

1

2017 Risk Assessment Template - DV

Region: Wellington Hawke's Bay
Area: Hawke's Bay
Functional Location (Site) Name(s): Cape Kidnappers Track
Functional Location Number(s): DN-73-500-2041
Visitor group: DV
Date: 6/09/2017

Table with 8 columns: 1 & 2 - Risk Identification, 3 & 4 - Risk assessment, 5 - Risk evaluation, 6 - Current treatment, 7 - Gap analysis (current treatment v best practice), 8 - Management actions. Rows include Car parks, Drinking water quality, Farm animals, Rock falls/landslides, Seals, Shared use, Significant fall, Tidal and rogue waves.

Car parking is on private land, however DOC should be responsible for visitor safety at this site. From 2009 Assessment I noticed that this site is a working farm, however saw no visitor risk mitigations around farm animal issues. This may not have been a problem. Need to know how often rocks come down and any past incidents could be high. Photos show the possibility of rockfall along this section of beach being common. Tidal and wave/surge risk may mean that visitors are likely to be closer to the cliff face at these times and more vulnerable. This is common now. A number of signs are shown in photos as existing. Some of 2009 Assessment. Need to know how often seals are present and any past incidents. There is no way an attack by a seal can be looked at as a Negligible consequence. These risks (in green) may well be an issue however I have no information that will allow me to assess them. Staff have made assumptions that there has been a 20 to 25m loss of cliff face at the amenity area /lookout site over the past 15 to 20 years. No conclusive monitoring has taken place in that time. Some parts are now only 6 metres from the edge. This is common now. A number of signs are shown in photos as existing. With increasing use risk is likely to increase. Onsite signs should be used as an interim mitigation. Have raised the consequences and likelihood up one level from the 2009 review. This beach walk (not actually an asset in AMIS) would be classed as a DV opportunity. It certainly does not meet that standard. It can only be completed through a low tide period that has a departure time of 3 hours after Low. Photographs from that time show that wave and surge action was still very high on the beach in a number of places and a risk to DV visitors and what I would assume are a number of vulnerable visitors as well. The wind at the time was low and one would assume this scenario would rise significantly in high easterly winds. I would also suspect that MHW Spring tides would have similar affects. See further comment in Management actions opposite.

Released under the Official Information Act

2009 - Risk Assessment Template

Conservancy: Wellington Hawke's Bay
Area: Hawke's Bay
Functional Location (Site) Name(s): Cape Kidnappers Track
Functional Location Number(s): DN-73-500-2041
Visitor group: DV
Date: 21/10/2009

Table with 7 columns: 1 - Risk Identification, 2& 3 - Risk assessment, 4 - Risk evaluation, 5 - Current treatment, 6 - Gap analysis (current treatment v best practice), 7 - Management actions. Rows include Drinking water quality, Seals, Tidal and rogue waves, Rock falls.

There is no way an attack by a seal can be looked at as Negligible. Good Initial call but unsure if signs are in place. Has this happened from 2009

DOC-3157803

Track Data																		Review all three Task Assignments before confirming track is suitable the Deputy Director General's consideration for promotion as a new recreation product.									
Region	District	IOO	FIOC (to be in comments)	Walk name	Rūnanga	hwf Representative	Do you believe that this walk should be considered?	Consent for Promotion / Photography	hwf Concerns / Comments	Name Stakeholder	Level of Engagement	Decision leading to Level of Engagement	Do you believe that this walk should be considered?	Consent for Promotion / Photography	DOC Contact	Stakeholders Comments / Concerns	Potential Biodiversity Impacts	Est CAPEX Requirements (\$)	Est additional OPEX Costs (\$)	AMIS Data Accurate?	Landscape scale of visitor experience and investment	3rd Party Investment	Track / Structures Past WFLP	Comments	Decision		
NZ DAY WALKS																											
LNI	Hawkes Bay	Icon	DN-26-305-2041	Cape Kidnappers Beach to Paikau	Wairarapa	Moana spoke with Bernadette Hamlin the chairperson of Wairarapa marae who was okay with changes. Does not have any issues with any of the hapu re; Cape Kidnappers.	Yes	Yes	None but would like korero to be considered in interpretative material	Collis-Gannet Beach Adventures concessionaire	Discussion regarding upcoming opportunity to make changes and what that could look like.	Yes in some ways.	Yes		Malcolm Lock	Don't want to see shelter or toilet site moved. Something has to be done about gradient of track as at least 6 people per year have a major incident on the track. DOC needs to be present every day at the site, there is a lot to do.  Keeping people on track especially during lambing. There are several landowners re; Cape Farm. Happy for us to work with the farm manager on the changes, he will forward any concerns on to Landowners.	Impact on bird numbers present with increase in tourism							All infrastructure 100% to standard however track only 73% to standard currently	No third party investment		

Released under the Official Information Act



Approved

National / Regional Database for NZ Great Short and Day Walks

**Instructions**

1. The Red, Green and Blue coloured cells show the task assignment name and DOCCM number, and the questions for that Task Assignment
2. In your region, against the relevant track answer each question from the task assignment.
3. When the Spreadsheet is complete, please forward onto [cbowen@doc.govt.nz](mailto:cbowen@doc.govt.nz) by the 13 July 2013
4. When more than 3 lines are required for a specific track, (ie more than 3 local Iwi or Stakeholder engagement occurs), please insert extra lines.

Any questions please contact Chris Bowen.

Released under the Official Information Act