

# East Coast Hawke's Bay Conservancy recreation opportunities review

Submissions analysis and decisions

OCTOBER 2004





Department of Conservation *Te Papa Atawbai* 

### East Coast Hawke's Bay Conservancy recreation opportunities review

Submissions analysis and decisions

OCTOBER 2004

Published by: Department of Conservation Southern Regional Office P.O. Box 13-049 Christchurch, New Zealand This report is the conclusion of the department's public consultation process 'Towards a Better Network of Visitor Facilities', a Recreation Opportunity Review aimed at confirming with the public the mix of visitor facilities needed to provide the recreational opportunities most desired on public conservation land.

© October 2004, Department of Conservation

ISBN: 0-478-22615-2

#### CONTENTS

| 1.  | Message from the Conservator                            | 1  |
|-----|---|----|
| 2.  | Summary of outcomes                                     | 1  |
|     | 2.1 Executive summary                                   | 1  |
|     | 2.2 Recreation vision and conclusions statement         |    |
|     | (Conservancy overview and key outcomes by Area)         | 3  |
| 3.  | Introduction  | 8  |
|     | How the consultation was undertaken                     | 8  |
| 4.  | Submitters and submissions                              | 9  |
|     | 4.1 Number of submissions                               | 9  |
|     | 4.2 Main proposals commented on, by order of total      |    |
|     | submissions.  | 10 |
|     | 4.3 Proposals that received the most submissions and    |    |
|     | summary of submissions, by location.                    | 11 |
|     | 4.4 Other submissions on proposals                      | 15 |
|     | 4.5 Proposals that did not receive submissions          | 22 |
| 5.  | User group meetings                                     | 23 |
|     |   |    |
| 6.  | Summary of general points from submissions              | 25 |
| 7.  | Making final decisions                                  | 29 |
| 8.  | Summary of decisions                                    | 29 |
| 9.  | Overview of decisions in terms of a range of recreation |    |
|     | opportunities   | 32 |
| App | pendix 1  |    |
|     | Proposals that did not receive submissions              | 33 |
| App | pendix 2  |    |
|     | Final Decisions   | 36 |
|     | Aniwaniwa Area  | 36 |
|     | Aniwaniwa Area  | 37 |
|     | Gisborne Area   | 38 |
|     | Opotiki Area  | 38 |
|     | Hawke's Bay Area  | 41 |
| Apj | pendix 3  | 47 |
|     | Final decisions - new proposals                         | 47 |
| Apj | pendix 4  | 49 |
|     | Summary of final decision changes                       | 49 |
| App | pendix 5  | 51 |
|     | What the decisions mean                                 | 51 |
|     |   |    |

# 1. Message from the Conservator

The following report details the content of submissions received by East Coast Hawke's Bay Conservancy as part of the recreation opportunity review public consultation period, and reports on other feedback received through public meetings and discussion with stakeholders during this period.

Taking account of the submissions and other information received, decisions have been made by this conservancy. These decisions align with the strategic direction as covered by the Principles to Guide a Core Facility Network and the key Policy and Strategic directions referred to within these, or where there has been identified a preference through submissions to vary from this direction, these cases have been noted.

P. Williamson

Conservator

East Coast Hawke's Bay

### 2. Summary of outcomes

#### 2.1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Sixty-eight submitters commented on 107 DOC proposals and recommended 21 new proposals. (A total of 496 submissions received)

Two thirds of all submissions on specific proposals focussed on huts and bivies with particular emphasis on the Ruahine and Kaweka Forest Parks.

Seventy-two DOC proposals received no comment. These were mainly for tracks and other non-accommodation facilities within Te Urewera National Park (67%). This was surprising as the majority of tracking changes within the conservancy affected the National Park.

On the whole the quality of information submitted was very good. Aside from comments on specific proposals, submitters raised background concerns to try and inform the review process on key issues such as:

- Limited road access in the Ruahine;
- Disabled access (Aniwaniwa Visitor Centre glaring)
- Tourism/recreation perceptions on how DOC is chasing Green \$\$\$ and tourists at the expense New Zealanders;
- The preference for small intimate huts in the backcountry;
- The safety role of huts and bivies;

- Road end huts for families and the less able;
- A need for updated visitor information as a safety consequence of this process;
- Working closely with other providers e.g. Territorial Authorities, Iwi.

Seven additional meetings were held with interest groups to successfully resolve issues relating to hut distribution in the northern Ruahine; the future of Happy Daze Hut; negotiation on improved road access in the northern Urutawa, reactivation of the Te Reinga Falls Walkway proposal and provision of urban fringe walking opportunities around Napier/Hastings.

The review identified 30 changes to DOC proposals and supported 9 new proposals arising from submissions.

Of the 30 changes;

- 17 involved huts, (incl. 7 Ruahine, 3 Kaweka, 3 Te Urewera,)
- 11 involved tracks( incl. 1 Ruahine, 4 Te Urewera, 2 Urutawa ) and
- 2 involved a cableway structure and a campsite.

Of the 9 new proposals,

- 1 was for improved roading in the Kaweka.
- 6 were for new tracks of which 3 were in the Ruahine,
- 1 for a new suspension bridge across the Pakihi Steam and
- 1 for more amenities at Morere.(an advice issue to the lessee only)

There were 30 changes to nearly 180 proposals plus 9 new proposals. The net effect of the review changes will cause a low-moderate increase in the resourcing requirement necessary to sustain the final proposals as they currently stand. The anticipated increase is due to;

- An increase in hut maintenance and a reduction in lower standard maintenance proposals(4 huts)
- Significant upgrading of Te Reinga Falls track, Parks Peak hut and Rocks Ahead cableway.
- Reversal of 2 "Cease maintenance" in the Te Waiti valley. (1 trail/ 1 camp)
- Reversal of 5 "Non-visitor DOC management proposals. (2 huts/3 tracks)
- Disabled access upgrade for Aniwaniwa visitor centre
- Nine new proposals confirmed in the review process.

Forming final decisions has been greatly enhanced by the submission process. It provided a human element that policies, principles and rules couldn't. The human element however was the most challenging to deal with.

#### 2.2 RECREATION VISION AND CONCLUSIONS STATEMENT (CONSERVANCY OVERVIEW AND KEY OUTCOMES BY AREA)

The character of the East Coast Hawkes Bay is one of contrast. To the west are the rugged forest-clad Ruahine, Kaweka, Te Urewera and Raukumara ranges that form the mountain axis through the eastern North Island. Hidden amongst these are spectacular landforms, gorged rivers, lakes, hot springs and a depth of culture from Te Urewera to Hikurangi Maunga.

To the east, the easier country has a history of land clearance removing much of the natural forest cover. The coastline is extensive, semi isolated and varied from volcanic shelves to coastal platforms and golden beaches.

Landscape gives the East Coast Hawke's Bay Conservancy its flavour and features a semi-isolation that visitors from all over the North Island and overseas are coming to appreciate. Highlights of the region are extensive hunting, tramping, and fishing opportunities, Lakes Waikaremoana and Waikareiti, Morere Hot Springs and the gannet colony at Cape Kidnappers.

The need for DOC to provide "a range of recreational opportunities" was reinforced by the Recreation Opportunity Review. As a reflection of local and regional importance, much emphasis was placed on the backcountry and access to it.

As a result of analysis of submissions on initial proposals, final decisions primarily favoured back country adventurer, then day visitor, remoteness seeker and overnighter activity. This is a reflection of the significance of the backcountry and the scarcity of more accessible day visitor activities in the front country.

Although some rationalisation has occurred, a comprehensive network of backcountry huts will continue to be provided, to a higher overall standard than was originally proposed. Despite significant retrenchment of standards in parts of the backcountry, more tracks and routes than were initially suggested will be maintained to support the hut network. The process has also resulted in an increase in the number of day visitor opportunities to be maintained within easy driving of main population centres. Supported by the review process, the department will continue to work for the improvement of roading and foot access into the backcountry.

#### Aniwaniwa Area

Based at Lake Waikaremoana, the Aniwaniwa Area encompasses the southern Te Urewera National Park. The terrain is dominated by thick indigenous forest and highly scenic features including lakes, wetlands, long valleys and imposing mountain ranges. The Panekiri, Huiarau and Ikawhenua ranges are most dominant.

A significant feature of the Area is its importance to tangata whenua.

Road access is limited. State Highway 38 is the only arterial highway but its long, narrow winding condition from Ruatahuna, over the Huiarau summit to Aniwaniwa deters many visitors from the central North Island.

Despite poor road access, the Waikaremoana catchment with the Aniwaniwa Visitors Centre, Waikaremoana Motor camp, jetties, boat ramps, Lakes Waikaremoana and Waikareiti, the Waikaremoana Great Walk and a comprehensive network of huts, tracks and short walks continues to provide some of the most outstanding and popular recreation opportunities in the conservancy. Further west the network of huts and tracks in the Whakatane, Horomanga, Waiau and Manganuiohau Valleys supports a range of backcountry adventurer and remote opportunities. To the north, the Ruakituri Wilderness completes the full range of backcountry opportunities available. The Area has regionally and nationally significant outdoor opportunities for fishing, boating, kayaking, camping, tramping and hunting.

As a result of the recreation opportunity review process, the network of backcountry huts will remain relatively unchanged. Departures from original proposals are that in the Whakatane Valley, Ngaheramai Hut will continue to be maintained by the department and Takarua Hut will stay in its present location.

The review process confirmed that two huts will be relocated to provide accommodation in the Manganuiohou and Aniwaniwa Valley. Subject to demand, a new hut may be located in the Mangawhero Stream Valley east of the Mangawhero Forks Hut which will be removed. Waiopaoa Hut on the Waikaremoana Great Walk will be replaced as part of a larger programme of upgrading to bring huts to Great Walk standard over the next five years.

The review process recommended no changes to access proposals and confirms that maintenance will cease on the Matawhero and Te Maraateatua Point Tracks (12.5 km). Approximately 52 km of tracking (6 tracks) will be maintained at a lower standard than originally proposed; 15.2 km (2 tracks) will be maintained by the community and 14.2km (5 tracks) will be upgraded to a higher standard at Waikaremoana.

#### **Gisborne** Area

From Potaka in the north to the Waikare River in the south, Gisborne Area encompasses the majority of land and coastline east of the forested hinterlands of the Raukumara, Waioeka and Te Urewera. The land is predominantly hill country characterised by natural forest remnants dotted amongst erosion prone pastureland.

Distinctive landscape features include an extensive and varied coastline with wide bays and prominent headlands and natural mineral hot pools at Morere Springs and Te Puia Scenic Reserves. Hikurangi Maunga is the highest point in the landscape.

The semi isolation of the 2 main population centres places more local demand on the limited number of recreation opportunities close to Gisborne and Wairoa. These opportunities tend to be small, scattered and high use. Visitors are predominantly locals and New Zealanders. While the area is not on major tourism routes, the proportion of overseas visitors from UK/Europe, America and Australia is increasing, many arriving as free independent travellers.

With only 5 huts, traditional backcountry opportunities while important, are not a major feature for the Area.

The key recreational opportunities for the Area are the Walkways at Cooks Cove, Anaura Bay, Te Kuri Farm and Otoko; the hot pools at Morere Springs Scenic Reserve and bush-walking opportunities provided there; the range of walks provided at Grays Bush, Okitu, Whinray and Mahia Scenic Reserves; Te Reinga Falls Lookout and the increasing significance of Te Tapuwae o Rongokako Marine Reserve.

The Recreation Opportunity Review process confirmed no real change in the provision of backcountry huts and tracks except that Mangaotane Hut in the southern Raukumara will continue to be maintained.

As a result of the submission process, Te Reinga Falls Scenic Reserve Track will now be upgraded to provide a day visitor loop track opportunity of significant scenic and conservation value. This helps to relieve pressure on other day visitor opportunities within easy reach of Gisborne and fills a significant gap in opportunities around Wairoa.

The review process also confirmed that a carparks, an amenity, a campsites and two tracks (3.7 km) will be maintained by community groups; that maintenance is to cease for the Papatu Falls and Kahikatea/Waterfalls Tracks and Matawai Campsite; two tracks (8.5km) will be maintained to a lower standard and the Mangakawa Track (3.4km) will be upgraded to a higher standard. The track extension at Okitu will proceed as will commitment to the upgrading of road access into Te Tapuwae o Rongkako Marine Reserve at Pouawa.

#### Hawke's Bay Area

Hawke's Bay Area extends from the Waikari River in the North to Woodville/ Cape Turnagain in the south. To the west the boundary follows the main range of the Ruahine Forest Park, extending to the western flanks of the Kaweka Forest Park. The ranges are characteristically steep and rugged. River valleys are steep and deeply incised. Six large North Island rivers including the Ngaruroro and Mohaka, have their headwaters in these parks.

In the north west sector, the Maungaharuru Range offers spectacular landscape features visible from much of northern Hawke's Bay. Further east, much of the lowland area is highly modified in pastoral farming and exotic forestry with only small remnants of the original forest cover, shrub and wetlands left. The coastal landscape of Hawke's Bay is often dramatic and of high scenic value alternating between dramatic cliffs on uplifted tracts and steep shingled beaches.

The forest parks are important recreational resources in the North Island. They are close and accessible to large population centres in central and southern North Island, providing a range of backcountry and remote experience opportunities. In some areas public access is inadequate (foot and road) with park users unaware of legal rights of way or legal responsibilities crossing private land. While the parks do not receive the same use pressures of other adjacent natural areas (e.g. Tongariro National Park), some key areas are heavily used (e.g. Sunrise Hut in the Ruahine Forest Park and Te Puia area of the Kaweka Forest Park.)

Visitors are mainly from New Zealand and hunting, especially in Kaweka Forest Park is the principal activity.

While not having the high profile of the forest parks, Maungaharuru is gaining popularity due to its proximity to Napier/Hastings and the diverse range of day visitor opportunities available. Key areas are Boundary Stream and Bellbird Bush Scenic Reserves, Lake Opouahi and areas along the Mohaka River. In lowland areas closer to Napier/Hastings and Central Hawke's Bay towns, the most popular areas are Scenic Reserves offering short walks, picnicking and associated activities (e.g. White Pine Bush, Tangoio and Mohi Bush).

The coastline receives very high recreational use, particularly beaches close to Napier /Hastings and Central Hawke's Bay towns. Iconic Cape Kidnappers has a

strong international profile because of the popular gannet tours and receives high public use.

The Recreation Opportunity Review process resulted in seven changes to original hut proposals in the Ruahine Forest Park, three changes in the Kaweka Forest Park and one in the Gwavas Conservation Area.

In the Ruahine Forest Park, Triplex Hut was identified as an important "introductory experience" facility, and will be maintained. Kylie Bivy will be relocated further north to improve access along and across the main Ruahine range. Because of its central location, Parks Peak Hut will be upgraded to match the 6 bunk capacity of the new hut to be built on the main range near the headwaters of the Apias Stream. Sparrowhawk and Tarn Bivies will continue to be maintained for visitor safety. Happy Daze will be on minimal maintenance, to be reviewed in 2006. Whitnell Lodge along with four other huts will now be removed without significantly affecting visitor opportunities. Sunrise Hut and Taruarau Bivy will be upgraded.

For the Kaweka Forest Park, Dominie Hut will be maintained at its current standard to enhance visitor safety. Comet and Studholme Saddle Bivy will be removed. Rocks Ahead Bivy will be removed once the cableway crossing the Ngaruroro is upgraded/ replaced. Four huts, Cameron, Mangatainoka, Rocks Ahead and Tussock, will be upgraded.

Poutaki Hut in the Gwavas Conservation Area will remain on minimal maintenance to be reviewed in three years.

As a result of the review process, there was no change to the original tracking proposals although maintenance of parts of the northern section of the Coastal Walkway had ceased in line with the present closure of the southern part of the walkway.

Three new tracking proposals in the Ruahine Forest Park and a new disabled access track at Balls Clearing Scenic Reserve will be implemented. Roading access to the Kaweka and Ruahine Forest Parks will be improved at the Mohaka River access, Makahu Road, Makahu Saddle –Whittle Road, Daphne Road and car parks formed off Ngamoko Road, at Sentry Box and Tamaki West road ends.

#### **Opotiki** Area

Encompassing the Raukumara, Urutawa, Waioeka and northern Te Urewera ranges, the Opotiki Area includes most of the land draining into the Bay of Plenty from Cape Runaway to Ohiwa. The primary conservation land units managed by the Area include northern Te Urewera National Park, Waioeka Conservation Area, Waioeka Gorge Scenic Reserve, Urutawa Conservation Area and Raukumara Conservation Park.

The landscape is dominated by rugged bush-clad eroding hill country (particularly to the east) that is characteristically steep, broken and isolated. This hill country completes a chain of mountainous ridges that form the western boundary of the conservancy, from Woodville to East Cape. The diverse coastline retains a large proportion of its original cover offering spectacular scenery and a water clarity, unmatched in the rest of the conservancy.

Backcountry Adventurer/Remote recreation opportunities are the clear strength of the Area with an appropriate network of huts and tracks throughout. Key opportunities include the Whakatane and Six Foot Tracks, Waioeka Gorge, Otipi Road, the mighty Motu River and the Raukumara Wilderness Area. Main recreational activities include hunting , tramping, fishing, rafting and kayaking.

Provision of day visitor/overnight camping opportunities close to population centres are limited and this was identified in the review process. Currently the most popular opportunities include the Eight Acre Campsite, Ngutuoha Nature Walk in the national park, Tauranga Bridge track and Manganuku Campsite in the Waioeka Gorge and Marawaiwai Scenic Reserve, 20 minutes south of Opotiki.

Visitors to the Area tend to be local/New Zealanders (Bay of Plenty/Waikato/ Auckland) with a growing number of overseas visitors (free independent travellers) passing through.

As a result of consultation, the Area is looking for community groups to maintain the Kotepato Hut and associated track (0.82 km). As a reflection of growing use in the southern Urutawa Conservation Area, Manganuku will continue to be maintained as a standard hut. Wharekahika Hut as been reclassified for recreational use and will maintained accordingly. There were no other significant changes to the original hut proposals for the Area.

There were significant changes resulting from consultation over the maintenance of Te Waiti Valley Road which has led to the "re-opening" of the northern Urutawa Conservation Area. This in turn has resulted in the reversal of proposals to cease maintenance (Te Waiti Nature Trail and Boulders Campsite) and the acceptance of three new proposals arising from submissions. These include the building of two new cable bridges and the re-opening of a route that links Te Waiti and Pakihi Valleys. The Area will also look at developing a disabled access track at Marawaiwai and upgrade tracking from the Manganuku campsite.

While there is some rationalisation of tracking in the Urewera backcountry with maintenance ceased on 37 km of track and 93 km to be maintained to route standard which is lower than originally proposed, the overall impact on loss of opportunity will be minimal.

# 3. Introduction

#### HOW THE CONSULTATION WAS UNDERTAKEN

- Public consultation was undertaken as part of the department's recreation opportunity review 'Towards a Better Network of Visitor Facilities'.
- Consultation was launched on 30 September 2003, with a Press Release from the Minister of Conservation, and a press release from this conservancy. Letters were sent to local recreation groups and other key associates inviting them to attend public meetings during October 2003 to learn about the consultation process. Proposal documents and background resource material was distributed at 4 public meetings, was available on the DOC website and on request.
- Information about the submission process was provided in local newspapers with notification of public meetings and feature articles. Reminder notices were also provided in local newspapers a month prior to the submission closure date.
- There were only a few issues from Iwi. One meeting was held with representatives from Te Reinga over a Te Reinga Walkway proposal. At Aniwaniwa the Chairman of the Waikaremoana Maori Committee was happy to be appraised of outcomes because of other commitments. The inclusive management style practised within the conservancy at a field and strategic level suggest that most issues have been addressed through the Conservation Management Strategy(CMS), National Park Management Plan other strategic planning and consultation processes. Representation on the East Coast Hawke's Bay Conservation Board and the active involvement of the Board with the submission review process also kept Iwi informed.
- Meetings were held with sixteen groups (tramping/mountaineering clubs, hunting clubs, iwi, Territorial Authorities, key individuals) or their representatives to explore some issues further. In addition numerous contacts were made with landowners adjacent to the eastern Ruahine Forest Park to clarify important access issues.
- The following steps were taken in reaching decisions:
- 1. Submissions were entered onto a database.
- 2. Review teams were drawn for each of the 4 Areas within the Conservancy; Aniwaniwa, Gisborne, Hawke's Bay and Opotiki, comprising the Area Manager, Programme Manager-Visitor and Historic and where appropriate, a Field Centre Supervisor. This team was supported by the Community Relations Manager, Recreation Planner and a member of the East Coast Hawke's Bay Conservation Board familiar with the respective Area. The review teams made interim decisions based upon the summary of submissions, copy of original submissions, national guidelines and principles, available site information and collective experience.
- 3. The submissions were analysed as follows:
- Submission points were individually entered (generally verbatim) into the database against each facility proposal.

- Submission points were classified according to whether they supported or did not support a proposal— or were neutral/unclear.
- Proposals with "non supporting" submissions were considered in view of the "weight" of submissions, merit of the arguments and any strategic objectives for that location.(e.g. CMS, Visitor Strategy)
- Proposals with few submissions had submissions considered on merit and the strategic intent behind the proposal.
- 4. A Submission Analysis Report was drafted and submitted to Area Managers, Programme Managers and Conservator to review for sign off by the Conservator.

### Section One

# 4. Submitters and submissions

This section provides information on the number of submissions, the nature of submissions and a description of their content

#### 4.1 NUMBER OF SUBMISSIONS

- Sixty eight submitters provided 496 submissions between them.
- Submitters were made up of 21 group submissions and 47 individual submissions
- 74% of submitters came from within the conservancy, 15% came from neighbouring conservancies, and 10% from further away. 1% unknown.
- Seventeen submissions made direct reference to the Principles to Guide the Core Facility Network (contained in the National Resource Document). *Appendix 1*
- Fifty one submissions contained comment that related to regional or national issues.
- 57% of submissions on specific asset proposals were supporting while 43% were opposing proposals.

# 4.2 MAIN PROPOSALS COMMENTED ON, BY ORDER OF TOTAL SUBMISSIONS.

| ASSET NAME        | SUBMISSIONS           |
|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Triple X Hut      | 19 (15 against/4 for) |
| Poutaki Hut       | 19 (15 against/4 for) |
| Craigs Hut        | 16 ( 7 against/9 for) |
| Rocks Ahead Bivy  | 15 (8 against/7 for)  |
| Middle Stream Hut | 15 (9 against/6 for)  |
| Makahu Saddle Hut | 13 (8 against/5 for)  |
| Dominie Biv       | 12 (7 against/5 for)  |
| Dianes' Hut       | 11 (6 against/5 for)  |
| Kaweka Flats Biv  | 11 (6 against/5 for)  |
| Sentry Box Hut    | 11 (2 against/9 for)  |
| Ruahine Bivy      | 10 (10 for)           |
| Waiopaoa Hut      | 10 (6 against/4 for)  |
| Tarn Bivy         | 10 (10 for)           |
| Herrick's Hut     | 9 (4 against/5 for)   |
| Gold Creek Hut    | 9 (6 against/3 for)   |
| Kumeti Hut        | 9 (1 against/8 for)   |
| Ihaka Spur Track  | 9 (2 against/7 for)   |
| Ohane Hut         | 8 (8 for)             |
| Happy Daze Hut    | 8 (2 against/6 for)   |
| Sunrise Hut       | 8 (8 for)             |

# 4.3 PROPOSALS THAT RECEIVED THE MOST SUBMISSIONS AND SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS, BY LOCATION.

#### HAWKES BAY AREA

| VISITOR<br>Facility<br>Number | FACILITY NAME<br>(SUBMISSIONS) | DOC PROPOSAL                | SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS  |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Ruahine Fo                    | orest Park                     |                             |   |
| 42410                         | Triplex Hut                    | Minimal<br>Maintenance      | <ol> <li>19 submissions:</li> <li>15 opposed while 4 supported.</li> <li>Key arguments against were that:</li> <li>The hut is readily accessible providing a safe first tramp and a family destination in its own right. Good for "introductory" bush experiences.</li> <li>Popular with school groups as a valuable educational resource.</li> <li>For older visitors and with potential for the disabled.</li> <li>These submissions challenge the hut principle that: A hut on a BCA or BCC site that is &lt; 3 hours walk from the nearest road end should not be retained unless it is a popular destination. Submissions in support argued on the strength of:</li> <li>Relative closeness to Triplex Car Park / Sunrise Hut and</li> <li>The deteriorating condition of Triplex Hut.</li> </ol>                  |
| 42481                         | Craigs Hut                     | Remove (and not<br>replace) | <ul> <li>16 submissions:</li> <li>9 supported this proposal while 7 opposed.</li> <li>Key supporting arguments were:</li> <li>That Craig's Hut was low use, adjacent to a road.</li> <li>An eyesore subject to vandalism and theft.</li> <li>Some support (2 submissions) was conditional on shelter and toilet facilities being provided at Makaroro Road end.</li> <li>Opposing submissions focussed on providing accommodation for young families and older visitors using adjacent day tracks.</li> </ul>   |
| 42563                         | Middle Stream Hut              | Remove (and not<br>replace) | <ul> <li>15 submissions:</li> <li>9 opposed while 6 supported the proposal.</li> <li>Key arguments against were that: <ul> <li>The hut could be relocated.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The hut was used by hunters which should be encouraged.</li> <li>Problems with access limited use and if the hut was removed, distances between huts would increase from 2 to 4 hours compromising safety.</li> </ul> These submissions challenge the hut principles that: <ul> <li>A hut on a BCA or BCC site that is &lt; 3 hours walk from the nearest road end should not be retained unless it is a popular destination and</li> <li>Huts that are not a popular destination will not be retained (unless they provide a significant recreation opportunity)</li> </ul> Submissions in support did not provide additional comment. |
| 43448                         | Sentry Box Hut                 | Remove (and not<br>replace) | <ul><li>11 submissions:</li><li>9 submissions supported while 2 opposed</li><li>The key argument for this proposal was</li><li>that this hut was too close to a road end.</li><li>Three submissions (1 supporting as an alternative;2 against), considered</li><li>relocation an option to compensate for the loss of Te Aranga/ No Mans</li><li>Huts.</li></ul>  |

| VISITOR<br>FACILITY<br>NUMBER | FACILITY NAME<br>(SUBMISSIONS) | DOC PROPOSAL                    | SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS  |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| 43457                         | Diane's Hut                    | Minimal<br>Maintenance          | <ul> <li>11 submissions</li> <li>6 submissions opposed while 5 supported the proposal.</li> <li>The key arguments against this proposal were: <ul> <li>That Diane's Hut was ideal for hunting (average hunting opportunity) and less exposed cf nearby Taraurau Bivy.</li> <li>Was built using donated materials for family memorial.</li> </ul> </li> <li>These submissions challenged the following hut principles; <ul> <li>A hut (on a BCC or BCA site) located &lt; 3 hours from the nearest hu should generally not be retained, unless it is a popular destination and</li> <li>Huts that are not a popular destination will not be retained (unless they provide a significant recreation opportunity locally or regionally)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Two submissions recommended relocation to No Mans Hut.</li> </ul>   |
| 43390                         | Tarn Bivy                      | Upgrade – to<br>Higher Standard | <ul> <li>10 submissions:</li> <li>All submissions supported this proposal.</li> <li>The key arguments for this proposal were:</li> <li>Safety.</li> <li>Well positioned for a range of trips.</li> <li>Has a unique loo with a fantastic view.</li> </ul>   |
| 192402                        | Apias Hut (ex Ruahine<br>Bivy) | New Proposal                    | 10 submissions:<br>All submissions supported this proposal.<br>The key argument was that there was a clear gap in hut saturation along<br>the main range from Kylie Bivy through to No Mans Road.<br>Some submissions focussed more on access to Ikawatea which provided<br>the basis for a second hut proposal.  |
| 42227                         | Gold Creek Hut                 | Minimal<br>Maintenance          | <ul> <li>9 submissions:</li> <li>6 submissions opposed this proposal and 3 supported</li> <li>Key arguments against this proposal were: <ul> <li>That the hut was a focal point for a good round trip.</li> <li>Ideal for junior hunting.</li> <li>Lack of access information contributed to low use.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The hut might provide a hunting alternative as numbers increase in the Sunrise, Waipawa Forks area.</li> <li>These submissions challenge the hut principles: <ul> <li>Low use huts that do not provide a significant recreation opportunity within the local area or region opportunity will not be retained and</li> <li>Huts that are not a popular destination will not be retained (unless they provide a significant recreation opportunity).</li> </ul> </li> <li>3 submissions supported the proposal but had nothing further to contribute.</li> </ul> |
| 42433                         | Herrick's Hut                  | Maintain by<br>community        | <ul> <li>9 submissions:</li> <li>4 submissions opposed this proposal and 5 supported.</li> <li>The key argument against this proposal was:</li> <li>That if Herrick's Spur tack was cut it would give all weather access to Dead Dog Hut and the tops.</li> <li>There was also an overriding issue of access across private land in the northern Ruahine that was impacting on use.</li> <li>These submissions challenged the hut principles:</li> <li>A hut on a BCA or BCC site that is &lt; 3 hours walk from the nearest road end should not be retained unless it is a popular destination .</li> <li>Huts that are not a popular destination will not be retained (unless they provide a significant recreation opportunity locally or regionally)</li> <li>The 5 supporting submissions had nothing further to contribute.</li> </ul>  |

| VISITOR<br>Facility<br>Number | FACILITY NAME<br>(SUBMISSIONS) | DOC PROPOSAL                | SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS   |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 42429                         | Kumeti Hut                     | Remove (and not<br>replace) | 9 submissions:<br>8 supported and 1 opposed this proposal. Submissions supporting<br>provided no additional information. The argument against was simply<br>that removal of Kumeti and Opawe Huts would turn the 6 hour crossin<br>over the southern Ruahine into a day trip.  |
| 42416                         | Sunrise Hut                    | Upgrade - size<br>capacity  | <ul> <li>8 submissions:</li> <li>All submissions supported this proposal.</li> <li>The key arguments for this proposal were that:</li> <li>It would reduce overcrowding at such a gem.</li> <li>A popular facility to "keep the hoardes in one place."</li> </ul>  |
| 43389                         | Happy Daze Hut                 | Maintain by<br>community    | <ul> <li>8 submissions:</li> <li>6 supported this proposal and 2 opposed.</li> <li>The key argument against this proposal was</li> <li>that the hut was popular during the roar but restricted access, inhibited use.</li> <li>Continuing access restrictions also caused a reluctance to accept a community maintenance option.</li> </ul>  |
| Gwavas Co                     | nservation Area                |                             |  |
| 42585                         | Poutaki Hut                    | Remove (and not<br>replace) | <ul> <li>19 submissions:</li> <li>15 submissions opposed this proposal and 4 supported.</li> <li>Key argument against were: <ul> <li>Access restrictions on motorised vehicles by Forest Management.</li> <li>Limited signage and a poorly defined track from Leatherwood Road to the hut.</li> <li>Access permits were only issued on weekends (2 per weekend).</li> <li>The hut was well sited for deer and pig hunting.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The submissions challenge the hut principles: <ul> <li>Huts that are not a popular destination will not be retained (unless they provide a significant recreation opportunity locally or regionally):</li> <li>A hut on a BCA or BCC site that is &lt; 3 hours walk from the nearest road end should not be retained unless it is a popular destination.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  |
| Kaweka Fo                     | rest Park                      |                             |  |
| 43349                         | Rocks Ahead Bivy               | Remove (and not<br>replace) | <ul> <li>15 submissions:</li> <li>8 submissions opposed this proposal and 7 supported.</li> <li>Key arguments against this proposal were: <ul> <li>That the bivy provided accommodation for those who could not make the adjacent river crossing on the single wire cableway (deemed unreliable and unsafe) to nearby Rocks Ahead Hut.</li> <li>The bivy was also considered an overflow facility.</li> </ul> </li> <li>These submissions challenged the hut principles: <ul> <li>Low use huts that do not provide a significant recreation opportunity within the local area or region opportunity will not be retained.</li> <li>A hut (on a BCC or BCA site) located &lt; 3 hours from the nearest hut should generally not be retained, unless it is a popular destination.</li> </ul> </li> <li>There was greater support for the bivy removal proposal (13:2) if the adjacent cableway was upgraded to a swingbridge, along with the upgrade-size/capacity of nearby Rocks Ahead Hut. There was also a recommendation to relocate the bivy to the Te Puke Exclosure site.</li> </ul> |

| VISITOR<br>Facility<br>Number | FACILITY NAME<br>(SUBMISSIONS) | DOC PROPOSAL                    | SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS   |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| 42206                         | Makahu Saddle Hut              | Minimal<br>Maintenance          | <ul> <li>13 submissions:</li> <li>9 submissions opposed this proposal and 4 supported.</li> <li>Key arguments against this proposal were:</li> <li>That Makahu Saddle was one of only two dexion frame designs and should be retained for historic reasons.</li> <li>Was popular with hunters/ trampers bent on an early start.</li> <li>Provided shelter for those retiring from the tops in bad weather.</li> <li>Used as a bus stop for pick up transport.</li> <li>These submissions challenged the hut principle:</li> <li>Road end huts are not retained unless caretaker is on site or hut is booked but did recognise that Historic huts are retained.</li> <li>Supporting submissions had nothing further to add.</li> </ul>  |
| 42320                         | Dominie Hut                    | Maintain – at lower<br>standard | <ul> <li>12 submissions:</li> <li>8 submissions opposed this proposal and 4 supported.</li> <li>Key argument against this proposal was:</li> <li>That if a lower standard facility (already Basic) meant only a shelter, this would present a safety risk in adverse weather.</li> <li>These submissions challenge the hut principle:</li> <li>A hut on a BCA or BCC site that is &lt; 3 hours walk from the nearest road end should not be retained unless it is a popular destination.</li> <li>The 4 supporting proposals had nothing further to add.</li> </ul>  |
| 42227                         | Kaweka Flats Bivy              | Minimal<br>Maintenance          | <ol> <li>11 submissions:</li> <li>6 submissions opposed this proposal and 5 supported</li> <li>Key arguments against were:</li> <li>That while hardly used it was ideal for weekend hunting between<br/>Middle Hill and Makahu.</li> <li>Also ideal for older or inexperienced hunters.</li> <li>If the hut was to be retained for kiwi monitoring then it should be<br/>maintained.</li> <li>These submissions challenge the hut principle:</li> <li>A hut (on a BCC or BCA site) located &lt; 3 hours from the nearest hut<br/>should generally not be retained, unless it is a popular destination.</li> <li>The 5 supporting proposals had nothing further to add apart from, the<br/>bivies' location was good for weekend hunting and therefore it should<br/>not be removed.</li> </ol> |
| 97136                         | Ihaka Spur Track               | Remove (and not<br>replace)     | <ul><li>9 submissions:</li><li>7 submissions supported this proposal and 2 opposed.</li><li>Key argument against was that Ihaka Spur might be historic. However the closure of Ihaka Spur (the southern track) meant that the alternative track "Camp Spur" an Historic sheep musters track would remain open. There was definite concern that "Camp Spur" might be closed by mistake.</li></ul>   |

| VISITOR<br>Facility<br>Number | FACILITY NAME<br>(SUBMISSIONS) | DOC PROPOSAL             | SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS  |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Te Urewera                    | National Park                  |                          |   |
| 39678                         | Waiopaoa Hut (8)               | Replace – bigger<br>size | <ul> <li>10 submissions:</li> <li>6 submissions opposed this proposal and 4 supported.</li> <li>Key argument against was:</li> <li>That spending \$400000 to gain only 10 more bunks was unjustified</li> <li>That the existing hut should stay and another 10-12 bunk hut be built onsite or at Korokoro or Stump Bay.</li> <li>The 4 supporting proposals had nothing further to add other than:</li> <li>Instead of demolishing the old hut, relocate it to Stump Bay. Here hut visitors would primarily be boat users which could help to alleviate user group conflict on the Great Walk.</li> </ul> |
| 39301                         | Ohane Hut                      | Relocate                 | <ul> <li>6 submissions.</li> <li>All submissions supported this proposal.</li> <li>The key arguments for this proposal were: <ul> <li>That relocating would even out route travel times.</li> <li>The hut would be less subject to vandalism unlike the current position which is too close to Waikare Junction.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>   |

#### 4.4**OTHER SUBMISSIONS ON PROPOSALS**

#### Aniwaniwa Area

There were notably fewer submissions with very little on Te Urewera National Park track proposals. Submission focus was on retaining the network of backcountry huts. Downgrading of maintenance (1), relocation (2) and removal (1) of huts attracted some resistance. Track upgrading (2), particularly for visitor safety was well supported.

#### MINIMAL MAINTENANCE

| Te Urewera National Park           | 39727 Kanohirua Hut  | 4 (1 oppose / 3 for)        |
|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| 11                                 | he hut to be in reasonable condition a valuable and should be maintained.  | and that DOC has a role to  |
| MOVE TO ANOTHER LOCATIC            | DN .   |                             |
| Te Urewera National Park           | 40227 Okui Hut   | 3 ( 3 for)                  |
|                                    | 39723 Takarua Hut  | 6 (3 oppose / 3 for)        |
| its current location was excellent | d submissions to maintain of which T<br>and that existing travel times betwee<br>Hut focussed on loss of wilderness ex | en huts was not onerous.    |
| OWNED BY DOC BUT MAINTA            | NINED BY COMMUNITY   |                             |
| Te Urewera National Park           | 39704 Ngaheramai Hut   | 6 (6 for)                   |
| Ngaheramai Hut (Te Urewera NP      | ) was strongly supported. It was seen  | as a strategic asset in the |

Whakatane Valley. Inability to identify a community support group has meant that this hut will

continue to be maintained by DOC.

#### REMOVE (AND NOT REPLACE)

Te Urewera National Park

40228 Mangawhero Forks Hut 6 (3 o

6 (3 oppose / 3 for)

For those in opposition this hut was important for families, reputedly Rusa deer hunters from the Hawke's Bay and for visitor safety. Other suggestions included relocation and community sponsorship.

UPGRADE - TO HIGHER STANDARD

| Te Urewera National Park | 97017 Korokoro Falls Track  | 3 (3 for)            |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
|                          | 97020 Lake Waikareiti Track | 4 (1 oppose / 3 for) |

Full support was given to making the river crossing safer at Korokoro Falls. There was some confusion over the Waikareiti Walk and Lake Waikareiti Track.

#### PROPOSED

| Te Urewera National Park                | 191588 Mangawhero Hut          | 3 (3 for)                  |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| There was support for the proposal but  | no real comments. A suggestion | was made that construction |
| of this hut could be timed with the rem | oval of Mangawhero Forks Hut.  |                            |

NEW PROPOSALS

Two new proposals were raised by submitters of which 1 was accepted: Te Urewera National Park, Whirinaki-Waikaremoana Route; a long term objective.

#### **Gisborne** Area

There were only a few submissions and nothing particularly contentious about any proposals within the Area. Local recreational groups were actively engaged to provide comment. A rethink of the proposal for Te Reinga Scenic Reserve Track and the upgrading of the Mangakawa Track were the main considerations.

#### CEASE MAINTENANCE

Matawai Conservation Area

| Te Reinga Scenic Reserve       | 97976 Te Reinga Scenic<br>Reserve Track   | 2 (1 oppose / 1 for) |
|--------------------------------|---|----------------------|
| 11                             | that this track filled a significant gap in<br>airoa. Accessibility and spectacular sce | U                    |
| consideration. Hut and walkway | proposals were fully supported.   |                      |

| Waikanae Stream Conservation | 97053 Waikanae Stream Track | 2 (2 for) |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Area                         |                             |           |

Waikanae Stream is no longer a recreational opportunity but more of educational/scientific importance for schools and other conservation groups. Matawai Track is already maintained by NZ Mountain Safety Council along with an adjacent Mountain Safety Hut.

97069 Matawai Track

3 (3 for)

#### NON-VISITOR DOC MANAGEMENT

| Raukumara Conservation Park   | 38985 Mangaotane Hut<br>(McMillans) | 3 (3 for) |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Morere Springs Scenic Reserve | 97057 Nikau Pools Track             | 3 (3 for) |

Mangaotane Hut should be kept to sustain pest control operations in the southern Raukumara. Maintenance of Nikau Pools track was thought to be included in a Lease Agreement for the management of Morere Hot Pools. This was later proven not to be the case. With the significance of this high use track, the proposal will revert back to "maintenance" by DOC.

#### UPGRADE - TO HIGHER STANDARD

| Morere Springs Scenic Reserve                          | 97059 Mangakawa Track         | 4 (4 for)                |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Good support for this proposal althoug<br>Ridge Track. | the upgrade should not come a | t the cost of the Morere |

#### NEW PROPOSALS

One new proposal was raised and accepted.

Morere Springs Scenic Reserve. More facilities in the picnic, car park area.

#### Hawke's Bay Area

Retaining the extensive network of small backcountry NZFS huts in the Ruahine and Kaweka Forest Parks was an important issue attracting a lot of comment from local hunters and trampers. Upgrading (6) and relocation (1) of huts tended to be well supported. Alternatives to the loss of tramping access in the western Kaweka were well supported (to Ngawaaparua Hut) along with proposals to ensure a range of loop tracks(4). Issues relating to safety were well supported whether they be poling of ridge routes, upgrading of river crossings, retention of main range bivies or closure of a coastal walkway. Lack of access provision for the disabled was raised in submissions. Maintenance and signposting of backcountry 2WD road access was a clear concern in relation to private landowners along the eastern margins of the Forest Parks. Road access into the northern Ruahine Forest Park via No Mans Road was also an issue.

#### CEASE MAINTENANCE

| Ruahine Forest Park              | 97224 Longview/Makaretu<br>Hut – northern track  | 6 (2 oppose / 4 for)        |
|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| Those in opposition suggested    | strongly for retention of the northern sec   | tion as it offered a better |
| loop track. Close southern sect  | ion instead.   |                             |
| MAINTAIN BY COMMUNITY            |  |                             |
| Kaweka Forest Park               | 42260 Kiwi Saddle Hut  | 6 (6 for)                   |
| Ruahine Forest Park              | 42590 Howletts Hut   | 5 (5 for)                   |
|                                  | 42451 Whitnell Lodge   | 5 (1 oppose / 4 for)        |
| group training. The original age | hitnell Lodge as an ideal venue for Mount<br>reement for Whitnell Lodge fell through a<br>t time of writing. The recent loss of Kawe | nd a new support            |
| prompted a new proposal to re    | U  | and that he the Ruannie     |

#### MINIMAL MAINTENANCE

| Kaweka Forest Park | 42243 Black Birch Bivy      | 7 (2 oppose / 5 for) |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
|                    | 42259 Studholme Saddle Bivy | 7 (7 for)            |

Due to easier access those in opposition suggest Black Birch Bivy be maintained for "kids trips", kiwi monitoring and P. contorta control. Studholme Saddle Hut would need to be well maintained to offset the lower standard of maintenance for the nearby bivy.

#### NON-VISITOR DOC MANAGEMENT

| Kaweka Forest Park  | 42310 Comet Hut      | 7 (2 oppose / 5 for) |
|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|                     | 42226 Iron Whare Hut | 7 (7 for)            |
| Ruahine Forest Park | 42453 Ellis Hut      | 2 (2 for)            |
|                     |                      |                      |

Those in opposition suggest Comet Hut be maintained to allow for better utilisation of the north eastern Ruahine. Road access to the hut should be improved. Management of historic huts unanimously supported.

#### REMOVE (AND NOT REPLACE)

| Earthquake Slip Conservation Area | 97165 Hawke's Bay Coastal<br>Walkway            | 4 (4 for)            |
|-----------------------------------|---|----------------------|
| Ruahine Forest Park               | 97233 Stanfield/Takapari/<br>Tamaki Ridge Track | 8 (3 oppose / 5 for) |

Those in opposition to Stanfield/Takapari expressed concern over the possible closure of Rimu Walk as this would prevent a round trip from Tamaki-West Roadend. The track from Tamaki River to the ridge should not be removed as this provided an alternative to river walking and a loop.

#### REPLACE-BIGGER SIZE

 
 Kaweka Forest Park
 42308 3 wire across the Tutikuri River
 7 (7for)

 Consideration should be given for a similar standard facility on the Kaweka Track as this is also a

readily accessible loop track.

#### UPGRADE-SIZE/CAPACITY

| Kaweka Forest Park  | 42355 Cameron Hut      | 5 (5 for) |
|---------------------|------------------------|-----------|
|                     | 43347 Mangatainoka Hut | 6 (6 for) |
|                     | 42372 Rocks Ahead Hut  | 6 (6 for) |
|                     | 42367 Tussock Hut      | 6 (6 for) |
| Ruahine Forest Park | 42528 Taruarau Bivy    | 6 (6 for) |

Upgrades were well supported. Some submitters focussed on specific issues. In support of Cameron Hut the upgrade of the river track and bridging some of the deeper river crossings for safety, was recommended. Provision of a meat safe at Tussock Hut was considered an advantage. Sleeping capacity of Taruarau Bivy was already adequate but addition of a sheltered cooking area would be worthwhile.

#### UPGRADE - TO HIGHER STANDARD

| A'Deanes Bush Scenic Reserve | 97247 A'Deanes Bush Scenic<br>Reserve Track (DV) | 2 (2 for)    |
|------------------------------|--|--------------|
| Kaweka Forest Park           | 42586 Sparrowhawk Bivy                           | 5 (5 for)    |
| Otatara Pa Historic Reserve  | 192503 Otatara car park                          | 1 (1 oppose) |
| Ruahine Forest Park          | 100470 Tamaki West Roadend<br>car park           | 3 (3 for)    |

Hawke's Bay roading. Tamaki West Road end car park and Makahu Road were supported by submission although Makahu was more of a council issue. Roading was also the focus of submissions seeking to relocate parts of No Mans Road back onto public conservation land in the northern Ruahine or to improve ford access at Everett's Campsite in the Mohaka. Those in opposition suggested that the Otatara Pa car park was perfectly adequate as is. Funding

would be better spent elsewhere.

#### PROPOSED

| Heipipi Pa Historic Reserve | 192505 Heipipi Track                           | 2 (2 for) |  |
|-----------------------------|--|-----------|--|
| Kaweka Forest Park          | 192485 Alternative Track to<br>Ngawaaparua Hut | 8 (8 for) |  |
|                             | 192483 Mohaka River access                     | 4 (4 for) |  |
|                             |  |           |  |

Support for Mohaka River access also raised the importance of all weather access on Makahu Road at Makahu River which is currently a ford. A responsibility of Hastings District Council.

| Ruahine Forest Park | 192527 Howletts to Daphne<br>Ridge Route | 8 (1 oppose / 7 for) |
|---------------------|--|----------------------|
|                     | 192521 Oroua Saddle Route                | 6 (6 for)            |
|                     | 192520 Daphne Road                       | 3 (1 oppose / 2 for) |
|                     | 192522 Ngamoko Road Car<br>Park          | 4 (1 oppose / 3 for) |
|                     | 192509 Sentry Box Car Park               | 3 (3 for)            |

Minor confusion amongst opposition to the Howletts to Daphne Ridge route. Due to immediate safety issues poling of the route was already a work in progress. With those familiar with the present state of the route this appeared to be a duplication; why pole it again? Support for poling of difficult ridge routes in the Ruahine however was strong. For Daphne Road and Ngamoko Road car park, those in opposition suggested that a parking area adjacent to the existing road would be more cost effective.

#### NEW PROPOSALS

| Fourteen new proposals were rai | sed by submitters of which 5 were accepted.   |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Balls Clearing Scenic Reserve   | Improved access for disabled with removal of barriers to increase the range of opportunities. |

| Ruahine Forest Park | Barlows Hut to Colenso Spur Track & Parks Peak Ridge       |
|---------------------|--|
|                     | Track to Barlows Hut to be maintained by Heretaunga        |
|                     | Tramping Club.   |
|                     | Extension of Yoemans Track to Mangleton Road.              |
|                     | Upgrading Makahu Saddle Road to a similar standard as      |
|                     | Makahu Road in the Kaweka.                                 |
|                     | Because access is a major issue, 4 proposed improvements   |
|                     | to roading /car parking in the Ruahine (3) and Kaweka were |
|                     | supported in submissions.                                  |
|                     |  |

#### Maintain

Five proposals to "Maintain" (not initially included) received comment through submissions and public meetings.

Two hut proposals were identified at public meetings:

42522 Parks Peak Hut

A review of hut saturation and access through the main Ruahine Range agreed that Kylie bivy was too far south. The bivy would be relocated to a site 15 minutes north, to the junction of Totara Spur and the main range to enhance north-south / east-west travel.

New hut proposals at Totara Spur and Apias Headwaters junction (ex- Ruahine Bivy) will likely increase demand by opening access along and across the main Ruahine Range. This will put pressure on upgrading the size/ capacity of Parks Peak Hut to 6 bunks. A popular but small hut. Of the remaining huts, additional comments were made on:

• Hinerua Hut - improve access signage

Longview Hut – service standard too high; re cooking gas

Ruahine Forest park has a good network of small Forest Service huts and fortunate that much of this basic network has been maintained.

Two tracks "maintain" proposals were identified in submissions:

| Ruahine Forest Park  | 97210 North Block Road end to Waipawa / Sunrise junction:<br>would require remedial upgrading of swampy or rutted<br>sections. |  |
|--|--|--|
|  | 97221 Moorcock Saddle to Longview: a new shelter at the entrance and upgrading of track to take pressure off Sunrise Hut.      |  |
| One structure "maintain" proposal was identified in submissions: |  |  |

Kaweka Forest Park 43354 Rocks Ahead Cableway

Prior to removal of Rocks Ahead Bivy, this adjacent cableway across the Ngaruroro River should be replaced by a swingbridge to safely access nearby Rocks Ahead Hut.

#### **Opotiki** Area

Proposals for the Urutawa Conservation Area received the most submissions. Inclusion of Te Waiti Road access in the District Council Annual Road Maintenance Plan would have a significant impact on visitor use and consequently facility proposals. Opotiki Area is dominated by backcountry and remote opportunities. Provision of readily accessible opportunities within 30 minutes of population centres is recognised as an important gap. While loss of 2 nature walks was resisted, provision of disabled access at Marawaiwai Scenic reserve was supported. Provision of mountain bike access on Otipi Road complementing the Pakihi Track was also supported. Maintaining a network of backcountry huts was an issue. Removal of Kotepato Hut and maintenance downgrading for 2 others was resisted. There was still a noticeable gap in the level of submission comment on backcountry track proposals in Te Urewera National Park.

#### CEASE MAINTENANCE

| Urutawa Conservation Area    | 97261 Te Waiti Nature Walk | 5 (4 oppose / 1 for) |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
|                              | 100063 Boulders Campsite   | 4 (4 oppose)         |
| Waioeka Conservation Area    | 97286 Kotepato track       | 2 (1 oppose / 1 for) |
| Waioeka Gorge Scenic Reserve | 97257 Waioeka Nature Walk  | 2 (1 oppose / 1 for) |

For those in opposition to the nature walk proposals main arguments focused on education value to a range of age groups (old and young)

A commitment by Opotiki District Council and a local resident to maintain Te Waiti Road would significantly increase use of the Te Waiti Valley including the nature walk and Boulders Campsite. For Kotepato Track, safety was the main issue.

#### MAINTAIN AT LOWER STANDARD

| Urutawa Conservation Area    | 39246 Manganuku Hut            | 4 (1 oppose / 3 for) |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|
|                              | 97277 Te Waiti-Stag Flat Track | 5 (3 oppose / 2 for) |
| Waioeka Gorge Scenic Reserve | 97283 Mangapumarumaru Track    | 3 (1 oppose / 2 for) |
| Raukumara Conservation Park  | 98087 Otipi Access Road 1      | 4 (4 for)            |
|                              | 98089 Otipi Access Road 2      | 4 (4 for)            |
|                              |                                |                      |

For those in opposition, arguments focussed on growing use, easy accessibility, the impact of popular summer use from adjacent campsites e.g. Manganuku Campsite/Manganuku Hut and hunter encouragement. Manganuku Hut also supported an increasing range of backcountry opportunities in the southern Urutawa. It would be cheaper and better to keep the Te Waiti-Stag Flat Track at its current standard because it supported a proposal linking the Te Waiti and Pakihi Valleys.

The lowering of road standards on Otipi Road to mountain bike access was well supported. Opportunities for mountain biking in the Opotiki Area are limited.

#### MAINTAIN BY COMMUNITY

Urutawa Conservation Area

98101 Te Waiti Road

2 (2 for)

The road provides key visitor access into the northern Urutawa Conservation Area. Essentially this is an Opotiki District Council road which will now be included in their annual maintenance plan. A local resident has also undertaken to share in the road maintenance.

#### MINIMAL MAINTENANCE

| Te Urewera National Park | 40203 Waikokopu Hut | 3 (1 oppose / 3 for) |
|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                          |                     |                      |

The one opposing submission commented that this hut should receive regular maintenance as it was valuable to trampers and for biodiversity work.

#### REMOVE (AND NOT REPLACE)

Waioeka Conservation Area

39154 Kotepato Hut

6 (4 oppose / 2 for)

Significant opposition to retain this hut. Proximity to the road end made it popular with an increasing diversity of visitors. Popular for trampers and hunters with limited time. Community sponsorship was suggested. Deficiencies with hut user data meant a better system of monitoring hut use was needed. Failure of the backcountry hut ticket system was also raised.

#### NON-VISITOR DOC MANAGEMENT

| Urutawa Conservation Area | 97278 Tokenui Route – Te<br>Waiti Stm Confluence to<br>Tokenui Bivy      | 4 (2 oppose / 2 for) |
|---------------------------|--|----------------------|
|                           | 97279 Tokenui Route<br>– Tokenui Biv to saddle/Little<br>Manganuku Track | 4 (2 oppose / 2 for) |
|                           | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                                    | C 1 11 1             |

For those in opposition, it was strategically important to ensure a network of tracks and huts linking the main valleys of the Urutawa Conservation Area. The Tokenui Valley was one of these. There was also the possibility for extended three day overnight tramping opportunities. They represent potential that would be monitored in relation to improved road access in the Te Waiti Valley.

#### PROPOSED

| Marawaiwai Scenic Reserve | 190440 Marawaiwai Disabled<br>Track | 3 (3 for)            |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Urutawa Conservation Area | 190519 Te Waiti Swingbridge         | 6 (1 oppose / 5 for) |

Important for two reasons; Marawaiwai is readily accessible within 20 minutes of Opotiki. Natural bush opportunities for disabled access and day visitors near Opotiki are minimal. The proposed Te Waiti swingbridge was also supported subject to a new proposal to build a bridge across the Pakihi Stream coming first.

Those in opposition to the Te Waiti Swingbridge commented that the river crossing was quite safe unless in major flood and that resources would be better directed at other proposals.

#### NEW PROPOSALS

| Three new proposals were raised by submitters of which 2 were accepted. |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| Urutawa Conservation<br>Area  | Pakihi Suspension bridge designed to be consistent with the history of Pakihi Track. All weather access.  |  |
|   | Stag Flat-Pakihi Route to establish a link between the Te Waiti and Pakihi Valleys creating a loop track. |  |
|   | The Pakihi Track was also identified in submissions supporting  |  |

upgrade to bring to standard.

#### 4.5 **PROPOSALS THAT DID NOT RECEIVE SUBMISSIONS**

A list of DOC proposals that received no specific submissions are provided in Appendix 1.

Te Urewera Tracks featured heavily here.

Two submissions were received supporting all DOC proposals in the Conservancy while one supported all track related proposals. A few submissions also stated that failure to comment on DOC proposals did not necessarily imply consent.

# 5. User group meetings

Seven meetings were held with user groups throughout the conservancy.

Two meetings were held with the Opotiki District Council and an adjacent landowner concerning maintenance options for the Te Waiti Road. (4 March and 27 April /Opotiki) This road (Site 500218/Asset No.98101) is a strategic public access to the northern Urutawa Conservation Area.

It was agreed that:

- The Council in fulfilling its tourism objectives for Eastern Bay of Plenty would maintain Te Waiti Road to Mt Misery and develop car parking and
- the adjacent landowner would maintain the road from Mt Misery to Bush Haven on condition that DOC would continue to maintain its recreational infrastructure as outlined in Recreation Opportunity Review document within the Te Waiti and Pakihi Valleys.

A Gisborne Area meeting was arranged on 26 March to ascertain support for the Te Reinga Falls Track (Site 500304/Asset No 97076) proposal with Ngati Hinehika. The Iwi Chairman felt this proposal would be supported by the Te Reinga community and hapu and that his people would support the necessity to have the track easement gazetted under the Walkways Act.

In the Hawke's Bay, four meetings were held with tramping/hunting club representatives and keen individuals.

The first meeting (Napier; 19 April discussed hut proposals in the northeastern Ruahine. It was accepted that loss of Aranga and No Mans Huts created a major gap. To offset this, Ruahine Bivy (Site 703016/Asset No.192402) would be located at the headwaters Apias Stream (from Parks Peak) on the main range and a second hut (6 bunk) would be located at the Totara Spur junction with the main range.(Site 703021/Asset No.192599.) Kylie Bivy which was too far south would be removed and Upper Makaroro Hut (from Parks Peak) would go to Minimal maintenance and be reviewed in 3 years. Ruahine Bivy would be renamed "Apias Hut" (six bunks).

The second meeting (Waipukarau 29 April) aimed at maintenance options for Happy Daze Hut (Site 703073/Asset No.43389). The meeting confirmed that interest in maintaining the hut existed only if access constraints from Mill Road were removed. The agreed outcome was:

- DOC to assess legality of public access to the hut aiming to reach a satisfactory agreement by Dec 2006
- Interim final decision will be "Minimal maintenance"
- If by December 2006 agreement on maintaining the hut cannot be reached; it will be removed

A third Hawke's Bay meeting (Napier 18 May)was held with Napier/Hastings City Councils to discuss walking opportunities in urban fringe areas. Specific proposals included Heipipi Historic Reserve and integration of a track with the Napier City Council kanuka forest reserve within the East Hills land development; a coastal walk from Tangoio Beach to Whakaari Reserve and walkway access from Otatara Pa, along the Taradale Hills to the Ahuriri walkway. The final Hawke's Bay meeting (Napier 13 July) was with members of the Heretaunga Tramping Club. It aimed to finalise Totara Spur proposals in the Ruahine and to update on national recommendations from the "Huts Specialist Group" for 8 huts in the Kaweka and Ruahine Forest Parks.

# 6. Summary of general points from submissions

#### GENERAL POINTS

| NATURE OF SUBMISSION POINTS  | MERITS   |
|--|--|
| Access   |  |
| Angler Access - consideration be given to<br>improving access through tracks, campsites<br>and new helipads for recreational anglers.<br>Particularly to the Ngaruroro River/Kaweka. | Consider fishing access as a stronger element in recreational planning but need to be realistic. Improvements to recreation infrastructure is going part way to meeting this.  |
| <b>4WD</b> - OHV use is a valid and growing recreation that must be recognised.  | 4X4 vehicle use is recognised as a popular recreation activity, and many old access roads have been designated for this type of use. Unfortunately, some 4X4 drivers have created impacts through thoughtless vehicle use of conservation lands that has led to restrictive policy and a preference by managers for this activity to occur off conservation lands.   |
| <b>Disabled</b> – Do more to encourage disabled<br>access to visitor centres and appropriate bush<br>reserves. Remove barriers.  | Facilities can be designed with the disabled in mind, which will benefit other visitors<br>as well (e.g. people with prams). Advice from representatives of disabled persons is<br>being sought with the aim of improving access options for the less able visitors.<br>Have 2 new track proposals as part of this public process (Balls Clearing and<br>Marawaiwai) but there are issues with the Aniwaniwa Visitor Centre and parts of the<br>nearby Waikaremoana Motor camp that need addressing. |
| <b>Road</b> - Poor road access into the<br>Ruahine, Kaweka Forest Parks and Gwavas<br>Conservation Area.   | In many cases road access into these areas cuts through private land. Access<br>agreements with landowners vary from formal to verbal. Problems with changing<br>ownership, poorly defined rights of way and frustration with visitor/owner behaviour<br>mean that negotiations are always in a state of flux. Road access is a key issue that<br>impacts on use and is a priority in the Hawke's Bay Conservation Management Strategy.  |
| <b>Track</b> – Visitor use is low due to insufficient<br>signage and access information regarding<br>private land in the Ruahine and Kaweka<br>Forest Parks.                         | As with road access (above), access agreements with landowners vary from formal to verbal. Problems with changing ownership, poorly defined rights of way and frustration with visitor/owner behaviour mean that negotiations are always in a state of flux. Foot access is a key issue that impacts on use and is a priority in the Hawke's Bay Conservation Management Strategy.   |

#### Overseas visitor/New Zealander relationships

**Support local recreation** – DOC should do more to foster local recreation (hunter/ trampers). Should only allow tourism (Conservation Act).

**Cost Sharing** – Overseas visitors should pay a fairer/greater share of costs. Perception Great Walks promoted to overseas visitors at the expense of New Zealanders. New Zealand recreationists vs overseas tourists. The conservancy continues to do a lot for recreation by maintaining a comprehensive backcountry network of small backcountry huts and tracks of regional significance to the eastern and upper North Island. This is consistent with the DOC Visitor Strategy and the Conservation Management Strategy.

In the East Coast Hawke's Bay Conservancy, 75% of visitors to the Waikaremoana Great Walk are New Zealanders, largely from Auckland. Tourism contributes to New Zealand's economic wellbeing and this is recognised through Government funding for visitor facilities and conservation work as a whole.

Work is programmed to improve the ability of the department to understand and respond to issues of crowding and impacts, problems which are not created exclusively by one group alone. Many New Zealanders enjoy the higher standard facilities and opportunities that support nature-based tourism and are provided as part of the range of recreation opportunities that DOC manages.

| NATURE OF SUBMISSION POINTS  | MERITS  |
|--|---|
| <b>Funds committed to tourism</b> – Emphasis<br>on spending more in high use areas e.g. Great<br>Walks because of the tourism activity rather<br>than on areas benefiting local recreationalists.  | More funding will become available and as a result more funding will be allocated<br>to basic backcountry facilities, as well as to the provision of higher service standard<br>front country facilities. The department will aim to meet its objective "a range of<br>recreational opportunities should be provided in different settings for visitors with<br>different capabilities, skills and interests" (Draft General Policy Conservation Act).  |
| New Zealanders displaced from their heritage.<br>More overseas visitors are going to places<br>favoured by New Zealanders.   | Tourism is strongly domestic in East Coast Hawkes Bay and this issue is less<br>problematic. Booking systems need to work in favour of New Zealander's being<br>able to access their own recreation opportunities. The bookings system used at<br>Waikaremoana in fact greatly enhances domestic use because most kiwis are travelling<br>at some distance and need security of accommodation prior to departure  |
| Facilities Management  |   |
| <b>Declining condition</b> – Criticism of the<br>state of tracks and huts since DOC took over<br>management. In particular the Ruahine Forest<br>Park and Te Urewera National Park   | The Government has accepted that the funding for visitor facilities in conservation<br>areas has been inadequate in the past which has led to considerable deferred<br>maintenance. The new funding being provided over the next ten years is intended to<br>deal with this problem.  |
| <b>DOCs' role</b> – All backcountry huts are<br>valuable and DOC has a role to ensure they<br>get adequate maintenance for long life.  | The department recognises the significance of the backcountry hut and track network<br>as part of the character of the national network of parks. An appropriate mix of<br>recreation opportunities is being sought, which includes areas with higher levels of<br>facility provisions, others with basic facilities and still others with no facilities.   |
| <ul> <li>Style - Retain the style of old backcountry huts/small/ close/ intimate. Big huts have no atmosphere and are soulless with no "hearthside feel". Hut design to reflect visitor experience.</li> <li>Too many small huts are being lost while overly large Great Walk huts take priority.(Concern about Te Urewera hut proposals)</li> </ul> | There has been a trend towards more people seeking backcountry experiences that<br>include facilities of a higher service standard. It is possible to accommodate these<br>people's needs through the provision of some larger huts and better developed tracks.<br>This type of facility is not expected to replace to any significant degree the basic<br>facilities seen by many as the essential character of the New Zealand backcountry.  |
| <b>Road end huts</b> – provide opportunities for<br>less experienced groups, families, less fit. A<br>valid introductory experience offered that<br>could result in a "committed outdoor person.   | To an extent in the hut principles this is already catered.<br>For huts close to road ends, if the facility is on a backcountry adventurer or comfort<br>seeker site and is a popular destination. There is scope for this type of opportunity<br>for the less able, less experienced / families and those seeking safe "introductory"<br>overnight bush experiences.<br>Although on a highly popular day visitor site, TripleX Hut has been identified as<br>candidate to fulfil this need in the Ruahine Forest Park.   |
| Wasted resources – Don't waste resources removing assets that could save life.   | The department accepts that any form of shelter has the potential to provide safe<br>haven but does not accept that this is justification enough for all existing huts<br>and shelters to be retained. Huts must be removed when they become unsafe,<br>unweatherproof and unsanitary. Otherwise the department is liable under the Buildings<br>Act/ Health Safety and Employment Act/Occupier Liability Act etc.  |
| <ul> <li>Service standards - Achieving or exceeding our own high levels of service might come at the sacrifice of simple backcountry huts or other less glamorous opportunities.</li> <li>Adopt a minimalist approach to hut design.</li> </ul>  | New Government funding was provided to better manage the current range of recreation opportunities. More funding will be allocated to basic backcountry facilities, as will be the case for higher service standard front country facilities. While there are facilities that can be managed to lower service standards and thus are more cost effective by hut or km of track, this does not fulfil the departments objective "a range of recreational opportunities should be provided in different settings for visitors with different capabilities, skills and interests" (Draft General Policy Conservation Act). Service standards are intended to be used as the minimum standard for facilities, but they should also not be exceeded to any significant degree. |
| <b>Safety role -</b> Main range bivies for safety.<br>Life saving importance of huts.  | The department accepts that any form of shelter has the potential to provide safe<br>haven but does not accept that this is justification enough for all existing huts and<br>shelters to be retained. High altitude bivies on the main range of the Ruahine/Kaweka<br>will as far as possible be retained for visitor safety. The main exception is Rocks Ahead<br>Bivy (15 minutes from Rocks Ahead Hut) and will only be removed once the cableway<br>across the Ngaruroro River is upgraded.  |

| Cofoty information Undeted  | As a consequence of change consting from the Deprosition Operation Deprint  |
|---|---|
| Safety information – Updated map<br>information; transition between old and new<br>maps.  | As a consequence of change resulting from the Recreation Opportunity Review,<br>updated visitor information will be a priority safety issue. It will be important to ensur<br>that all internal and national publications (including DOC Website which is key) are<br>updated. LINZ mapping should also be reviewed. Emphasis on the importance of<br>public contact with the local DOC Office to get the latest visitor information. Work<br>programmes to ensure that orientation/information/directional signage is updated to<br>reflect facility removals within 2 years.  |
| Safety information – More log books at road ends for Search and Rescue purposes.  | This could be considered but vandalism/abuse is likely to be a problem.   |
| Shelters – Consideration to erecting cheap<br>vandal proof shelters on sites where huts<br>have been removed.   | While there may be cost savings in replacing huts with shelters, the intention is to<br>provide huts users with a facility to meets a service standard suitable to the location<br>and visitor group. The department's preference is to continue to provide safe,<br>weatherproof and sanitary huts, although in some places shelters will be suitable to<br>support overnight camping.<br>For East Coast Hawke's Bay Conservancy, construction of cheap vandal proof shelters<br>at ex-hut sites is unnecessary and unrealistic. The Ruahine/Kaweka main range bivies<br>and Dominie Hut are testimony to this. Secondly huts targeted for removal in this<br>conservancy are either close to or at road ends or very close to adjacent huts or are<br>timed for removal only after relevant safety issues have been resolved e.g. an upgraded |
| <b>Track closure</b> - groups are willing to remark<br>routes using track markers rather than see<br>them close altogether.   | <ul><li>cableway in relation to Rocks Ahead Bivy. Visitor information will be updated as a priority. The visitor also needs to take responsibility for trip planning.</li><li>Even with routes there are still ongoing costs to remove vegetation and windfalls so that markers can be followed in either direction. (Standards NZ Tracks and Outdoor Structures book) Track service standards are to be met where tracks are open to the public. The conservancy is working with interest groups to maintain tracks/routes in the Ruahine Ranges.</li></ul>  |
| Planning  |   |
| Hawke's Bay – Accessible recreation<br>opportunities singled out by Hawkes Bay<br>residents. The Department review must meet<br>these expectations.   | Accessible recreation opportunities have been singled out by Hawke's Bay residents<br>as something they want. This aligns with the Visitor Strategy and the Conservancy<br>Conservation Management Strategy. The department aims to provide a range<br>of recreational opportunities. While Hawke's Bay is renown for less accessible<br>backcountry/ remote opportunities, there are many accessible DOC managed<br>opportunities and proposals close to Napier/Hastings that will continue to be managed  |
| <b>Opotiki</b> – The Opotiki Tourism/Visitor<br>industry relies heavily on DOC's visitor<br>facilities. There is a need to increase visitor<br>information and the quality of experience,<br>within the context of strategic plans. | The department is the major provider of wilderness, remote and backcountry<br>opportunities. It has a significant influence on the visitor industry in the Opotiki<br>District. DOC needs to work with the District Council to ensure that Council policies<br>on infrastructure/development (e.g. roading) continue to support strategic recreational<br>development. In this way implementation of the recreation opportunity review will be<br>in context with the Conservation Management Strategy.   |
| Principles  |   |
| Minimal maintenance – discard minimal<br>maintenance in favour of maintain to a<br>standard that provides an asset for the future.<br>Avoid removal cost.   | Huts proposed for minimal maintenance are low use, non core assets that don't satisfy<br>the hut principles or provide a significant recreational experience. They are retained<br>usually because they are in reasonable condition but serve little or no recreational/<br>conservation purpose. When huts become unsafe, unweatherproof and insanitary they<br>will be removed.   |
| <b>Visitor Groupings</b> – Visitor groupings are<br>too rigid. They do not describe the range of<br>opportunities and the variety of uses people<br>enjoy.  | The concept of visitor groups is to recognise the diversity of visitor needs and to establish a set of categories that represents the major differences that can be found in the total visitor population. The visitor groups help drive the service standards, and the department prefers to focus on getting the current standards for facilities at present, rather than to resolve how to describe the complexity and variety of visitor types.   |

| NATURE OF SUBMISSION POINTS   | MERITS  |
|---|---|
| <b>Travel times</b> – 2 hour policy between huts<br>instead of 3 hrs. Safety; actually enjoy trip<br>without exhausting if one is unfit.                                      | The typical travel time between huts will depend on the individual's fitness and competence, and the terrain. The department is using the service standards of tracks to guide visitors on the likely ease of travel, but ultimately it is up to the individual to choose their own trip. The department is endeavouring to ensure that sufficient information is available for trampers to assess their own level of competence and to choose their own level of risk. |
| <b>Track removal</b> - "All markings, notices,<br>slats -will be removed from these tracks<br>senseless. Using money to remove notices<br>and slats has to be non-productive. | Unless there are important safety issues warranting removal of track markers, they<br>will be left but not replaced. However, for tracks that are being phased out, there will<br>be signs provided at track entrances to warn people of this change in management.<br>Tracks are assessed individually. Usually first step is to place signs telling of closure at<br>track entrances. Signage is then removed and publications are updated in due course.             |
| <b>Transparency</b> – Huts below minimal<br>maintenance should not automatically be<br>removed. Advisory group to assist with<br>Submission review.                           | The decision to remove at hut on Minimal Maintenance once it reaches the end of its life will be made taking account of the circumstances at that time. The process of determining a core facility network has been robust, but it is also recognised that such strategic decisions need to reconfirmed should some time have passed since it was made. The formal CMS planning processes are one opportunity for considering if such a change is warranted.            |
| <b>Hut use</b> – Low visitor numbers is no way<br>to assess the value of a hut; Hut books<br>underestimate use.   | The Hut Principles consider the level of use alongside several other key factors when<br>looking at which huts constitute a core network. The department agrees that low<br>use huts in remote areas will form part of that recreation experience. Other factors<br>considered include local manager views, trends in use, significance of the experience,<br>educational value, visitor safety, and hut condition.   |
| Other points that came through submission   | ons   |
| <b>1080 Application</b> - 1080 should be laid by bait station and not aerial.   | Bait stations are effective in small reserves and other easily accessible areas. Cost of using bait stations in more remote and rugged backcountry areas however, would be prohibitive. Here, the aerial application of 1080 baits is a more cost effective possum  |

control method.

### Section Two

# 7. Making final decisions

There are 2 appended reports on the Final Decisions:

Final decisions on the original DOC proposals are provided in Appendix 2.

Final decisions arising as a result of new proposals from the submission review process are in Appendix 3.

### 8. Summary of decisions

A summary table of changes with decisions compared to the original DOC proposals is provided in Appendix 4.

There were 30changes from the original DOC proposals to the Decisions. More than 90% of changes affected backcountry huts and tracking of which the majority were in the Hawke's Bay (12 / 43%) and Opotiki (10 / 36%). In addition 9 of 21 new submission proposals were accepted.

Deadlines have been placed on the implementation of decisions which have implications for management and user groups alike.

For decisions involving the "seeking of community maintenance"; final agreements must be reached by December 2007. Cease maintenance decisions are to be implemented by December 2005; Removal decisions by June 2006. Implementation of new tracking proposals will be deferred for three or more years while the Department works to bring the existing track network up to standard.

For Aniwaniwa Area (Te Urewera National Park) the decisions on recreational opportunities/facilities is closely aligned with the original proposals with minimal change. Through the submission analysis the importance of small huts in the backcountry and a general dislike for overly large huts on the Waikaremoana Great Walk was noted.

Final decision changes were solely confined to huts on the Whakatane Track. Only 2 were affected:

- Takarua Hut to be maintained and not relocated
- Ngaheramai Hut to be maintained by DOC and not local community interests.

A new overland route proposal linking Lake Waikaremoana with the Whirinaki to the west via the Waiau Valley was accepted as a long term development (5 years+) underpinning the range and extent of backcountry opportunities available in the southern Te Urewera National Park.

Disabled access issues relating to Aniwaniwa Visitors Centre and the Waikaremoana Motor camp will need to be addressed.

For the Gisborne Area decisions on recreational opportunities/facilities also aligned closely with the original proposals. With only 5 backcountry huts recreational emphasis favours more readily accessible opportunities close to population centres.

There were only 4 changes to final decisions and 1 new proposal. These affected the southern Raukumara where Mangaotane Hut (McMillans) will continue to be maintained for recreational hunting and not animal pest control. In Gisborne, the Waikanae Stream Track is not a visitor facility but more a school education/ conservation advocacy project in the Gisborne industrial subdivision. It will be managed as a separate community support project.

Nikau Pools Track at Morere Springs will continue to be managed by DOC. It is not part of the lease agreement for management of the hot pools complex. The Morere car park and Morere/Nikau Pools picnic area however, will continue to be maintained by the Lessee. A new proposal suggested more facilities (picnic tables etc) in the Morere car park / picnic area.

The most significant change for the Area is a decision reversal from "cease maintenance" to pursue the upgrade of Te Reinga Falls Track as a Walkway proposal over the next 3–5 years. This has huge potential for the Area and local Iwi as it fills a significant gap in the provision of day visitor opportunities close to Gisborne and Wairoa.

Other key opportunities include the provision of road access to the Te Tapuwae o Rongokako Marine Reserve north of Gisborne; the upgrading of the Mangakawa Track at Morere Springs Scenic Reserve and maintenance of 4 key Walkways within 1 hour of Gisborne (Cooks' Cove, Te Kuri, Anaura Bay and Otoko.)

The Hawke's Bay Area experienced the greatest amount of change. Through the submission analysis the importance of the network of small backcountry huts and tracks in the Ruahine and Kaweka Ranges at both local and regional levels was recognised.

While generally aligning with original proposals, there were 12 final decision changes and 6 new proposals.

Backcountry huts and road access were the main concerns. Poutaki Hut (Gwavas Conservation Area) and Happy Daze Hut (Ruahine Forest Park) will now receive minimal maintenance and their future will be reviewed again in 2-3 years.

For the Kaweka Forest Park, Studholme Saddle Bivy and Comet Hut are now programmed for removal. For visitor safety Dominie Hut will be maintained at its current standard.

In the Ruahine Forest Park, Sparrowhawk and Tarn Bivies will now be maintained and not require major upgrade. Triplex Hut will be maintained as an important educational and introductory experience facility, readily accessible to young and old. Kylie Bivy is scheduled for relocation to a more accessible site near at the junction of the main range with Totara Spur. Parks Peak Hut will be upgraded to six bunks while Whitnell Lodge will now be removed. As a consequence of and pre-condition to removal of Rocks Ahead Bivy, the final change decision for the Area involved upgrading of the adjacent Rocks Ahead Cableway to a swingbridge.

There was one final change to tracking. This involved the Longview/ Makaretu Hut Track (Kaweka FP)where maintenance would now cease on the southern section and not the northern.

Four new tracking proposals arose from submissions. Three of these were in the Ruahine Forest Park—Parks Peak to Barlows Hut (maintained by Heretaunga Tramping Club); Colenso Spur to Barlows Hut ( already included in work programming) and the Yeomans' Track extension to Mangleton Road. The final proposal was for a disabled access track at Balls Clearing Scenic Reserve.

Concern over the standard of roading access into the Kaweka Forest Park led to a new proposal to upgrade Whittle Road-Makahu Saddle for 2WD access. Makahu Road will also require upgrading and DOC will discuss with the local Council the further road improvements neeed, including the ford at Makahu Stream. For Ruahine Forest Park—three roading proposals: Daphne Road (300m of new road to provide good access to the riverbed/start of Daphne Track); Ngamoko Road Car Park near the Apiti Track access and Sentry Box Car Park off Mangleton Road. The Tamaki West road end car park will be upgraded to a higher standard.

For Opotiki Area, decisions highlight the significance of readily accessible opportunities close to town while acknowledging the huge range of opportunities in the surrounding backcountry. While generally aligning with the original proposals, there were 11 changes in the decisions and 2 new proposals.

The most significant outcome of the submission process has been an agreement to maintain the Te Waiti Road. This opens the northern Urutawa Conservation Area to recreational use, less than 30 minutes from Opotiki. As a consequence, original proposals for the Te Waiti Nature Trail and Boulders Campsite have been reversed. A new swingbridge is planned to safely access Te Waiti Hut and the benched track from TeWaiti to Stag Flat will be maintained at its present standard (tramping track). An old hunting route linking the TeWaiti and Pakihi Valleys will be reopened and a new suspension bridge proposal planned across the Pakihi Stream.

For the remaining backcountry, change from the original proposals is about fine tuning, retrenchment and consolidation. Removal of backcountry huts is not planned although community maintenance options are being sought for Kotepato Hut and the track accessing it. Three huts will be managed for animal control purposes (Te U Bivy, Brills Hut and Tokenui Bivy) Wharekahika Hut will now be managed as a basic hut for recreational use. Manganuku Hut will be maintained (as a standard hut) in reflection of growing recreational use in the southern Urutawa.

Other highlights include the upgrading of amenities at Marawaiwai Scenic Reserve and the development (3-5 years) of an access track for disabled visitors. The Ngutuoha Nature Walk, Otamatuna Ridge Track (Waimana Valley/Te Urewera National Park) and Little Manganuku Track (Waioeka Gorge Scenic Reserve) will also be upgraded. Otipi Road (Raukumara Conservation Park) will be maintained to a "mountain bike" standard. Although not technical, the road provides a challenging distance. The access road to the Otipi lookout will not be maintained.

## 9. Overview of decisions in terms of a range of recreation opportunities

Decisions for East Coast Hawkes Bay Conservancy (excluding new proposals) tend to favour day visitors (DV), campers (ON) and experienced users in the remote backcountry (RS) although the number of changes were few. (9 of 30) The majority of changes to decisions have occurred in the provision for back country adventurer facilities. (20 of 30) Results were mixed but overall there were 13 gains in facility provision, 5 reductions and 2 changes that were neutral. There were no real changes in facility provision for short stop travellers-SST (less than 1 hour visits) or backcountry comfort seekers-BCC (e.g. visitors to Great Walks).

Backcountry assets and in particular huts provided the main focus of debate within submissions. There were strong responses particularly in the Hawke's Bay from active user groups and passionate individuals. In many instances it became clear that submitters were experienced hunters and trampers with a strong affinity to the backcountry. Their knowledge and constructive comments played an important role with informing the final decision process.

Public cooperation with staff at meetings was excellent and appreciated. A few views were presented with passion. All views were give consideration. It is clear that the backcountry is dear to the hearts of submitters throughout the North Island. The network of small backcountry huts and tracks is a precious resource, a reflection of the New Zealand identity and their future not to be treated lightly. Many submitters wanted to see the huts saved for their own sake, for the history and memories they represent and the welcome safety they afforded.

Taking account of the submissions and other information received, and following a national assessment process including key national recreation associate organisations, decisions have been made by this conservancy. It is hoped that these decisions will reflect as much as is practicable the needs of the recreating public and will provide for a unique and enjoyable range of recreation opportunities in the East Coast Hawkes Bay into the foreseeable future.

#### PROPOSALS THAT DID NOT RECEIVE SUBMISSIONS

| PARK/RESERVE                       | NAME   | ASSET<br>NO. | PROPOSAL                   |
|------------------------------------|--|--------------|----------------------------|
| Huts                               |  |              |                            |
| Te Urewera National Park           | Te U Bivy  | 39249        | Non-visitor DOC management |
|                                    | Wharekahika Hut  | 39225        | Non-visitor DOC management |
| Urutawa Conservation Area          | Tokenui hut  | 38896        | Non-visitor DOC management |
| Waioeka Gorge Scenic Reserve       | Brills Hut   | 39252        | Non-visitor DOC management |
| Tracks                             |  |              |                            |
| Hutchinson Scenic Reserve          | Hutchinson SR Track  | 97148        | Maintain at Lower Standard |
| Morere Springs Scenic Reserve      | Morere Ridge Track   | 97060        | Maintain at Lower Standard |
| Okitu Bush Scenic Reserve          | Okitu Extension  | 191285       | Proposed                   |
| Papatu Scenic Reserve              | Papatu Falls tk  | 97068        | Cease Maintenance          |
| Te Puia Hot Springs Scenic Reserve | Te Puia walk/tk  | 97064        | Maintain by Community      |
| Te Urewera National Park           | Bald Knob tk   | 97012        | Upgrade-To Higher Standard |
|                                    | Hinerau walk   | 96992        | Upgrade-To Higher Standard |
|                                    | Ngutuoha nature walk   | 97259        | Upgrade-To Higher Standard |
|                                    | Otamatuna Ridge tk   | 97271        | Upgrade-To Higher Standard |
|                                    | Papakorito Falls tk  | 96997        | Upgrade-To Higher Standard |
|                                    | Otamakore Track  | 191833       | Proposed                   |
|                                    | Apiti/Waipotiki tk - Apiti hut to Saddle biv<br>(Mangawai)             | 97304        | Non-visitor DOC management |
|                                    | Apiti/Waipotiki tk - Saddle biv (Mangawai) to Twain<br>biv (Waipotiki) | 97305        | Non-visitor DOC management |
|                                    | Te U tk (Waiotahi/Kaharoa stream)                                      | 97306        | Non-visitor DOC management |
|                                    | Whakatane Valley tk junctn to Wharekahika hut tk                       | 97287        | Non-visitor DOC management |
|                                    | Whakatane Valley/Wharekahika hut tk junctn to<br>Matahi Rd             | 97288        | Non-visitor DOC management |
|                                    | Mangatoatoa II tk  | 97019        | Maintain by Community      |
|                                    | Waiopaoa - Mangaone Stm tk   | 97018        | Maintain by Community      |
|                                    | Apiti/Waipotiki tk - Pukawa Stm to Apiti hut                           | 97303        | Maintain at Lower Standard |
|                                    | Casino Bivy to Mangamako Stm junction                                  | 97050        | Maintain at Lower Standard |
|                                    | Manaohau (right branch) tk   | 97033        | Maintain at Lower Standard |
|                                    | Mangawhero Stm/Manaohou Stm tk   | 97048        | Maintain at Lower Standard |
|                                    | Ohutu to Duckville tk  | 96509        | Maintain at Lower Standard |
|                                    | Okui access tk   | 96515        | Maintain at Lower Standard |
|                                    | Okui loop/nature tks   | 96514        | Maintain at Lower Standard |
|                                    |  |              |                            |

| PARK/RESERVE  | NAME   | ASSET<br>NO. | PROPOSAL                   |
|---|--|--------------|----------------------------|
|   | Onepu to Ogilvies tk   | 97275        | Maintain at Lower Standard |
|   | Otapukawa-Otamatuna trk  | 97272        | Maintain at Lower Standard |
|   | Pukareao access tk   | 96508        | Maintain at Lower Standard |
|   | Rua's tk - Anini Clearing to Six Foot tk junctn                                  | 97044        | Maintain at Lower Standard |
|   | Tataweka hut to junction with Te Pua -Otane Stream Track.                        | 97296        | Maintain at Lower Standard |
|   | Tawhana-Te Pua tk  | 97290        | Maintain at Lower Standard |
| Te Urewera National Park                                | Te Panaa - Te Pua tk   | 97291        | Maintain at Lower Standard |
|   | Te Pua - Otane tk  | 97298        | Maintain at Lower Standard |
|   | Waihua Hut - Casino Bivy   | 96510        | Maintain at Lower Standard |
|   | Waihua Valley tk - Track start to Waihua hut                                     | 97049        | Maintain at Lower Standard |
|   | Waikare Valley tk - Waikare/Whakatane River junctn<br>to Waikare Whenua s/bridge | 97028        | Maintain at Lower Standard |
|   | Matawhero tk   | 97032        | Cease Maintenance          |
|   | Paetawa tk - Pinaki tk junctn to Six Foot tk junctn                              | 97268        | Cease Maintenance          |
|   | Raroa to Matahi Rd/Whakatane Valley tk junctn<br>(642m)                          | 97289        | Cease Maintenance          |
|   | Six Foot tk junctn (near Te Panaa hut) to<br>Taurawharona hut                    | 97266        | Cease Maintenance          |
|   | Te Maraateatua Pt tk   | 97008        | Cease Maintenance          |
|   | Waihua Valley tk - Waikokopu biv to Waihua tk<br>junctn                          | 97052        | Cease Maintenance          |
|   | Waikare route - Otanetea hut to Waikare Whenua<br>s/bridge (exclusive)           | 97302        | Cease Maintenance          |
|   | Waikare route - Taurawharona hut to Otanetea hut                                 | 97301        | Cease Maintenance          |
| Waioeka Conservation Area                               | Tawa - Kahunui tk  | 97073        | Maintain at Lower Standard |
| Waioeka Gorge Scenic Reserve                            | Little Manganuku tk  | 97254        | Upgrade-To Higher Standard |
|   | Brills tk  | 97284        | Non-visitor DOC management |
| Whakaari Conservation Area                              | Whakaari track   | 192507       | Proposed                   |
| Willow Flat Conservation Area                           | Kahikatea/Waterfalls tks   | 97080        | Cease Maintenance          |
| Important Structures / Roads / Ca                       | mpsites / Car parks and Amenity Areas  |              |                            |
| Access road to Te Tapuwae o<br>Rongokako Marine Reserve | Access road to Te Tapuwae o Rongokako Marine<br>Reserve                          | 191981       | Proposed                   |
| Marawaiwai Scenic Reserve                               | Marawaiwai/Track Amenity Area  |              | Upgrade to higher standard |
| Matawai Conservation Area                               | Matawai campsite   | 100052       | Cease Maintenance          |
| Mohaka River No.8 Marginal Strip                        | Te Hoe Amenity area  | 101272       | Maintain at Lower Standard |
|   | Te Hoe Campsite  | 101664       | Maintain at Lower Standard |
| Morere Springs Scenic Reserve                           | Morere/Nikau Pools Carpark   |              | Maintain by Community      |
|   | Otipi lookout access rd  | 98088        | Cease Maintenance          |
| Raukumara Forest Park                                   | Ouplilookout access fu   | ,            | oeuse manifemanee          |
| Raukumara Forest Park<br>Ruahine Forest Park            | Craigs hut campsite  | 100054       | Cease Maintenance          |

| PARK/RESERVE             | NAME                                   | ASSET<br>NO. | PROPOSAL              |
|--------------------------|--|--------------|-----------------------|
| Te Urewera National Park | Formalise a carpark area at summit     | 190722       | Proposed              |
|                          | Hopuruahine campsite                   | 100043       | Cease Maintenance     |
| Te Urewera National Park | Hopuruahine Campsite (New)             | 190708       | Proposed              |
|                          | Korokoro Suspension Bridge             | 190820       | Proposed              |
|                          | Ngutuoha picnic area                   | 100479       | Cease Maintenance     |
|                          | Retaining Wall (Slip1)                 | 190825       | Proposed              |
|                          | Retaining Wall Slip 2                  | 190826       | Proposed              |
|                          | Waikaremoana Motorcamp                 | 100050       | Maintain by Community |
|                          | Whatapo Bay Informal Camping proposal. | 190697       | Proposed              |

#### FINAL DECISIONS

## ANIWANIWA AREA

| ASSET NAME                   | DOC<br>Proposal                                 | SUMMARY OF<br>Submission<br>Requests   | DOC FINAL<br>Decision           | REASON FOR FINAL DECISION  |
|------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------|--|
| Te Urewera Natio             | onal Park                                       |  |                                 |  |
| Aniwaniwa<br>Visitors Centre | Maintain  | Upgrade to make<br>Visitor Centre and<br>associated toilet<br>facilities wheelchair<br>accessible.           | Upgrade – To<br>Higher Standard | Wheelchair access is an issue and needs to be<br>resolved. Engage an architect to identify options<br>to provide wheelchair access to the VC and toilets<br>and the Motor camp (access to shop, toilets and<br>accommodation). Solutions for the Aniwaniwa Visitor<br>Centre would need to be considered in the context of<br>the overall upgrade of the Museum. |
| Kanohirua Hut                | Minimal<br>Maintenance                          | Minimal maintenance<br>/ Maintain  | Minimal<br>Maintenance          | Hut is low use on a Back country adventurer site less<br>than 3 hours from Waiawa Hut and even closer to<br>SH38. Retirement date is 2016 so rather than remove,<br>it will be kept until it is not longer weatherproof, safe<br>and sanitary.   |
| Korokoro Falls tk            | Upgrade<br>- To Higher<br>Standard              | Upgrade with<br>particular emphasis<br>on the river crossing.  | Upgrade – To<br>Higher Standard | To improve safety and bring the track to the required<br>Service Standard for the predominant visitor group.<br>(Backcountry comfort seeker) Options for either: An<br>all weather crossing over the Te Korokoro Stream OR<br>A re-route from the Great Walk on the true left of the<br>Korokoro Stream.   |
| Lake Waikareiti<br>tk        | Upgrade<br>- To Higher<br>Standard              | Upgrade; Some<br>confusion with Lake<br>Waikareiti Walk<br>standard.   | Upgrade - To<br>Higher Standard | To bring the track to the required service standard for<br>the predominant user group.   |
| Mangawhero<br>Forks Hut      | Remove (and<br>not replace)                     | Remove / Maintain/<br>Minimal maintenance<br>until Mangawhero<br>Hut built.                                  | Remove (and not replace)        | Service life, vandalism/ tagging, too close to the road<br>end (90mins) and only 2 hours to next hut,(Midway).<br>Not a popular destination.   |
| Mangawhero Hut               | Proposed  | Support / condition<br>for removal of<br>Mangawhero Forks<br>Hut.  | Proposed                        | Proposal based on visitor demand; within 5 years.  |
| Ngaheramai Hut               | Owned by<br>DOC but<br>maintain by<br>Community | Part of a hut<br>network that should<br>be maintained by<br>DoC if Community<br>maintenance options<br>fail. | Maintain                        | Ngaheramai hut will be retained until either it or<br>Tawhiwhi reaches the end of their service life at<br>which time a new hut will be built at Ngaheramai to<br>replace both huts  |

| ASSET NAME                | DOC<br>Proposal                    | SUMMARY OF<br>Submission<br>Requests   | DOC FINAL<br>Decision           | REASON FOR FINAL DECISION  |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|
| Okui Hut                  | Move to<br>Another<br>Location     | Support to relocate.   | Move to Another<br>Location     | Relocate to Aniwaniwa Valley in the vicinity of<br>but not on ex-Ward's hut site. Iwi to be consulted.<br>This will provide safe hunting opportunities in the<br>Aniwaniwa Catchment during the Christmas period<br>when the rest of the Waikaremoana Catchment is<br>closed to hunting.                       |
| Pakiaka hut<br>(Parahaki) | Move to<br>Another<br>Location     | Support to relocate/<br>maintain where is<br>as link to Rogers<br>and Skips in the<br>Whirinaki Forest<br>Park | Move to Another<br>Location     | Hut is in reasonable condition but is well within 3<br>hours of Central Waiau and Te Totara Huts. Proposal<br>to relocate to Pukekohu Helipad area/Manganuiohou<br>@ w18.527:635. Will provide an overnight link<br>between Te Waiotukapiti and Lake Waikaremoana via<br>Maraunui breaking a 10–12 hour tramp. |
| Panekiri Hut              | Upgrade-To<br>Bring to<br>Standard | Maintain; 40 bunks<br>too big.   | Upgrade-To<br>Bring to Standard | Issues: heating, toilets, double glazing, internal space<br>use (packs on the floor), fire riskneed addressing.<br>Hut capacity may have to increase subject to the<br>impact of Waiopaoa hut replacement.   |
| Rosie bay Boat<br>ramp    | Maintain                           | Close boat ramp.<br>(weeds risk)   | Maintain                        | Maintain. Once the Lagarosiphon issue is resolved(2-<br>4yrs), Rosie Bay will continue to provide safer<br>sheltered lake entry/exit for boats.  |

### ANIWANIWA AREA

| ASSET NAME             | DOC<br>Proposal                    | SUMMARY OF<br>SUBMISSION<br>REQUESTS   | DOC FINAL<br>Decision           | REASON FOR FINAL DECISION   |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|
| Te Urewera Nati        | onal Park                          |  |                                 |   |
| Takarua hut            | Move to<br>Another<br>Location     | Relocate/Maintain;<br>excellent location<br>where is   | Maintain                        | Existing site is excellent according to submissions.<br>The issue of Takarua relocation can be re-addressed<br>when it comes up for replacement at about the same<br>time as for Ngaheramai and removal of Tawhiwhi Hu<br>(around 2020)   |
| Te Waiotukapiti<br>hut | Upgrade-To<br>Bring to<br>Standard | Support upgrade  | Upgrade-To<br>Bring to Standard | Core asset on Waiau Valley track system. Of historic significance.  |
| Waiharuru Hut          | Maintain                           | Hut too big; looses<br>initimate communal<br>atmosphere  | Maintain                        | Review options to increase intimate/communal<br>atmosphere and improve heating of the living/cookin<br>section of the hut. Also consider a partition in the<br>dormitory to reduce the "barn " ambiance.  |
| Waiopaoa Hut           | Replace-<br>Bigger Size            | Support but relocate<br>old hut to Stump<br>Bay./Review cost<br>options; possible<br>new site at<br>Korokoro/Maintain<br>hut and build an<br>extra 10-12 bunk hut<br>nearby. Don't want a<br>palace. | Replace-Bigger<br>Size          | Existing hut is nearing the end of its service life.<br>Currently accommodates 21. Living area is far<br>too small and only suitable for 11. Restricted<br>opportunities for hut expansion. Causes a bottleneck<br>for the larger huts that fed it. Frequency of days<br>during peak periods when hut is crowded to full<br>capacity is increasing alarmingly. To be built about 56<br>- 60m from the current site. |

### GISBORNE AREA

| ASSET NAME                         | DOC<br>Proposal                    | SUMMARY OF<br>SUBMISSION<br>REQUESTS  | DOC FINAL<br>DECISION                     | REASON FOR FINAL DECISION   |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Matawai Conserv                    | ation Area                         |   |   |   |
| Matawai tk                         | Maintain by<br>Community           | Community<br>maintenance  | Maintain by<br>Community                  | Mountain Safety Club already maintain the track and camp area.  |
| Morere Springs S                   | Scenic Reserve                     |   |   |   |
| Mangakawa tk                       | Upgrade<br>- To Higher<br>Standard | Upgrade/ conditional<br>that adjacent Ridge<br>Track is maintained<br>(albeit a lower<br>standard.) | Upgrade – To<br>Higher Standard           | Very popular track for a range of ages and fitness.<br>Readily accessible from Gisborne / Wairoa via<br>SH2. Highly unique opportunity for conservation<br>education/interpretation.  |
| Nikau Pools tk                     | Non-visitor<br>DOC<br>management   | Agree on assumption<br>that the lessee will<br>maintain the track.                                  | Maintain                                  | DOC maintain Nikau Pools Track and associated assets. This track is not part of the lease agreement.  |
| Morere/ Nikau<br>Pools Picnic Area | Maintain by<br>Community           | More facilities   | Owned by DOC/<br>Maintain by<br>Community | A high profile visitor site. DOC to advocate to Lessee about proposal.  |
| Raukumara Fore                     | st Park                            |   |   |   |
| Mangaotane Hut<br>(McMillans)      | Non-visitor<br>DOC<br>management   | Maintain / Support<br>biodiversity work in<br>southern Raukumara.                                   | Maintain                                  | A remote low-use hut in the southern Raukumara. The few Hunters using this facility are usually flown in.   |
| Mt Hikurangi<br>Walkway            | Maintain                           | Critical to<br>retain and well<br>maintain.(marked<br>and signposted.)                              | Maintain                                  | Binding legal agreement (with Te Runanga o Ngati<br>Porou) to maintain walking access from where the<br>farm track stops, to the summit. Farm manager<br>Pakihiroa Stn maintains the farm track. DoC maintains<br>the markers and signage for the whole track.          |
| Te Reinga Falls S                  | cenic Reserve                      |   |   |   |
| Te Reinga SR<br>Track              | Cease<br>Maintenance               | Spectacular scenery<br>alone warrants<br>consideration.   | Upgrade to<br>Higher Standard             | There are few Rural and readily accessible<br>opportunities in Wairoa Area. High scenic and natural<br>values. Visitor use of adjacent Falls Lookout is more<br>than 6000 annually. Potential Walkways proposal as<br>most of route is over Maori Land (Ngati Hinehika) |
| Waikanae Stream                    | Conservation                       | Area  |   |   |
| Waikanae Stm tk                    | Maintain by<br>Community           | Community<br>maintenance  | Non-visitor DOC management                | Not a track asset A community garden/landscape<br>project aligned with youth education and not<br>recreation.   |

### OPOTIKI AREA

| ASSET NAME                             | DOC<br>Proposal | SUMMARY OF<br>SUBMISSION<br>REQUESTS | DOC FINAL<br>Decision | REASON FOR FINAL DECISION  |
|--|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Marawaiwai Sce                         | nic Reserve     |                                      |                       |  |
| Marawaiwai<br>Disabled Track<br>Access | Proposed        | Supported                            | Proposed              | Full support. Fills a significant gap in the range of opportunities. Important and readily accessible, close to Opotiki. |

| ASSET NAME                           | DOC<br>Proposal                    | SUMMARY OF<br>Submission<br>Requests   | DOC FINAL<br>Decision                     | REASON FOR FINAL DECISION   |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Raukumara Fore                       | st Park                            |  |   |   |
| Mangakirikiri hut                    | Maintain                           | Basic maintenance;<br>essential link East<br>-West Traverse/<br>Raukumara.   | Maintain                                  | Maintain primarily for rafters on the Motu River.   |
| Mangatutara hut                      | Non-visitor<br>DOC<br>management   | Basic maintenance;<br>for biodiversity work<br>and emergency<br>shelter.   | Non-visitor DOC<br>management             | Biodiversity Asset. Gazetted Wilderness in the<br>Raukumara makes no provision for emergency<br>shelters for recreation. (Nature in control.)   |
| Otipi Access<br>Rd 1                 | Maintain<br>at Lower<br>Standard   | Maintain at lower<br>standard. All<br>terrain motorised<br>access needs close<br>management.<br>Mountain bikes only. | Maintain at<br>Lower Standard             | Maintain to mountain bike standard. One of the few areas suitable for mountain bikes.   |
| Otipi Access<br>Rd 2                 | Maintain<br>at Lower<br>Standard   | Maintain at lower<br>standard. All<br>terrain motorised<br>access needs close<br>management.<br>Mountain bikes only. | Maintain at<br>Lower Standard             | Maintain to mountain bike standard. One of the few areas suitable for mountain bikes.   |
| Te Urewera Natio                     | onal Park                          |  |   |   |
| Ohane hut                            | Move to<br>Another<br>Location     | Relocate; too close<br>to Waikare Junction<br>Hut / even times/<br>reduce vandalism                                  | Move to Another<br>Location               | Services a link system that accommodates<br>inexperienced trampers moving between the<br>Whakatane and Waimana Valleys Propose to relocate<br>further upstream near Wainakau Junction with the<br>Awamate Stm at W17 650 018.               |
| Waikokopu Hut                        | Minimal<br>Maintenance             | Minimal maintenance<br>/ Maintenance   | Maintain                                  | A low-use facility used by hunters almost exclusively.<br>Will assist biodiversity monitoring in the short term.  |
| Urutawa Conserv                      | vation Area                        |  |   |   |
| Boulders<br>campsite                 | Cease<br>Maintenance               | Maintain   | Maintain                                  | Change in District Council position concerning<br>maintenance of Te Waiti Road. This now ensures<br>reasonable (2WD)access to visitors long term.<br>Improved road access will have a positive impact on<br>visitor use.                    |
| Lagoon Hut                           | Non-visitor<br>DOC<br>management   | Maintain inferred  | Non-visitor DOC<br>management<br>Maintain | Very low use / not a core asset. Primarily a biodiversity asset for goat control in the first instance  |
| Manganuku hut                        | Maintain<br>at Lower<br>Standard   | Maintain to a<br>lowerstandard/<br>Maintain  | Maintain                                  | A reflection of increased use. Supports a range of backcountry opportunities in the southern Urutawa.   |
| Pakihi tk - Motu<br>Rd to Pakihi hut | Upgrade-To<br>Bring to<br>Standard | Upgrade-To Bring to<br>Standard  | Upgrade-To<br>Bring to Standard           | Important opportunity for Mountain Biking as a benched stock route.   |
| Pakihi tk - Pakihi<br>hut to         | Upgrade-To<br>Bring to<br>Standard | Upgrade-To Bring to<br>Standard  | Upgrade-To<br>Bring to Standard           | Important opportunity for Mountain Biking as a benched stock route.   |
| Te Waiti - Stag<br>Flat tk           | Maintain<br>at Lower<br>Standard   | Maintain; as part of<br>Pakihi-Te Waiti loop<br>track  | Maintain                                  | This track will support a link between the Pakihi and<br>Te Waiti Valleys: Northern Urutawa. For Back Countr<br>Adventurer and some Remoteness Seekers.<br>Potential waste of a benched asset.<br>Consistency with other proposals in area. |

| ASSET NAME  | DOC<br>Proposal                  | SUMMARY OF<br>SUBMISSION<br>REQUESTS   | DOC FINAL<br>DECISION                   | REASON FOR FINAL DECISION  |
|---|----------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Te Waiti nature<br>walk   | Cease<br>Maintenance             | Maintain; link to<br>Boulders campsite<br>/Educational   | Maintain                                | Local District Council to improve Te Waiti Road<br>access. This has been agreed and will enhance use<br>of the valley; particularly Boulders Campsite and<br>associated nature trail. (School groups/Family)   |
| Te Waiti rd   | Maintain by<br>Community         | Community<br>maintenance/<br>strategic importance.   | Owned and<br>Maintained by<br>Community | As part of meeting local tourism objectives Opotiki<br>District Council have agreed to maintain the road on<br>expectation that majority of recreation nfrastructure<br>in the Te Waiti Valley remains intact.   |
| Te Waiti<br>Swingbridge   | Proposed                         | Support; but bridge<br>over Pakihi Stream<br>first. Review location<br>across Tokenui<br>Stream/ Unnecessary<br>cost |   | All weather access to/from Te Waiti Hut in current<br>location below the Tokenui confluence is a safety<br>issue for School and family groups. Te Waiti Hut<br>site is most preferred due to the available space for<br>future expansion of the hut and as a hub/ popular<br>destination in the northern Urutawa. Continues to<br>support a range of options. Maintenance of Te Waiti<br>Road will increase visitor use. |
| Tokenui route<br>- Te Waiti Stm<br>confluence to<br>Tokenui     | Non-visitor<br>DOC<br>management | Ensure further<br>development/ access<br>for trampers and<br>hunters.  | Non-visitor DOC<br>management           | With the maintenance of the Te Waiti Road resolved<br>this may have an effect on use in the Tokenui and<br>Wahaatua Valleys. Routes through these areas to be<br>eviewed in 3-5yrs as potential reborn visitor assets.   |
| Tokenui route<br>- Tokenui biv<br>to saddle/Little<br>Manganuku | Non-visitor<br>DOC<br>management | Ensure further<br>development/ access<br>for trampers and<br>hunters.  | Non-visitor DOC<br>management           | With the maintenance of the Te Waiti Road resolved<br>this may have an effect on use in the Tokenui and<br>Wahaatua Valleys. Routes through these areas to be<br>eviewed in 3-5yrs as potential reborn visitor assets.   |
| Waioeka Conser  | vation Area                      |  |   |  |
| Kotepato hut  | Remove (and<br>not replace)      | Remove/ Basic<br>maintenance/Retain/<br>Sponsorship/<br>Implement a better<br>system of hut fees.                    | Seeking<br>community<br>maintenance     | Hut in good condition. Not considered a core<br>recreational asset being so close to a road end and no<br>a popular destination in itself although submissions<br>suggest that use is higher than anticipated.   |
| Kotepato tk   | Cease<br>Maintenance             | Clearer track<br>marking for the high<br>level access  | Seeking<br>community<br>maintenance     | Alignment with the Kotepato Hut proposal. Not<br>considered a core recreational asset being so close to<br>a road end. Duplication of what will be a maintained<br>track to Nikau Flat.  |
| Waioeka Gorge Sc  | cenic Reserve                    |  |   |  |
|   | Maintain<br>at Lower<br>Standard | Maintain at current<br>standard  | Maintain at<br>Lower Standard           | Track has insufficient use to warrant a higher<br>service standard. No significant recreation linkages<br>from here.(e.g. to Brills/Tutaetoko Valley.) To be<br>maintained at route standard.  |
| Waioeka nature<br>walk  | Cease<br>Maintenance             | Maintain   | Cease<br>Maintenance                    | More emphasis to be placed on Marawaiwai and<br>the Te Waiti Nature Trails. Traditionally this Nature<br>Trail has been the subject of significant abuse. The<br>Waioeka Gorge is the focus of an alternative major<br>development interpreting key historic, biodiversity<br>elements from the roadside.  |

| ASSET NAME                  | DOC<br>Proposal                    | SUMMARY OF<br>Submission<br>Requests   | DOC FINAL<br>DECISION                   | REASON FOR FINAL DECISION  |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| A'Deanes Bush S             | cenic Reserve                      |  |   |  |
| A'Deanes Bush<br>SR tk      | Upgrade<br>– To Higher<br>Standard | Upgrade / New Loop<br>Track  | Upgrade-To<br>Bring to Standard         | Construct a further 500m to make a loop track.<br>Review car park and track to Tukipo River in<br>conjunction with loop track.   |
| Eastern Ruahine             | Forest Park                        |  |   |  |
| Apiti Track<br>access road  | Proposed                           | Upgrade existing<br>road / new car park  | Proposed                                | A security issue. Form a new car park adjacent to<br>Ngamoko Road. Lower maintenance costs.  |
| Craigs Hut                  | Remove (and<br>not replace)        | Agree subject to<br>Makaroro Road end<br>upgrade/ Maintain<br>(Bookings)/                  | Remove (and not<br>replace)             | Triplex Hut is nearby providing a similar opportunity<br>(for young and old) in a more natural and popular<br>setting. Craigs subject to vandalism. Considered<br>a "maintain by community" option but history of<br>bad experience places reservations. Vehicle access<br>restrictions through forestry.<br>A shelter and toilet are proposed at nearby road end. |
| Daphne Road                 | Proposed                           | Upgrade 2WD road<br>access/ new car<br>park.   | Proposed                                | Form 300m of road to provide good access to<br>riverbed. Secure safe access for 2WD. Lack of<br>available parking on Kashmir Rd.   |
| Dianes Hut                  | Minimal<br>Maintenance             | Relocate / Maintain;<br>Memorial value   | Minimal<br>Maintenance                  | Low use facility close to No Mans Road and Taruarau<br>Bivy (1.5 hrs). Although more exposed Taruarau Bivy<br>with an upgrade is better positioned strategically.  |
| Ellis Hut                   | Non-visitor<br>DOC<br>management   | Retain as Historic<br>asset  | Non-visitor DOC<br>management           | Historic asset continue to be used by day visitors.<br>Potential for fire at Ellis if people use overnight. Use<br>of Whitnells is causing vandalism at Ellis (Graffiti/fire<br>risk) and this sort of result is well documented where<br>old historic buildings are adjacent to recreational<br>assets.   |
| Gold Creek hut              | Minimal<br>Maintenance             | Maintain / Improve<br>access signage   | Minimal<br>Maintenance                  | Not a popular hunting site. Low use.   |
| Happy Daze Hut              | Maintain by<br>Community           | Community<br>maintenance /<br>improve access   | Minimal<br>Maintenance                  | There is potential for this hut to be maintained by<br>community(traditional users) but problems with<br>access compromise their involvement. By Dec 06<br>DOC will have assessed the legality of access from<br>Mill Rd.If by then access cannot be resolved.   |
| Herrick's Hut               | Maintain by<br>Community           | Maintain / Cut track<br>to Herrick's Spur/<br>Review access<br>in northeastern<br>Ruahine. | Seeking<br>Community<br>Maintenance     | Farm Manager agreeable to take over maintenance<br>of hut. Willing to let groups through with prior<br>approval. Could be moved onto private land on<br>condition that public availability is maintained.  |
| Hinerua Hut                 | Maintain                           | Maintain/upgrade;<br>Improve access<br>signage.  | Maintain                                |  |
| Howletts Hut                | Maintain by<br>Community           | Community maintenance  | Owned and<br>Maintained by<br>community | Owned and maintained by Heretaunga Tramping Club.  |
| Howletts to<br>Daphne route | Proposed                           | Poled Ridge route for safety   | Proposed                                | Pole a 4 km route. Sections of track are already work<br>in progress. Howletts to Tiraha/ Howletts south via<br>Oroug Saddle to Otumore. Extend poled route along  |

### HAWKE'S BAY AREA

DOC FINAL

**REASON FOR FINAL DECISION** 

SUMMARY OF

ASSET NAME

DOC

Pole a 4 km route. Sections of track are already work in progress. Howletts to Tiraha/ Howletts south via Oroua Saddle to Otumore. Extend poled route along tops to Otumore trig.

| ASSET NAME   | DOC<br>Proposal                    | SUMMARY OF<br>Submission<br>Requests  | DOC FINAL<br>Decision           | REASON FOR FINAL DECISION   |
|--|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|
| Kashmir car park   | Maintain                           | New changing shelter  | Upgrade-To<br>Bring to Standard | Access to Longview. Locate a toilet in the car park.<br>Shelter not warranted at present but should consider<br>as a future option based on usage.  |
| Kumeti Hut   | Remove (and not replace)           | Remove  | Remove (and not replace)        | Doesn't meet criteria to remain. Within 30mins of<br>road end. Hut subject to frequent abuse. Frequently<br>used by day visitors.   |
| Kylie Biv  | Maintain                           | Remove. Part of new<br>proposal package<br>for Totara Spur and<br>Apias                                 | Relocate                        | Kylie Bivy is redundant as its too far south. Its<br>relocation to Totara Spur will only add 15 mins to<br>the trip from Sparrowhawk, giving Kylie Bivy a more<br>axial position in the main Ruahine Range. Relocation<br>should also enhance use and visitor safety.       |
| Longview Hut   | Maintain                           | Service standard too<br>high/ cooking gas.  | Maintain                        | Gas as a fuel option is the clearly the best impact<br>solution for a site where deadwood fuels are more<br>than 200m from the hut and scarceessentially<br>tussock country.  |
| Longview/<br>Makaretu hut<br>tk – northern<br>tk junctn to<br>Makaretu hut | Cease<br>Maintenance               | Cease maintenance<br>on southern track/<br>retain northern track.                                       | Maintain                        | Cease maintenance on the southern section. Retain<br>the northern section as this facilitates the preferred<br>access.  |
| Middle Stream<br>Hut   | Remove (and<br>not replace)        | Relocate / Maintain<br>as incentive to deer<br>hunters/Improve<br>track marking/<br>Review farm access. | Remove (and not<br>replace)     | Low use destination. Nearby Smiths Stream of higher<br>use and historic significance. Relocation is impractica<br>and unwarranted. Need to improve Smiths Stream<br>access and signage from North Block road end.   |
| Moorcock Saddle<br>to Longview<br>hut tk                                   | Maintain                           | New changing<br>shelter/ track<br>upgrade   | Maintain                        | Upgrade start of track which is boggy. Incorporate into ongoing track maintenance programme.  |
| No Mans rd   | Upgrade-To<br>Bring to<br>Standard | Relocate parts<br>of road to Public<br>Conservation Land  | Upgrade-To<br>Bring to Standard | Water tabling and culverting required in some<br>sections. It was suggested that parts of the road on<br>private land should be relocated to DoC managed lan<br>to prevent any possible road closures. High cost of<br>proposal and low use make this difficult to justify. |
| North Block<br>Road end to<br>Waipawa /<br>Sunrise Jn                      | Maintain                           | Upgrade and partial reroute   | Maintain                        | The track to Waipawa Saddle through the small patch<br>of bush and on through the leatherwood is deeply<br>rutted.  |
| Oroua Saddle<br>route  | Proposed                           | New poled route/<br>Safety  | Proposed                        | A high altitude route through tussock. It involves a<br>change in direction from one ridge to another. Havin<br>this route poled will improve visitor safety.   |
| Parks Peak Hut   | Maintain                           |   | Upgrade-Size/<br>Capacity       | A popular but small hut with good access. New<br>hut proposals at Totara Spur and Apias Headwaters<br>junction will likely increase demand by opening<br>access options along and across the main Ruahine<br>Range.   |
| Ruahine Bivy   | Proposed                           | New 6 bunk /<br>Rename Apias Hut/<br>alternative to loss of<br>Aranga Hut                               | Proposed                        | A new 6 bunk facility on the track junction in the headwaters of the Apias Stream. This will better facilitate access along the main Ruahine Range.   |
| Sentry Box car<br>park   | Proposed                           | New Proposal  | Proposed                        | Form car park. Consult with landowner with a view to improving signage to clearly define car park.  |

| ASSET NAME DOC<br>Proposai                               |   | SUMMARY OF<br>SUBMISSION<br>REQUESTS  | DOC FINAL<br>DECISION                | REASON FOR FINAL DECISION  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sentry Box Hut   | Box Hut Remove (and Remove / Relocate to<br>not replace) Aranga |   | Remove (and not<br>replace)          | Hut is not essential and doesn't meet criteria by<br>being within 15 minutes of road. Not a significant<br>destination in its own right. Built in 1960, the hut is<br>not worth relocating to the main range.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sentry Box to<br>Pohatu Trig tk                          | Maintain  | Re open Pohutuhaha-<br>Aranga   | Maintain                             | Already maintained. But from Trig to Aranga; NOT<br>Sentry Box to Trig (which is also maintained).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sparrowhawk<br>biv                                       | Upgrade<br>- To Higher<br>Standard                              | Upgrade   | Maintain                             | Retain for safety reasons. Needs repaint. Requires<br>water tank and toilet. Already extended at front for<br>cooking with a bench. Additional veranda planned.<br>Difficulty increasing capacity from 2 bunks to 4<br>without a major rebuild to the hut. (Currently A fram-<br>design) Difficult to warrant. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stanfield/<br>Takapari/Tamaki<br>Rd tk - ridgeline<br>tk | Cease<br>Maintenance  | Maintain Rimu Track   | Cease<br>Maintenance                 | Rimu walk will be retained. Track up to and around<br>Takapari Trig will not be maintained. There are<br>nearby alternatives from Stanfield Hut to main range<br>and Cattle Creek.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sunrise Hut  | Upgrade-<br>Size/<br>Capacity                                   | Upgrade capacity  | Upgrade-Size/<br>Capacity            | Extend hut capacity by 9 bunks. Very popular facility as a destination in its own right.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tamaki West<br>road end car<br>park                      | Upgrade<br>- To Higher<br>Standard                              | Upgrade   | Upgrade – To<br>Higher Standard      | Form and metal car park.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tarn Bivy  | Upgrade<br>- To Higher<br>Standard                              | Upgrade/ add water<br>tank/ maintain<br>"pragmatic solution"<br>toilet                              | Maintain                             | Retained for safety reasons. Need to ensure that<br>the toilet retains its unique character provided<br>it continues to not adversely impact on the<br>environment.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taruarau Biv   | Upgrade-<br>Size/Capacity                                       | Upgrade; additional<br>sheltered cooking<br>area  | Upgrade-Size/<br>Capacity            | In a superior position from a strategic recreation and<br>management perspective than Dianes hut. (Less than<br>1.5 hr between). Increase capacity to six bunks.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Triplex Hut  | Minimal<br>Maintenance  | Maintain / upgrade<br>/ remove only when<br>toilets and shelter<br>operating at Triplex<br>car park | Maintain                             | Hut is popular with school/family groups and older<br>people who prefer not to visit Sunrise Hut. Important<br>site for introducing the young to an overnight bush<br>setting. Major public support for this.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper Makarora<br>Hut                                    | Maintain  | Minimal maintenance<br>until a visitor use<br>review in 3 yrs                                       | Maintain                             | Hut recently upgraded. When Upper Makarora<br>Hut reaches retirement @ 2030 its location and<br>replacement will be reviewed in relation to Totara<br>Spur.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Whitnell Lodge   | Maintain by<br>Community  | Community<br>maintenance  | Remove and not replace               | Extensive canvassing of interest groups in the area<br>failed to raise any community interest in maintaining<br>this facility. Whitnells is an old house subject to<br>misuse and vandalism.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Glen Falls Ameni   | ity Area  |   |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Everetts<br>campsite                                     | Upgrade<br>– To Bring to<br>Standard                            | Upgrade ford access   | Upgrade – To<br>Bring to<br>Standard | Landscape architect to design a reduced camp site.<br>Ford access at the site needs improvement.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| ASSET NAME   | DOC SUMMARY OF<br>PROPOSAL SUBMISSION<br>REQUESTS |   | DOC FINAL<br>DECISION       | REASON FOR FINAL DECISION   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Gwavas Conserv   | ation Area  |   |                             |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poutaki Hut  | Remove (and<br>not replace)                       | Remove/Maintain/<br>Resolve access / Cut<br>and mark track to<br>hut/ Manage for pest<br>control /Reviewing<br>permitting/support<br>deer and pig hunters | Minimal<br>Maintenance      | A hut that local users have come up in strong defence<br>of. Inspect hut and review use after 3 years. Improve<br>signage and foot access at Makaroro Road end and<br>Leatherwood Road.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthquake Slip  | Conservation A                                    | rea   |                             |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hawkes Bay<br>Coastal w/way<br>- Waipapa Stm<br>to Aropaoanui<br>roadend | Cease<br>Maintenance                              | Remove  | Cease<br>maintenance        | Walkway closed due to dangerous eroded coast/<br>visitor safety.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heipipi Pa Histo   | ric Reserve                                       |   |                             |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heipipi track  | Proposed  | New Proposal/<br>Integrate with<br>Napier City Council<br>Walkways  | Proposed                    | Provide a poled 1500m track in Heipipi Reserve<br>linking with track in adjacent Napier City Council<br>Reserve. Need to tie in with Council objectives for t<br>area Involved.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kaweka Forest P  | ark   |   |                             |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 wire across<br>Tutikuri River  | 1 1   |   | Replace-Bigger<br>Size      | Replace with swingbridge. A safety issue- present<br>3 wire crossing is close to road and used by<br>inexperienced visitors.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alternative track<br>to Ngawaaparua<br>hut                               | ative track Proposed Cut and mark track           |   | Proposed                    | Probability of bridge and track reinstatement as part<br>of a Nga Whenua Rahui proposal over the Owhaoko<br>Block within next two years. If negotiations fail,<br>alternative route will be the option to link Otutu<br>Junction with Ngawaapurua.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black Birch Bivy   | Minimal<br>Maintenance                            | Minimal<br>maintenance/<br>Maintain/Biodiversity<br>role; Contorta  | Minimal<br>Maintenance      | Limited hunting opportunity. Bivy still in good condition.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cameron Hut  | Upgrade-<br>Size/Capacity                         | Upgrade hut and river access  | Upgrade-Size/<br>Capacity   | Essential for river users, increase veranda and decking space. Full support from submissions.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comet Hut  | Non-visitor<br>DOC<br>management                  | Maintain/ 4hrs<br>between huts/<br>improve access   | Remove and not<br>replace   | Doesn't meet criteria by being at a road end. Low use.<br>The hut is not a popular destination nor a core visitor<br>asset. Is provision for biodiversity to take over this<br>asset for Non visitor DOC management by July 2005<br>which will also require that the hut be moved to a<br>less publicly visible site. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dominie Hut  | Maintain<br>at Lower<br>Standard                  | Maintain at lower<br>standard/Maintain-<br>emergency shelter/<br>Historic   | Maintain                    | Retain for emergency shelter on an exposed section<br>of the Kaweka Range. Need to emphasise the<br>responsibility of visitors for their own safety through<br>roadend signage.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ihaka Spur tk  | Cease<br>Maintenance                              | Remove southern<br>track. Retain Camp<br>Spur musterers track.<br>Review historic<br>importance.  | Remove (and not<br>replace) | Track not warranted - low usage. Close the southern track and maintain the northern access (Camp Spur Track).   |  |  |  |  |  |

| ASSET NAME                               | DOC<br>Proposal  | SUMMARY OF<br>SUBMISSION<br>REQUESTS  | DOC FINAL<br>Decision                   | REASON FOR FINAL DECISION  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Iron Whare                               | Whare Non-visitor Historic management<br>DOC<br>management |   | Non-visitor DOC<br>management           | This is an actively managed historic asset. Has a dirt<br>floor, receives very little use. Not a core visitor asset<br>Must be retained for historic significance.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kaweka Flats Biv                         | Minimal<br>Maintenance                                     | Minimal maintenance<br>/ Maintain   | Minimal<br>Maintenance                  | Bivy is in reasonable condition. Low use. Not essential for visitor purposes.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kiwi Saddle Hut                          | addle Hut Maintain by Community<br>Community management    |   | Owned and<br>Maintained by<br>community | Popular hut with trampers. Hut is owned and maintained by Heretaunga Tramping Club.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mackintosh car<br>park                   | Maintain   | Maintain New "wind break"<br>shelter at car park to<br>change in  |   | Present level of usage doesn't warrant a shelter. Pine trees in 3 years will provide good shelter.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Makahu Rd                                | -  |   | Upgrade – To<br>Higher Standard         | Ford and worst of road is with Hastings District<br>Council. Continue to lobby Hastings District Council<br>for improvements to the road and ford at Makahu<br>Stream. Section that Department manages to be<br>progressively upgraded.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Makahu Saddle<br>Hut                     |  |   | Minimal<br>Maintenance                  | A low use hut at a road end. Meets none of the<br>hut principles and not a core asset. Of historic<br>significance (Dexion/Aluminium framing) and may<br>have potential for on site interpretation. Due to<br>historic importance of this hut its future will also be<br>determined as part of a review of all buildings at the<br>Makahu Saddle road end. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mangatainoka<br>Hut                      | Upgrade-<br>Size/Capacity                                  | Upgrade size/<br>capacity incl.<br>verandah, meatsafe,<br>woodbox.  | Upgrade-Size/<br>Capacity               | Popular with helicopter hunters. No conflicts with<br>proposed Remote Experience zone in Conservation<br>Management Plan for Kaweka Forest Park.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mangatutu Hot<br>Springs amenity<br>area | Maintain   | Piped stream water<br>to camping areas  | Maintain                                | Provide water supply for the toilet block. This will be<br>insufficient for camping with potential health issues.<br>Provide signage for water to be used from nearby<br>stream.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mohaka river<br>access                   | Proposed   | Support improved access   | Proposed                                | Provide vehicle access to Mohaka River (for river use)<br>from Mangatutu. Prepare a staged landscape plan/<br>strategy for the Mohaka River Access.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rocks Ahead<br>Bivy                      | Remove (and not replace)                                   | Remove and not<br>replace/ Relocate to<br>Te Puke Enclosure/<br>Remove only when<br>adjacent cableway<br>upgraded and Rocks<br>Ahead Hut capacity | Remove (and not<br>replace)             | Low use and within minutes of Rocks Ahead Hut.<br>Removal conditional on Rocks Ahead Cableway being<br>replaced by a swingbridge first.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rocks Ahead hut                          | Upgrade-<br>Size/<br>Capacity                              | Upgrade size/<br>capacity   | Upgrade size/<br>capacity               | Extend to six bunks and provide additional veranda<br>space. Partially in preparation for removal of nearby<br>Rocks Ahead Bivy.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rocks-Ahead<br>Cableway                  | Maintain   | Replace with<br>swingbridge prior<br>to removal of Rocks<br>Ahead Bivy.   | Upgrade – To<br>Higher Standard         | Present cableway at times is unreliable, difficult to<br>use and is a main justification for Rocks Ahead Bivy.<br>Upgrade to a swingbridge will allow for the removal<br>of Rocks Ahead Bivy.  |  |  |  |  |  |

| DOC<br>Proposal   | SUMMARY OF<br>Submission<br>Requests   | DOC FINAL<br>Decision   | REASON FOR FINAL DECISION   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Minimal<br>Maintenance                                  | Minimal Maintenance  | Remove and not<br>replace   | Close to Studholme Hut (within 15 mins) and low<br>usage. Is provision for biodiversity to take over this<br>asset for Non-visitor DOC management by July 2005<br>which will also require that the bivy be moved to a<br>less visible site.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upgrade-<br>Size/<br>Capacity                           | Upgrade / add new<br>meat safe   | Upgrade-Size/<br>Capacity   | Full support. Popular with helicopter hunters.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Reserve   |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upgrade Leave car park as is<br>- To Higher<br>Standard |  | Upgrade – To<br>Higher Standard   | Need to consider the future growth and significan<br>of this opportunity. Second best Pa site in NZ and<br>10 historic site.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | PROPOSAL<br>Minimal<br>Maintenance<br>Upgrade-<br>Size/<br>Capacity<br>e Reserve<br>Upgrade<br>- To Higher | PROPOSALSUBMISSION<br>REQUESTSMinimal<br>MaintenanceMinimal MaintenanceUpgrade-<br>Size/<br>CapacityUpgrade / add new<br>meat safee ReserveUpgrade -<br>Leave car park as is<br>- To Higher | PROPOSALSUBMISSION<br>REQUESTSDECISION<br>DECISIONMinimal<br>MaintenanceMinimal MaintenanceRemove and not<br>replaceUpgrade-<br>Size/<br>CapacityUpgrade / add new<br>meat safeUpgrade-Size/<br>CapacityPropertiesCapacityUpgrade / add new<br>meat safeUpgrade-Size/<br>CapacityPropertiesUpgrade / add new<br>meat safeUpgrade-Size/<br>CapacityUpgrade-<br>rograde<br>- To HigherLeave car park as is<br>Higher Standard |  |  |  |  |  |

### FINAL DECISIONS - NEW PROPOSALS

| MANAGEMENT UNIT                          | SUMMARY OF<br>SUBMISSION<br>REQUESTS   | REVIEW<br>Proposal | REASON FOR FINAL DECISION  |
|--|--|--------------------|--|
| Disabled Access                          | Improved access for disabled.  | New<br>Proposal    | New disabled access track with removal of<br>existing access barriers to increase the range of<br>opportunities.   |
| All weather access to Daphne Hut         | All weather access   | Decline            | All weather access when rivers are high. Not practical and cost prohibitive.   |
| Barlows Hut- Colenso Spur                | Maintain   | New<br>proposal    | Track is already maintained by the Area.   |
| Kashmir Campsite                         | New campsite rather than road end upgrade.   | Decline            | Set up site on Kashmir Rd before Longview Track entrance.  |
| Kaumatua Track                           | Reopen to improve loop ptions.   | Decline            | Unnecessary duplication of opportunity. Close to Sentry Box Track.   |
| Loop Walks Southern Ruahine              | Link Coppermine- Billy<br>Goat Track, NW to main<br>ridge at 1015m with<br>Wharite Tk. | Decline            | Current use does not warrant at this stage. For future consideration.  |
| Marked Route to Ikawatea Forks Hut       | New marked route/<br>Negotiated access<br>through Private land to<br>Ruahine corner.   | Decline            | Maori Land Access an issue that pre-empts the<br>submission. Low use, not a priority at this time.<br>Ruahine Conservation Management Plan favors<br>no development in this area as a "remote" zone<br>proposal.   |
| Parks Peak Ridge Track to Barlows<br>Hut | Reopen track; Parks Peak<br>to Barlow's Hut.   | New<br>Proposal    | Maintain by Heretaunga Tramping Club under agreement.  |
| Totara Spur Hut                          | To replace Kylie Bivy.   | Cancel New<br>Hut  | Consulted with key interest groups who gave<br>strong support to the proposal. A national<br>review of the proposal considered that with the<br>proximity of Kylie Bivy and Upper Makarora Hut,<br>one of these could be relocated to the Totara Spu<br>site. Upper Makarora Hut was very popular in its<br>present position. Kylie Bivy will be relocated to<br>provide better access and accommodation in the<br>main range. |
| Yeomans tk; Shelter at Track Start.      | Changing shelter at track start  | Decline            | Shelter would service six tracks in the area but in wrong place. Better option at Makaroro Road end  |
| Yeomans Track<br>Extension               | Extension of Yeomans<br>Track to Mangleton Road  | New<br>Proposal    | Increase the range of tracking options forming<br>loops to Parks Peak via Sentry Box Track-<br>Mangleton Road .A rare walking opportunity<br>through unique forest. Possible mountain biking<br>opportunity.   |

| MANAGEMENT UNIT                              | SUMMARY OF<br>SUBMISSION<br>REQUESTS  | REVIEW<br>Proposal                 | REASON FOR INTERIM DECISION  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Kaweka Forest Park                           |   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Makino river Route                           | New track/route along<br>Makino River; Foot of<br>Mangaturutu Ridge to<br>Hot Springs Track | Decline                            | Attraction is to walk up the Makino River.<br>Route access already exists and is appropriate<br>contributing to a range of experiences from Te<br>Puia. Conservation Management Plan for Kaweka<br>Forest Park also refers to a proposed Remote<br>Experience zone with no additional tracking.                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Te Puke Enclosure                            | New bivy (possibly<br>Rocks Ahead) and related<br>access tracks                             | Decline                            | Helicopter (Search and Destroy ) hunting will not<br>change in this area which will have a big impact<br>on its popularity to recreational hunters. The<br>site hardly gets any use despite the location of a<br>helipad. Used to be well hunted prior to search<br>and destroy.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Whittle Rd-Makahu Saddle                     | Upgrade to similar<br>standard as Makahu Road<br>for 2WD                                    | Upgrade-To<br>Bring to<br>Standard | Biggest problem is a steep road with corrugations<br>and tight corners.(Kaweka Rd) This makes the<br>road difficult to negotiate in 2WD.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mohi Bush Scenic Reserve                     |   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disabled access: Mohi Bush Scenic<br>Reserve | Provision for disabled access/track upgrade.  | Decline                            | Mohi Bush Scenic Reserve upon field inspection was not a practical option.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Morere Springs Scenic Reserve                |   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Car park and picnic Area                     | More facilities; picnic<br>tables   | New<br>Proposal                    | More picnic tables at the Pools Complex area.<br>Very popular Day Visitor site. Operated through a<br>Concession Lease. Inform concessionaire as a way<br>of improving customer service.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Te Urewera National Park                     |   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aniwaniwa Campsite                           | Re open campsite;<br>close to headquarters;<br>facilities.                                  | Decline                            | Originally closed in 1998 because of the threat<br>to water quality/grey water issue. Wairoa District<br>Council specific recommendations regarding grey<br>water and ablutions; limiting.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Whirinaki- Waikaremoana Route                | Marked route to link<br>Waikaremoana and<br>Whirinaki                                       | New<br>Proposal                    | Consider an overland route would be better<br>and safer. It is a long term objective to link<br>Waikaremoana with the Whirinaki.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urutawa Conservation Area                    |   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pakihi Suspension bridge                     | Lack of bridge only<br>limiting factor. Will make<br>Pakihi an all weather<br>track.        | New<br>Proposal                    | Pakihi Track is an historical stock route. A bridge<br>proposal across the river is consistent with Day<br>Visitor and Backcountry Adventurer groups.<br>Design of the bridge to be in context with the<br>history of the track.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stag Flat-Pakihi Route                       | Support to re-open route<br>to create a link between<br>Pakihi and Te Waiti<br>Valleys      | New<br>Proposal                    | Provides a link between Pakihi - Te Waiti Valleys.<br>Linked to Opotiki District Council roading plan<br>for the Te Waiti Valley. Increases the range of<br>opportunities in the Northern Urutawa.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Te Kowhai Track                              | New historic (Williams)<br>ridge route running<br>north-south                               | Decline                            | Would be an interesting experience from a<br>historical perspective but cost of establishment<br>and logistics of access are limiting. No known<br>or recognisable demand. Provides yet another<br>backcountry opportunity that is already in<br>oversupply for Opotiki. Review as visitor use in<br>the Urutawa develops. |  |  |  |  |  |

#### SUMMARY OF FINAL DECISION CHANGES

|   | Count of DOC Proposal | Maintain | Maintain at lower standard | Minimal Maintenance | Move to another location | Non-visitor DOC management | Remove (and not replace) | Seeking Community Maintenance | Upgrade-Size/Capacity | Upgrade-To Higher Standard | New Proposals Accepted | New Proposals Declined | Totals |
|---|-----------------------|----------|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------|
| Huts                                      |                       |          |                            |                     |                          |                            |                          |                               |                       |                            |                        |                        |        |
| Maintain                                  | 2                     |          |                            |                     | 1                        |                            |                          |                               | 1                     |                            |                        |                        | 2      |
| Maintain at Lower<br>Standard             | 2                     | 2        |                            |                     |                          |                            |                          |                               |                       |                            |                        |                        | 2      |
| Maintain by Community                     | 2                     |          |                            | 1                   |                          |                            | 1                        |                               |                       |                            |                        |                        | 2      |
| Minimal Maintenance                       | 2                     | 1        |                            |                     |                          |                            | 1                        |                               |                       |                            |                        |                        | 2      |
| Move to Another Location                  | 1                     | 1        |                            |                     |                          |                            |                          |                               |                       |                            |                        |                        | 1      |
| Non-visitor DOC<br>management             | 3                     | 2        |                            |                     |                          |                            | 1                        |                               |                       |                            |                        |                        | 3      |
| Owned by DOC but<br>maintain by community | 1                     | 1        |                            |                     |                          |                            |                          |                               |                       |                            |                        |                        | 1      |
| Remove (and not replace)                  | 2                     |          |                            | 1                   |                          |                            |                          | 1                             |                       |                            |                        |                        | 2      |
| Replace - Bigger Size                     | 0                     |          |                            |                     |                          |                            |                          |                               |                       |                            |                        |                        | 0      |
| Upgrade- To Higher<br>Standard            | 2                     | 2        |                            |                     |                          |                            |                          |                               |                       |                            |                        |                        | 2      |
| New proposal                              | 2                     |          |                            |                     |                          |                            |                          |                               |                       |                            |                        | 2                      | 2      |
| Shelter                                   |                       |          |                            |                     |                          |                            |                          |                               |                       |                            |                        |                        |        |
| New proposal                              | 1                     |          |                            |                     |                          |                            |                          |                               |                       |                            |                        | 1                      | 1      |
| Tracks                                    |                       |          |                            |                     |                          |                            |                          |                               |                       |                            |                        |                        |        |
| Cease Maintenance                         | 5                     | 3        |                            |                     |                          |                            |                          | 1                             |                       | 1                          |                        |                        | 5      |
| Maintain at Lower<br>Standard             | 3                     | 3        |                            |                     |                          |                            |                          |                               |                       |                            |                        |                        | 3      |
| Non-visitor DOC<br>management             | 3                     | 1        | 2                          |                     |                          |                            |                          |                               |                       |                            |                        |                        | 3      |
| New Proposal                              | 12                    |          |                            |                     |                          |                            |                          |                               |                       |                            | 6                      | 6                      |        |
| Camps                                     |                       |          |                            |                     |                          |                            |                          |                               |                       |                            |                        |                        |        |
| Cease Maintenance                         | 1                     | 1        |                            |                     |                          |                            |                          |                               |                       |                            |                        |                        | 1      |
| New Proposal                              | 2                     |          |                            |                     |                          |                            |                          |                               |                       |                            |                        | 2                      | 2      |
| Roads                                     |                       |          |                            |                     |                          |                            |                          |                               |                       |                            |                        |                        |        |
| New Proposal                              | 1                     |          |                            |                     |                          |                            |                          |                               |                       |                            | 1                      |                        | 1      |

|                       | Count of DOC Proposal | Maintain | Maintain at lower standard | Minimal Maintenance | Move to another location | Non-visitor DOC management | Remove (and not replace) | Seeking Community Maintenance | Upgrade-Size/Capacity | Upgrade-To Higher Standard | New Proposals Accepted | New Proposals Declined | Totals |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------|
| Structures            |                       |          |                            |                     |                          |                            |                          |                               |                       |                            |                        |                        |        |
| Maintain              | 1                     |          |                            |                     |                          |                            |                          |                               |                       | 1                          |                        |                        | 1      |
| New Proposal          | 1                     |          |                            |                     |                          |                            |                          |                               |                       |                            | 1                      |                        | 1      |
| Amenity               |                       |          |                            |                     |                          |                            |                          |                               |                       |                            |                        |                        |        |
| Maintain by Community | 1                     |          |                            |                     |                          | 1                          |                          |                               |                       |                            |                        |                        | 1      |
| New Proposal          | 2                     |          |                            |                     |                          |                            |                          |                               |                       |                            | 1                      | 1                      | 2      |
| Totals                | 52                    | 17       | 2                          | 2                   | 1                        | 1                          | 3                        | 2                             | 1                     | 2                          | 9                      | 12                     | 52     |

#### WHAT THE DECISIONS MEAN

Decisions for facilities in the Conservancy have been made by DOC as an outcome of this process of consultation. The options for future management are grouped under 13 broad headings.

#### Maintain

The facility will continue to be maintained, to the appropriate standard, providing recreation opportunities the same as, or similar to, those currently available. If it is a building or a structure it will be replaced with a similar facility at the end of its useful life. DOC will bring the asset up to the required standard if it is not currently to the required standard.

#### Proposed (new)

A new facility will be developed in a place where there has not previously been one.

#### Replace

A new facility will be built replacing an existing facility that will soon reach the end of its useful life.

#### Upgrade to higher standard

The facility requires upgrading to a higher standard or to a larger size to meet the needs of the main visitor and/or mitigate against visitor impacts.

#### Maintain to lower standard

The facility will be maintained to a lower standard than has previously been the case. Often this will mean continuing to manage to a lower standard because the original standard intended for the facility was too high and never achieved.

#### Remove

Remove the facility (if a structure, sign, hut or building). If a hut, remove by the end of 2006. If a track, remove markers, plant out track entrances and leave the track to revert to a natural state, or assist this process if necessary.

#### Minimal maintenance

Used for huts and other buildings. The building will be inspected by DOC on a regular cycle. Inspectors will travel with basic tools and equipment and some minor maintenance (that can be done during the regular inspections) will be undertaken. When the building is no longer weatherproof or becomes dangerous or unsanitary,

it will be removed, unless there is a community group willing and able to bring it up to standard and maintained to standard (see Seeking Community Maintenance)

#### **Cease maintenance**

For tracks, markers will be left until they naturally disappear, but the track will be left to revert to a natural state. Roads are closed to motor vehicles. Carparks, amenity areas and campsites are left to revert to a natural state and any associated buildings or signs will be removed. Signs will be placed at track entrances stating that the track is no longer maintained.

#### Close site/remove all assets

Remove all assets (structures, signs, huts, track markers etc), plant out track entrances and leave the site to revert to a natural state. Closed sites will be removed from all visitor information. Where necessary the site or part of it will be rehabilitated.

#### Own by DOC but maintain by community

The facility is one DOC believes should be retained. It is one that could realistically be maintained by a club, community group or local authority. The facility may already be maintained by the community. A management agreement should be established if one is not already in place. The funding assumption is that DOC will not cover maintenance costs, but will fund inspections and replacement.

#### Owned and maintained by the community

The Department currently has a formal agreement in place with a club, community group or local authority to maintain the asset. If, in the future, that agreement falls over, the future of that asset will be determined following consultation with the community.

#### Seeking community maintenance

The asset currently has no formal agreement in place and is not one that DOC believes it should maintain at all. The facility should only be retained long term if the community agrees to take it on. It is one that realistically could be maintained by a club, community group or local authority. DOC will discuss ongoing maintenance and replacement of the facility with such groups and should establish a management agreement for that maintenance

#### Non-visitor DOC management

For facilities receiving very little or no visitor use, the facility will be managed by the department for other purposes, such as to accommodate pest control staff or to access a biodiversity conservation area. The facilities will not normally be available for visitor use.