WARO REGIONAL FEEDBACK/CONSULTATION & LAND ASSESSMENT

REGION: DIRECTOR: WARO COORDINATOR:

Auckland	

Auckland Region did not carry out any WARO consultation or land assessment. There is no known demand for WARO in the region, and no viable WARO targets. This has not changed since the last WARO assessment. The rest of this form has not been filled out.

- 1. Treaty Partners
- 2. Conservation Board
- 3. Consultation with stakeholders & WARO industry

SECTION A: Treaty Settlement implications
If you have any questions about Treaty Settlement implications of an application, contact Treaty
Negotiations Team, and he will advise you who to talk to in the Treaty Negotiations Team.
 Is any site subject to the application due to be transferred to whanau, hapu, or iwi?
If no, go to question 4. If yes, identify the site.
 Has a Treaty settlement disclosure form been completed for the site? Were any existing encumbrances noted on that form?
 Who is leading the negotiations process for DOC in the Policy Negotiations Team?
. If your Treaty Dertages have estillar out locialation in place already, are there any energific next estillar out
 If your Treaty Partners have settlement legislation in place already, are there any specific post-settlement implementation obligations that relate to the site or proposed activity?
Implementation obligations that relate to the site of proposed activity?
SECTION B: Marine and Coastal (Takutai Moana) Area Act 2011
If you have any questions about the Marine and Coastal (Takutai Moana) Area Act or the consultation required by
this Act, firstly check the Concessions Guidance Document, and secondly, contact
doc.govt.nz).
Is the location subject to any applications or approvals for sustained waying title or protocted waying
 Is the location subject to any applications or approvals for customary marine title or protected marine rights under the Marine and Coastal (Takutai Moana) Act 2011? If yes, identify the Treaty Partners who
have either applied for or had approved customary marine title or protected marine rights at the location.

• If yes, has the Applicant provided evidence of consultation with these Treaty Partners? *The Applicant has a requirement to consult with anyone who has an application under the Act that is additional to DOC's consultation with Treaty Partners. See the Concessions Guidance Document for more information*).

SECTION C: Whānau, hapū, and iwi consulted

Complete the Consultation Summary table – copy this table if more columns are required.

Consultation Summary			
Treaty Partner consulted with			
Date consultation was sent out			
Consultation time frame end date			
Consultation method (email, phone, face to face etc)			
How many attempts made to consult?			
DOC-CM link to any consultation emails received			

SECTION D: Consultation with [name of Treaty Partner]

Either complete a new section for each Treaty Partner you consult with., or incorporate the responses from each Treaty Partner into one section. These questions are prompts and have been developed to guide you as to what information the Decision Maker needs to make a decision that is fully informed of Treaty Partner views. You may not be able to answer all questions, but <u>do not</u> copy and paste email chains from conversations with Treaty Partners – these should be linked into section B above and the information provided in response to the questions in this section.

• Does this application activate any agreed triggers for consultation with Treaty Partners? [Delete answers that do not apply]

Yes – Answer remaining questions.

No – Note that triggers are not met, provide a doc-CM link to the agreed triggers document. Do not complete the remaining questions unless consultation is undertaken.

There are no agreed triggers – Answer remaining questions.

- Did the whānau, hapū, or iwi engage in consultation on this application? If not, ensure attempts to engage are detailed in Section C.
- What is the interest of the whānau, hapū, or iwi in the site or activity?

- What are their views on the activity (taking place at the specified site)?
- What sort of adverse effects do the whānau, hapū, or iwi believe the activity will have on their interests (at the specified site)?
- Have the whānau, hapū, or iwi identified any methods to avoid, remedy, or mitigate these effects?
- Summarise any other information provided by the whānau, hapū, or iwi.

SECTION E: Consultation with [Name of Treaty Partner]

If required, copy the questions from Section C and complete for additional Treaty Partners consulted with if required (you may prefer to incorporate a number of Treaty Partner responses into Section D).

2. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN WITH CONSERVATION BOARD & RESPONSE RECEIVED		

٦

3. DRAFT LAND ASSESSMENT - CONSULTATION WITH STAKEHOLDERS & WARO INDUSTRY -

Engagement undertaken	e.g. Meetings held: Invercargill 26 July - Open meeting, Cromwell 27 July Open	
	meeting	
Summary of feedback	e.g. General themes were	

Г

What changes (if any) did this result in	
Links to relevant documents ((e.g. consultation records, submission record/summary)	