

Total number of submission points on the theme

11 individual submission points.

Number of submission points supporting or agreeing with the provisions in the Proposed NZCPS

5 submissions.

Number of submission points opposing the provisions in the Proposed NZCPS

0 submissions.

Note: some submissions comment on but do not support or oppose the provisions.

Key messages within the submissions

1. The NZCPS appears to place a heavy burden on local government to implement the policies which is likely to result in costly compliance issues for councils and communities who may need to amend district plans, identify and map characteristic sites, and carry out monitoring regimes and assessment exercises. How will these obligations be funded?
2. The flow on effects of the NZCPS will create a significant workload and costs for local authorities, tangata whenua and other stakeholders. Agencies with responsibilities such as the Historic Places Trust and DoC should also be involved and contribute to the effective implementation of this policy. Central government needs to provide guidance and resourcing.
3. There is an absence of any monitoring framework or monitoring regime, and a lack of context regarding connections to related legislation and national strategies.
4. Policy statements and plans are not cost-free. It is completely unjust that private citizens should bear the full cost of bureaucratic policy decisions without the real costs being either recognised or compensated. Where significant alienation of private property rights arise from policy or plan provisions, compensation mechanisms should be included within both statements and the plans. The bureaucratic regime which has grown from the Resource Management Act (1991) process is placing an unsustainable level of costs on the private sector and individual citizens.

Additional Provisions Sought

5. No new provisions are sought in regards to this theme, only wording amendments to existing NZCPS provisions.