Estimation of Demographic Parameters for New Zealand Sea Lions Breeding on the Auckland Islands

POP2010/01 Obj 3: 1997/98 – 20110/11

October 2011

Darryl MacKenzie



- 2 key demographic processes
- Can be estimated from tag-resight data using mark-recapture methods
- Previous report highlighted importance of accounting for tag-loss
 - Artificially inflates mortality rates
- Sightability may be different for breeders/non-breeders, branded animals, number of flipper tags

- 4 components to model tag-resight data
 - Number of flipper tags each year
 - Survival from one year to next
 - Whether female breeds in a year
 - Number of sightings in a year

 Number of flipper tags in year t is multinomial random variable with 1 draw and category probabilities (T's) that depends on number of tags in previous year (allows for non-independent tag loss)



 Given female is alive, it's age and breeding status in year *t*-1, whether it is alive in year *t* is a Bernoulli random variable where probability of success (survival) is S_{age,t-1,bred}

 Given female is alive in year *t*, it's age and breeding status in year *t*-1, whether it breeds in year *t* is a Bernoulli random variable where probability of success (breeding) is B_{age,t,bred}

- 3 age-classes used for survival/reproduction:
 0-3, 4-14, 15+
- OR, constant for 0-3, and logit-linear for age 4+
- Exploratory analysis investigating the use of splines also conducted
- Survival and breeding probabilities = 0 for "breeders" in 0-3 age class

$$y_{a,t,b} = \mu_{a,b} + \varepsilon_{t,b}, \quad \varepsilon_{t,b} : N(0,\sigma_b^2)$$
$$\theta_{a,t,b} = \frac{e^{y_{a,t,b}}}{1 + e^{y_{a,t,b}}}$$

 Annual variation depends upon previous breeding status

- Given female is alive, it's breeding status, presence of a brand, PIT tag and number of tags in year *t*, the number of times it's sighted during a field season is a zero-inflated binomial random variable with a daily resight probability *p*_{t,bred,brand,tags}
- 2 models; no zero-inflation or zero-inflation assumed time-constant, but different for each age/breeding class

- Branded animals have the same resight probability regardless of number of flipper tags.
- Animals with no flipper tags can only be resighted if they are chipped or branded.
- PIT tags have no effect on the resight probability if the unbranded animal has 1 or more flipper tags.
- There is a consistent odds ratio (δ) between resighting animals with 1 and 2 flipper tags.
- Resight probabilities are different for breeding and nonbreeding animals.
- Resight probabilities vary annually.

*p*_{*t,bred,brand*} - applies to all females with brand

*p*_{t,bred,chip} - applies to unbranded females
 with no flipper tags

 $p_{t,bred,T1}$

 $p_{t,bred,T2}$

- applies to unbranded females with one flipper tags
 - applies to unbranded females
 with two flipper tags

- Posterior distributions for parameters can be approximated with WinBUGS by defining a model in terms of the 4 random variables
- Some outcomes are actually latent (unknown) random variables, but their 'true' value can be imputed by MCMC
- Equivalent to a multi-state mark-recapture model

- 2 chains of at least 30,000 iterations
- Last 20,000 iterations retained for inference
- Prior distributions:
 - µ's ~ N(0,3.78²)
 - σ's ~ U(0,10)
 - Other probabilities $\sim U(0,1)$
 - T_{,2}~ Dirichlet(1,1,1)
 - $ln(\delta) \sim N(0, 10^2)$
- Chains demonstrated convergence and good mixing

- Model deviance can be calculated and compared for each model
- Same interpretation as for maximumlikelihood methods (e.g., GLM), but has a distribution not single value
- Comparison of distributions a reasonable approach to determine relative fit of the models

- Fit of model to the data can be determined using Bayesian p-values with deviance as test statistic
- For each interaction in MCMC procedure, a simulated data set is created using current parameter values, and the deviance value calculated
- Frequency of simulated deviance values > observed deviance values provides a p-value for model fit

Survival and Reproduction: Data

• 1990-2006 tagging cohorts

 Resights from 1997/8-2010/11 in main field season at Enderby Island

 Stricter (status = 3) and liberal (status = 3 or 15) definitions of breeder used

Survival and Reproduction: Data

 Retagged females dealt with using the Lazarus approach

 Approximately 2300 tagged females included in analysis

Results (stricter defn.)



Results (strict defn.)

Tag loss

Tags at <i>t</i> -1	Tags at <i>t</i>	Probability
1	0	0.11 (0.10, 0.13)
	1	0.89 (0.87, 0.90)
2	0	0.04 (0.03, 0.05)
	1	0.14 (0.13, 0.16)
	2	0.82 (0.80, 0.83)

Non-breeder in t-1 survival

(Age Classes)



0-3

4-14

15+

Breeder in t-1 survival

(Age Classes)



Non-breeder in *t*-1 repro.

(Age Classes)



Breeder in *t*-1 repro.

(Age Classes)



Non-breeder in t-1 survival



Breeder in *t*-1 survival



Survival vs Age



Non-breeder in *t*-1 repro.



Breeder in *t*-1 repro.

(Logit-linear)



Breeding vs Age (Logit-linear)



Results – liberal definition

 Estimates from using the more liberal definition of breeder are very similar to above, although breeding probabilities tend to be slightly higher

- Exploratory analysis conducted to investigate semi-parametric relationships with age using splines
- 'Knots' are x-values where the nature of the relationship may change
- Y-value at each knot is defined by both relationships, hence creating a continuous 'curve'

- Linear and quadratic splines have been explored here, with knots at age 4, 8 and 12
- Survival probability for non-breeders aged 0-3 estimated as part of spline, or assumed as constant
- Breeding probability of non-breeders aged 0-3 assumed as constant

• Linear spline:

logit
$$(\theta_{a,t,b}) = \alpha_{0,b} + \alpha_{1,b}(a-4) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} [\beta_{k,b}(a-\kappa_k)I(a \ge \kappa_k)] + \varepsilon_{t,b}$$

• Quadratic spline:

logit
$$(\theta_{a,t,b}) = \alpha_{0,b} + \sum_{j=1}^{2} \alpha_{j,b} (a-4)^{j} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} [\beta_{k,b} (a-\kappa_{k})^{2} I (a \ge \kappa_{k})] + \varepsilon_{t,b}$$

 Fit using Bayesian methods where α's and β's are considered fixed and random effects respectively



Non-breeder in t-1 survival



Breeder in t-1 survival



Non-breeder in *t*-1 repro.



Breeder in *t*-1 repro.



Conclusions

- Survival and reproductive rates are estimated to be similar to previous years
- Average rates for prime-age animals:
 - Non-breeder survival ≈ 0.90
 - Breeder survival ≈ 0.95
 - Non-breeder reproduction ≈ 0.30
 - Breeder reproduction ≈ 0.60

Conclusions

- Exploratory analysis suggests the use of splines looks promising, particularly for non-breeder reproduction
- Potential disadvantages include less control over defining biologically reasonable relationships and potential confounding of other factors with age relationship
- Still further issues to consider in a full analysis, e.g., number and position of knots