




# Conservation law reform: Legislative process and engagement with PSGEs



# The remaining 10% - complex (Tranche 1) redress

High complexity redress		Medium complexity redress	Low complexity redress
<p>Statutory planning (CMPs, NPMPs, CMSs, overlapping plans) – ~30 settlements (overlap between redress)</p> <p><b>s9(2)(f)(iv)</b></p> <p>Complexity arises where multiple PSGEs have roles over the same CMS</p>	<p>Status of environmental plans, vision, strategies and legal weightings (Waikato River, Taranaki Maunga...)</p> <p>Complex natural resource arrangements, bespoke to place/history</p> <p><b>s9(2)(f)(iv)</b></p>	<p>Ngāi Tahu membership on NZCA - 1 settlement.</p> <p>NZCA membership maintained - but intent of redress undercut by changing role of NZCA</p> <p>PSGE highly motivated, high capacity and capability</p>	<p>Conservation Board (CB) membership - 13 settlements</p> <p>Membership on CB maintained – but intent of redress undercut by changing role of CB</p> <p>Address by discussing with PSGEs how to provide equivalent role for PSGE (and/or CB) in relation to statutory plans (complex)</p>
<p>Possible solution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>s9(2)(f)(iv)</b></li> </ul>	<p>Possible solution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>s9(2)(f)(iv)</b></li> </ul>	<p>Possible solution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>s9(2)(f)(iv)</b></li> </ul>	<p>Possible solution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>s9(2)(f)(iv)</b></li> </ul>

## **s9(2)(g)(i)**

To deliver we are setting up a dedicated team:

Maintaining current PSGE engagement leads  
 A new standalone team with Treaty negotiations experience:

- Manager
- Principal / Senior support (~x2)
- Project coordination/ Engine room support (~x2)