790 g</td>
<td>Chick H25527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25447</td>
<td>865 g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136 (KD2)</td>
<td>H25464</td>
<td>720 g</td>
<td>Chick H25528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25470</td>
<td>895 g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137 (KD2)</td>
<td>H25475</td>
<td>655 g</td>
<td>Non-breeder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25494</td>
<td>695 g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138 (KD2)</td>
<td>H25448</td>
<td>700 g</td>
<td>Chick H25535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>H14012</td>
<td>625 g</td>
<td>Chick H31493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25035</td>
<td>820 g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140 (KD2)</td>
<td>H25482</td>
<td>595 g</td>
<td>Chick H25532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141 (SFG2)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Chick (out of reach)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142 (SFG2)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Empty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143 (KD2)</td>
<td>H25469</td>
<td>650 g</td>
<td>Chick H25523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144 (KD2)</td>
<td>H25459</td>
<td>800 g</td>
<td>Dead embryo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145 (KD2)</td>
<td>H25474</td>
<td>655 g</td>
<td>Chick H25534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25504</td>
<td>705 g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146 (KD2)</td>
<td>H25460</td>
<td>710 g</td>
<td>Chick H25533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25475</td>
<td>910 g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147 (KD2)</td>
<td>H25461</td>
<td>735 g</td>
<td>Dead embryo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25472</td>
<td>770 g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148 (KD2)</td>
<td>H27534</td>
<td>830 g</td>
<td>Chick H25551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25485</td>
<td>710 g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BURROW</td>
<td>ADULT</td>
<td>OUTCOME</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149 (KDG2)</td>
<td>H25462 860 g</td>
<td>Chick H25524</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25507 750 g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 (KDG2)</td>
<td>H25471 735 g</td>
<td>Chick H25524</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25493 780 g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>H31040 690 g</td>
<td>Non-breeder</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152 (SFG2)</td>
<td>H25498 825 g</td>
<td>Chick H25549</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H31453 540 g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153 (SFG2)</td>
<td>H25479 645 g</td>
<td>Non-breeder</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154 (PTG1)</td>
<td>H25484 870 g</td>
<td>Abandoned egg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25499 740 g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155 (PTG2)</td>
<td>H25497 650 g</td>
<td>Predation (rat, egg)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156 (PTG2)</td>
<td>H25439 770 g</td>
<td>Dead embryo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25454 900 g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157 (PTG2)</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>Chick H31546</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158 (PTG2)</td>
<td>H25440 830 g</td>
<td>Chick H31550</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H31451 800 g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159 (PTG2)</td>
<td>H25441 660 g</td>
<td>Dead chick</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>H25422 -</td>
<td>Non-breeder</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>700 g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161 (PTG2)</td>
<td>H25488 750 g</td>
<td>Chick H31545</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25500 615 g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162 (PTG2)</td>
<td>H25442 785 g</td>
<td>Chick H31549</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25489 745 g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163 (PTG2)</td>
<td>H25490 740 g</td>
<td>Non-breeder</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25491 625 g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164 (PTG2)</td>
<td>H25443 670 g</td>
<td>Chick H31551</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25505 870 g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165 (KDG2)</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>Non-breeder</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>H25437 745 g</td>
<td>Chick H31538</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>Chick H31539</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168 (PTG1)</td>
<td>H25449 745 g</td>
<td>Chick H31540</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>Non-breeder</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>H25501 785 g</td>
<td>Chick H31516</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>H31110 820 g</td>
<td>Chick H31520</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>H25502 750 g</td>
<td>Chick H31523</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H31048 770 g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>Chick H25546</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BURROW</th>
<th>ADULT</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>174</td>
<td>H27728 720 g</td>
<td>Chick H31489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>H25503 -</td>
<td>Infertile egg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176 (KD1)</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>Chick H31474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>H31459 -</td>
<td>Chick H25537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H31462 760 g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>H31168 -</td>
<td>Chick H25538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25508 700 g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179</td>
<td>H31058 600 g</td>
<td>Chick H25511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>Chick H25542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181</td>
<td>H31465 690 g</td>
<td>Chick H25544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>H31464 650 g</td>
<td>Chick H25515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25514 -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183 (SFG1)</td>
<td>H31465 740 g</td>
<td>Chick H31498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25515 610 g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>Chick H31478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185 (KD1)</td>
<td>H25509 680 g</td>
<td>Infertile egg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>186</td>
<td>H31046 825 g</td>
<td>Chick H31514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>187</td>
<td>H31047 -</td>
<td>Chick H31515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H31452 825 g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>188</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>Chick H31505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189</td>
<td>H25516 700 g</td>
<td>Non-breeder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25517 680 g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190</td>
<td>H25518 670 g</td>
<td>Non-breeder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H25519 690 g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191 (PTG2)</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>Chick H31547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192 (SFG1)</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>Non-breeder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193 (KD2)</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>Non-breeder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194 (KD2)</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>Chick H25526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>Chick H25530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>Chick H25539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>Chick H25540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>Chick H25547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>Chick H31506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>Chick H31524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>Chick H31535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202 (PTG2)</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>Chick H31548</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bell & Sim—Monitoring black petrels on Great Barrier Island, 1998/99
## Appendix 2

### Results from the Rat Index Lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date (night of)</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Trap No.</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Weight (g)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/2/99</td>
<td>Hirakimata</td>
<td>14a</td>
<td><em>R. rattus alexandrinus</em></td>
<td>55</td>
<td>female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/2/99</td>
<td>Hirakimata</td>
<td>20b</td>
<td><em>R. rattus frugivorus</em></td>
<td>144</td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/2/99</td>
<td>Hirakimata</td>
<td>23b</td>
<td><em>R. rattus frugivorus</em></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/2/99</td>
<td>Hirakimata</td>
<td>24b</td>
<td><em>R. r. rattus</em></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/2/99</td>
<td>Hirakimata</td>
<td>25a</td>
<td><em>R. r. rattus</em></td>
<td>46</td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/2/99</td>
<td>Hirakimata</td>
<td>25b</td>
<td><em>R. rattus alexandrinus</em></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/2/99</td>
<td>Hirakimata</td>
<td>8a</td>
<td><em>R. rattus frugivorus</em></td>
<td>176</td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/2/99</td>
<td>Hirakimata</td>
<td>8b</td>
<td><em>R. exulans</em></td>
<td>74</td>
<td>female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/2/99</td>
<td>Hirakimata</td>
<td>25a</td>
<td><em>R. exulans</em></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/2/99</td>
<td>Hirakimata</td>
<td>25b</td>
<td><em>R. rattus alexandrinus</em></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/2/99</td>
<td>Hirakimata</td>
<td>15b</td>
<td><em>R. rattus frugivorus</em></td>
<td>166</td>
<td>female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/2/99</td>
<td>Hirakimata</td>
<td>21b</td>
<td><em>R. r. rattus</em></td>
<td>56</td>
<td>male (juvenile)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/2/99</td>
<td>Hirakimata</td>
<td>24b</td>
<td><em>R. rattus alexandrinus</em></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>male (juvenile)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/2/99</td>
<td>Hut</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>R. exulans</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Female, eaten</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18/2/99</td>
<td>South Forks</td>
<td>5a</td>
<td><em>R. rattus alexandrinus</em></td>
<td>116</td>
<td>female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/2/99</td>
<td>South Forks</td>
<td>5b</td>
<td><em>R. exulans</em></td>
<td>87</td>
<td>female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21/2/99</td>
<td>Palmers</td>
<td>4b</td>
<td><em>R. rattus alexandrinus</em></td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21/2/99</td>
<td>Palmers</td>
<td>4b</td>
<td><em>R. rattus alexandrinus</em></td>
<td>185</td>
<td>female (lactating)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>