

APPENDIX 1

Cultural Heritage Advisory Group membership:

John Acland (Chair) - Deputy Chair, New Zealand Historic Places Trust

Chris Cochran - Conservation architecture

Professor Charlotte Macdonald - History

Emeritus Professor Erik Olssen - History

Dr Charles Royal - Mataranga Maori

Dame Cheryl Sotheran - Cultural heritage & tourism policy

Lynda Walter - Archaeology

John Daniels - Group Administrator

Natural Heritage Advisory Group membership:

Dr Les Molloy (Chair) - Geomorphology

Lindsay Chadderton - Freshwater ecology

Dr Wendy Nelson - Marine ecology

Dr Alan Mark - Plant ecology

Dr Murray Williams - Species management

Dr David Towns - Island management

Raewyn Hutchings - Group Administrator, DOC

APPENDIX 2

Framework Summarising Assessment of Sites for their Cultural World Heritage Values

Site name	Outstanding Universal Value Criteria					
	Masterpiece of creative genius (i)	Interchange of human values (ii)	Cultural tradition/civilisation (iii)	Significant stage in human history (iv)	Traditional human settlement (v)	Associated values (vi)
Kerikeri Basin historic precinct	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Napier Art Deco historic precinct	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Waitangi Treaty Grounds historic precinct	Yes - for Wharenui	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Auckland Volcanic Field (mixed cultural/natural serial site)	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No

Site name	Integrity			Protection		
	Wholeness/intactness	Adequate size	Neglect	Protection status	Boundary	Buffer zones
Kerikeri Basin historic precinct	Yes	Yes	No	High	Determined	Yes
Napier Art Deco historic precinct	Yes	Yes	No	Medium	Determined	Yes
Waitangi Treaty Grounds historic precinct	Yes	Yes	No	High	Determined	Yes
Auckland Volcanic Field (mixed cultural/natural serial site)	Losses do not detract	Yes	Some	High	Satisfactory	Mixed

Site name	Management			Other Factors		
	Management systems	Sustainable uses	Ownership (private)	Does World Heritage listing pose risk?	Natural significance	Does World Heritage listing add value?
Kerikeri Basin historic precinct	High standard	Yes	No	No	Some	Yes
Napier Art Deco historic precinct	District Plan	Yes	Mostly	No	No	Yes
Waitangi Treaty Grounds historic precinct	High standard	Yes	No	No	Some	Yes
Auckland Volcanic Field (mixed cultural/natural serial site)	Mixed but satisfactory	Satisfactory	No	No	Yes	Yes

APPENDIX 3

Framework Summarising Assessment of Sites for their Natural World Heritage Values

Site name	Outstanding Universal Value Criteria				Integrity								
	Outstanding natural phenomena (vii)	Geological processes/landforms (viii)	Ongoing evolutionary/biological processes (ix)	Habitats for biodiversity conservation (x)	Wholeness/intactness	Adequate size	Neglect						
Sites considered to meet one or more criteria													
Kahurangi NP, Farewell Spit and Canaan Karst	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No						
Kermadec Island & Marine Reserve	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	High	Yes	No						
Whakarua Moutere – North East Islands	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – serial site	Yes	No						
Canterbury High Country Braided Rivers and Lakes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	To be determined, but achievable	Yes	Benign						
Stewart Island/Rakiura	Yes	No	Yes	No	High	Yes	Some						
Rotorua Geothermal	Yes	Yes	No	No	Site dependant	Yes	Some						
Waters and Seabed Fiords of Fiordland	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No						
Kaikoura (Mountains and Canyon)	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Low	Potential	Benign						
Lake Taupo	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No						
Puketi, Omahuta, Waipoua kauri forests	Yes	No	No	No									
Rest of Southern Alps – add to Te Wahipounamu	No	Yes	No	No									
Auckland Volcanic Field	No	Yes	No	No	Medium	Yes	Some						
Sites that did not meet any criteria													
Egmont National Park	No	No	No	No									
Banks Peninsula	No	No	No	No									
Mochau	No	No	No	No									
Tiritiri Matangi Is	No	No	No	No									
<p>Priority Class</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%;"> High priority, immediate</td> <td style="width: 33%;"> High priority, less immediate</td> <td style="width: 33%;"> High priority, addition to existing site</td> </tr> <tr> <td> Not immediate priority, high future potential</td> <td> Priority mixed cultural/natural</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>								 High priority, immediate	 High priority, less immediate	 High priority, addition to existing site	 Not immediate priority, high future potential	 Priority mixed cultural/natural	
 High priority, immediate	 High priority, less immediate	 High priority, addition to existing site											
 Not immediate priority, high future potential	 Priority mixed cultural/natural												

Protection			Management			Other Factors		
Protection Status	Boundary	Buffer Zones	Management systems	Sustainable uses	Ownership (private)	Does listing pose risk?	Cultural Significance	Does World Heritage listing add value?
Yes (High)	Some resolution required	Yes	Good systems in place	No	No	Yes	Unknown	Adds some value to co-ordination & integration
Yes (High) - White Island privately owned	Additions need to be determined - serial site	Potential	Mixed - high to non-existent	OK	No	Perhaps to fishing industry	Yes	Yes
High	Some issues	Marine Areas	CMS's & restoration plans	Diving, fishing, tourism	Treaty Claims exist	Treaty Claim	Yes	Yes
Mixed	Needs resolution	Yes	In need of co-ordination - land & freshwater	Vulnerable	Mostly no	Yes	Unknown	Yes
National park; mataitai; marine & nature reserves	Some issues	Yes	National park management plan being developed	Yes	Titi islands & Big South Cape - not included	Some	Yes	Yes - to marine zone
Mixed-scenic reserve and private land	To be determined	Issue of geothermal extraction	No co-ordinated management system	Tourism & extractive uses	Mixed - public & private	From extraction - tourism	Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes	Yes	Management Plan	Marine mammal watching, diving, tourism	No	Tourism	Yes	Yes
Fragmented and incomplete	Not defined - needs determining	Yes	Fragmented	Problematic	Needs further consideration	Yes	Yes	Yes
Some, fragmented	OK	Some	Evolving	Trout Fishery	Major issue	Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes - Most representative sites protected	OK	OK	No-limited co-ordination currently but willingness to co-operate	OK	Not a significant issue	No - potential community support	Yes - iconic cultural landscape	Yes