Conservation law reform: Legislative process and engagement with PSGEs



The remaining 10% - complex (Tranche 1) redress

High complexity redress		Medium complexity redress	Low complexity redress
Statutory planning (CMPs, NPMPs, CMSs, overlapping plans) – ~30 settlements (overlap between redress) Engagement benefits from Cabinet decision to uphold redress by carrying across co-approval/development roles Complexity arises where multiple PSGEs have roles over the same CMS	Status of environmental plans, vision, strategies and legal weightings (Waikato River, Taranaki Maunga…) Complex natural resource arrangements, bespoke to place/history MOC agreed to maintain the substantial effect in new system – challenge is how to achieve that.	Ngāi Tahu membership on NZCA - 1 settlement. NZCA membership maintained - but intent of redress undercut by changing role of NZCA PSGE highly motivated, high capacity and capability	Conservation Board (**CB**) membership - 13 settlements Membership on CB maintained – but intent of redress undercut by changing role of CB Address by discussing with PSGEs how to provide equivalent role for PSGE (and/or CB) in relation to statutory plans (complex)
Possible solution:	Possible solution:	Possible solution:	Possible solution:

s9(2)(j)

	s9(2)(g)(i)
To deliver we are setting up a dedicated team:	Maintaining current PSGE engagement leads A new standalone team with Treaty negotiations experience: • Manager • Principal / Senior support (~x2) • Project coordination/ Engine room support (~x2)