WARO REGIONAL FEEDBACK/CONSULTATION & LAND ASSESSMENT

REGION: Lower North Island

DIRECTOR:

WARO COORDINATOR:

- 1. Treaty Partners
- 2. Conservation Board
- 3. Consultation with stakeholders & WARO industry

SECTION A: Treaty Settlement implications

If you have any questions about Treaty Settlement implications of an application, contact

Negotiations Team, and he will advise you who to talk to in the Treaty Negotiations Team.

Treaty

- Is any site subject to the application due to be transferred to whānau, hapū, or iwi?
 If no, go to question 4. If yes, identify the site.

 No sites are subject to the application
 - No sites are subject to the application.
- Has a Treaty settlement disclosure form been completed for the site? Were any existing encumbrances noted on that form?
 Not applicable.
- Who is leading the negotiations process for DOC in the Policy Negotiations Team?
 Not applicable.
- If your Treaty Partners have settlement legislation in place already, are there any specific post-settlement implementation obligations that relate to the site or proposed activity?
 Not applicable.

SECTION B: Marine and Coastal (Takutai Moana) Area Act 2011

If you have any questions about the Marine and Coastal (Takutai Moana) Area Act or the consultation required by this Act, firstly check the Concessions Guidance Document, and secondly, contact @doc.govt.nz).

- Is the location subject to any applications or approvals for customary marine title or protected marine rights under the Marine and Coastal (Takutai Moana) Act 2011? If yes, identify the Treaty Partners who have either applied for or had approved customary marine title or protected marine rights at the location. *Not applicable*.
- If yes, has the Applicant provided evidence of consultation with these Treaty Partners? The Applicant has a requirement to consult with anyone who has an application under the Act that is additional to DOC's consultation with Treaty Partners. See the Concessions Guidance Document for more information).

 Not applicable.

SECTION C: Whānau, hapū, and iwi consulted

Complete the Consultation Summary table – copy this table if more columns are required.

Consultation Summary					
Treaty Partner consulted with	Date sent out	End date	Method	No. of attempts	Link to submissions received
Mokai Patea Environmental Trust	20/06/2018	02/07/18	Email	2	No response
Aorangi Awarua Trust	20/06/2018	02/07/18	Email	2	No response
Ngaati Hinemanu me Ngaati Paki	20/06/2018	02/07/18	Email	2	No response
Ngaati Hauiti	20/06/2018	02/07/18	Email	2	No response
Ngaati Kauwhata	20/06/2018	02/07/18	Email	2	No response
Te Koau trustees	20/06/2018	02/07/18	Email	2	No response

Ngaati Whakatere	25/06/2018	02/07/18	Face-to-face	1	DOC-5523450
Ngaati Kahungunu ki Tamaki Nui a Rua	22/06/2018	02/07/18	Face-to-face	1	DOC-5523448
Taranaki Whanui Ki Te Upoko o Te Ika	21/06/2018	02/07/18	Email	1	No response
Ngati Toa Rangatira	21/06/2018	02/07/18	Email	1	No response
Rangitaane O Manawatu	19/07/2018	24/7/18 – agreed to discuss at ROM/DOC meeting	Email & face-to-face		No response
Rangitane O Wairarapa	12/6/2018	02/07/18	Face to face	1	No response
Kahungunu o Wairarapa	12/6/2018	02/07/18	Face to face	1	No response
Te Runanaga o Ngati Porou	19/6/2018	02/07/18	Email	2	No Reply
Te Aitanga a Mahaki	19/6/2018	20/7/18	Email	2	Support proposal as it stands for Reserves within their Rohe

SECTION D: Consultation with Te Roopu Taiao o Ngaati Whakatere

(Note a supporting submission was sent in by Ihaia Taueki Trust – Landowner, on behalf of Ngati Kahungungu and Muaupoko)

- Does this application activate any agreed triggers for consultation with Treaty Partners?
 There are no agreed triggers.
- Did the whanau, hapū, or iwi engage in consultation on this application? If not, ensure attempts to engage
 are detailed in Section C.
 Yes.
- What is the interest of the whanau, hapū, or iwi in the site or activity?
 Mahinga kai.
- What are their views on the activity (taking place at the specified site)?

 Te Roopu Taiao o Ngaati Whakatere do not support WARO activities taking place within their rohe.
- What sort of adverse effects do the whanau, hapū, or iwi believe the activity will have on their interests (at the specified site)?
 - Te Roopu Taiao o Ngaati Whakatere maintain that their rohe should be sustained for mahinga kai values which includes but is not limited to deer, pigs, goats.
- Have the whanau, hapū, or iwi identified any methods to avoid, remedy, or mitigate these effects?
 Te Roopu Taiao o Ngaati Whakatere require their rohe to be excluded from WARO activities.
- Summarise any other information provided by the whanau, hapū, or iwi.

In their submission, Te Roopu Taiao o Ngaati Whakatere refer to obligations under RMA S6e and ToW S8. They were unhappy with not being directly consulted with. They wish to be consulted on pest management plans in future.

2. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN WITH CONSERVATION BOARD & RESPONSE RECEIVED

Raised at Wellington Conservation Board meeting on 25 May 2018. Refer to unconfirmed minutes DOC-5502866 (limited permissions as not yet finalised).

No feedback received.

East Coast Conservation Board raised at Meeting 20 July 2018.

No feedback received.

3. DRAFT LAND ASSESSMENT – CONSULTATION WITH STAKEHOLDERS & WARO INDUSTRY –

Engagement undertaken	Meetings held Palmerston North 20 June, Kapiti-Wellington 25 June, Wairarapa 26 June (no other meetings requested by stakeholders). Emails/letters sent to recreational hunter groups key contacts in Manawatu, Wairarapa, Kapiti-Wellington (refer to DOC-5480853). Email/phone/meetings held with all North Island WARO permit holders DOC-5480853 Emails sent to all other key stakeholders Manawatu, Wairarapa, and Kapiti-Wellington DOC-5480853 Emails/phone/meetings with key stakeholders East Coast DOC-5480853. Feedback received was supportive of the Departments land use assessment recommendations. No Consultation done in Hawkes Bay District due to no WARO open areas within district and no intention to change this status. No consultation done in Chatham Island's District due to there being no deer and no WARO open areas.
Summary of feedback	From WARO industry – concerns around commercial viability of WARO with too many restrictions in place. From recreational hunters – WARO should be limited to hard-to-reach places to protect/enhance access for recreational hunters. Most submissions proposed that restrictions and exclusions are maintained as per conditions

What changes (if any) did this result in	 (land assessment and timing) in the 2009 permit. Also requested that land use assessment should formally exclude 2km buffers, exclude road ends and high use recreational areas to protect access for recreational hunters and ensure public safety for other users, and designate pick-up/drop-off points for transport of harvest. From adjoining landowners – concerns around public safety from WARO activity in opening up new areas without wider consultation, particularly in relation to Tararua Forest Park. No changes in East Coast District. No changes in Hawkes Bay District – noting all closed areas. No changes in Manawatu District. No changes in Wairarapa District to: Puketoi SR open to closed, Tararua FP – change restricted area to closed (Holdsworth to Kaitoke), Tararua FP – close a buffer area along Te Araroa Trail where it crosses PCL, Tararua FP – change open area to restricted, Tararua FP – place a restricted area around the Mangahao Dams Road area, Remutaka FP – change whole area to closed apart the northern area as per the 2009 permit, maintain proposal to close all reserves. No changes to Chatham Island's District – noting all closed areas and no deer. 		
Links to relevant	Manawatu, Kapiti Wellington, Wairarapa and East Coast consultation plan &		
documents ((e.g.	summary:		
consultation records,	https://doccm.doc.govt.nz/wcc/faces/wccdoc?dDocName=DOC-5480853		
submission record/summary)	Word document containing copies of/links to all Manawatu, Kapiti-Wellington a Wairarapa submissions: https://doccm.doc.govt.nz/wcc/faces/wccdoc?dDocName=DOC-5519366 Manawatu received approximately 400 WARO feedback emails from recreations hunters (only a small proportion of these contained feedback about WARO pern conditions and land use assessments). These are logged in the above document links. They are also archived in Outlook.		