Autopsy of cetaceans including those incidentally caught in commercial fisheries, 2002/03

Pádraig J. Duignan and Gareth W. Jones

DOC SCIENCE INTERNAL SERIES 195

Published by Department of Conservation PO Box 10-420 Wellington, New Zealand

DOC Science Internal Series is a published record of scientific research carried out, or advice given, by Department of Conservation staff or external contractors funded by DOC. It comprises reports and short communications that are peer-reviewed.

Individual contributions to the series are first released on the departmental website in pdf form. Hardcopy is printed, bound, and distributed at regular intervals. Titles are also listed in the DOC Science Publishing catalogue on the website, refer http://www.doc.govt.nz under Publications, then Science and Research.

© Copyright January 2005, New Zealand Department of Conservation

ISSN 1175-6519 ISBN 0-478-22645-4

This report was prepared for publication by DOC Science Publishing; editing and layout by Ian Mackenzie. Publication was approved by the Chief Scientist (Research, Development & Improvement Division), Department of Conservation, Wellington, New Zealand.

In the interest of forest conservation, DOC Science Publishing supports paperless electronic publishing. When printing, recycled paper is used wherever possible.

CONTENTS

Abs	tract		5
1.	Intro	oduction	6
2.	Mate	erials and methods	7
	2.1	Materials	7
	2.2	Methods and necropsy protocol	8
		2.2.1 Necropsy protocol	8
		2.2.2 Stomach contents	9
		2.2.3 Age determination	9
		2.2.4 Reproductive status	10
3.	Rest	ılts	11
	3.1	Morphometrics	11
	3.2	Stomach contents	11
	3.3	Age determination	11
	3.4	Reproductive status	14
	3.5	Pathology	16
4.	Disc	cussion	18
5.	Ackı	nowledgements	20
6.	Refe	erences	21

Autopsy of cetaceans including those incidentally caught in commercial fisheries, 2002/03

Pádraig J. Duignan and Gareth W. Jones

New Zealand Wildlife Health Centre, Institute of Veterinary, Animal and Biomedical Sciences, Massey University, Private Bag 11–222, Palmerston North, New Zealand

ABSTRACT

Morphological characteristics, estimated age, gender, reproductive status, stomach contents and cause of death have been determined for 11 Hector's dolphins (Cephalorbynchus bectori bectori), one Maui's dolphin (Cephalorbynchus hectori maui), four common dolphins (Delphinus delphis), and one bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncatus). The common dolphins and one Hector's dolphin were killed incidentally in commercial fishing operations. The remaining Hector's dolphins and the Maui's dolphin were retrieved either from set-nets (n = 1), or found beachcast along the west coast of the North Island (n = 1), west coast of the South Island (n = 7), or east coast of the South Island (n = 2). The beachcast carcasses ranged from freshly dead to skeletal remains. The stomachs of five Hector's dolphins had detectable remains consisting of fish, otoliths, and fish bones. Fish and squid were equally represented in the stomachs of the common dolphins. Age was estimated by counting dentinal growth layer groups in stained sections of teeth. Two female Hector's dolphins were too decomposed to determine reproductive status but the remaining two were immature. Of five male Hector's dolphins, one was pubertal, three immature, and one was too decomposed for examination of gonads. The male Maui's dolphin and the bottlenose dolphin were also immature. Of the four common dolphins, two Hector's dolphins, and the bottlenose dolphin known to have been net-entangled, all had lesions consistent with death from asphyxiation. Two of the nine beachcast Hector's dolphins had lesions indicative of entanglement, two did not appear to have been entangled and parasitic pneumonia may have had a role in their death, one died from acute blunt trauma of unknown origin, and four were too decomposed to determine cause of death. The Maui's dolphin died from complications associated with Aspergillus fumigatus infection of the lungs.

Keywords: autopsy, morphology, Hector's dolphin, *Cephalorhynchus hectori hectori*, Maui's dolphin, *Cephalorhynchus hectori maui*, common dolphins, *Delphinus delphis*, bottlenose dolphin, *Tursiops truncatus*, New Zealand

Ianuary 2005, New Zealand Department of Conservation. This paper may be cited as: Duignan, P.J.; Jones, G.W. 2005: Autopsy of cetaceans including those incidentally caught in commercial fisheries, 2002/03. DOC Science Internal Series 195. Department of Conservation, Wellington. 22 p.

1. Introduction

The objective of this study was to fulfil the requirements of DOC contract CSL00/ 3025 by recording and interpreting data on cetaceans submitted for autopsy. These data included species, sex, size, body condition, age, reproductive status, stomach contents, and cause of death. This report details the findings pertinent to this objective and includes data on 11 Hector's dolphins (*Cephalorbynchus hectori hectori*), one Maui's dolphin (*Cephalorbynchus hectori maui*), four common dolphins (*Delphinus delphis*), and one bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) killed incidentally in fishing operations or found beachcast.

The Hector's dolphin (Cephalorhynchus hectori) is a small coastal species and New Zealand's only endemic cetacean (Baker 1978). The species is divided between at least four genetically distinct sub-populations, with a South Island population of approximately 7300 individuals, and a North Island population with fewer than 100 individuals (Ferreira & Roberts 2003). The North Island population is genetically and morphologically distinct and is now called Maui's dolphin (Pichler et al. 1998; Baker et al. 2002). The South Island population has a NZ threat classification of nationally vulnerable, while the North Island population is listed as nationally critical. The life history characteristics of the species are similar to other members of the genus Cephalorhynchus, such as Commerson's dolphin (C. commersont) and are characterised by a low potential for population growth (Lockyer et al. 1988; Slooten & Lad 1990). This, combined with a low rate of female dispersal between populations, increases the vulnerability of the species to local extinction if mortality rates exceed recruitment. Entanglement appears to be one of the most significant factors negatively impacting the species and was the impetus for establishment of a Marine Mammal Sanctuary around Banks Peninsula in November 1988 (Dawson & Slooten 1992) and in the Manukau Harbour and adjacent coast of the northwestern North Island in October 2003. Each year, particularly during the summer months November to March, Hector's and Maui's dolphins are found beachcast or incidentally caught in the inshore set-net gill fishery. Life history parameters and cause of death have been reported for animals submitted for autopsy between 1997 and 2001 (Duignan et al. 2003) and for the 2001/02 season (Duignan et al. 2004). This report includes similar data collected on animals submitted during 2002/03.

The common dolphin (*Delphinus delphis*) is a pelagic, offshore species and has a very wide distribution, occurring in all warm-temperate, subtropical, and tropical waters worldwide (Leatherwood et al. 1983). In New Zealand, it is frequently found in the coastal waters of both the North and South Islands (Baker 1999). Group sizes vary seasonally and diurnally, but *D. delphis* are regularly found in groups of several hundred, and sometimes of more than a thousand, individuals (Leatherwood et al. 1983). The causes of mortality for common dolphins include stranding (usually of single animals), entanglement, and capture in direct-drive fisheries (Leatherwood et al. 1983).

The bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) is known from warm and temperate waters worldwide, is most common inshore, even entering rivers and estuaries, and is rarely seen in the open ocean. However, they have been reported up to 800 km from the nearest land (Watson 1981). In New Zealand they are most common in the Marlborough Sounds, Bay of Plenty, Hauraki Gulf and Northland (Baker 1999). There is an isolated population resident in Doubtful Sound in Fiordland and this appears to be the southern limit for this species in New Zealand. The bottlenose dolphin included in this report is the first submitted to Massey University for autopsy with a history of net entanglement.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 MATERIALS

A total of 17 dolphins were submitted for autopsy. Most of the dolphins were shipped frozen and wrapped in plastic and woven nylon body bags. Two animals (H61/02 and H70/03) were submitted chilled, but unfrozen. In most cases the carcass was tagged with a CSL or DOC tag and accompanied by a data sheet. Animals untagged are indicated in Tables 1 and 2. The four common dolphins included three males and one female. The catch co-ordinates were reported for the male dolphins, but not for the female although the capture date and name of the vessel (not shown) were included on the CSL data sheet provided (Table 1). The bottlenose dolphin was found beachcast on the Coromandel Peninsula, but came ashore entangled in fishing gear. The latter was sent directly to CSL for analysis by the DOC personnel who found the carcass.

The four female Hector's dolphins were all from the west coast South Island population. All were found beachcast between August and November 2002 (Table 2). Of the five male Hector's, four were from the east coast and one from Westport. Two of the east coast animals were retrieved from nets. H62/02 was caught in a gill net set for rig north of Potato Point, Blueskin Bay, Otago (Table 2). The second (WB03-07Chh) was entangled in a set net off South Bay, Kaikoura, in February 2003 (Table 2). The remaining animals were beachcast.

CODE	PATHOLOGY	CSL	DATE	LATI-	LONGI-	SEX
	NO.	NO.	(d/m/y)	TUDE	TUDE	
Common dolp	bhin <i>Delphinus de</i>	lphis				
WB03-02Dd	33982	NT	1 Oct 02	ND	ND	F
WB03-04Dd	34086	84	17 Oct 02	39° 53′S	173° 41'E	М
WB03-17Dd	34705	1026	30 Apr 03	40° 21'S	170° 00'E	М
WB03-18Dd	34712	1028	30 Apr 03	40° 21'S	170° 00'E	М
Bottlenose do	lphin Tursiops tru	incatus				
WB03-40Tt	35153	NT	5 Sep 03	Beach	cast (ND)	М

TABLE 1. CAPTURE DATA FOR CETACEANS, 2002/03.

NT = not tagged. ND = no data provided.

Two Hector's dolphins were too decomposed to determine their gender. Both were found beachcast on the west coast in May 2003 (Table 2).

Only one Maui's dolphin was autopsied. It was a male animal and it was found beachcast in June 2003 at O'Neils Beach on the Auckland west coast (Table 2).

TABLE 2. CAPTURE/REPORTING DATA FOR BEACHCAST AND BYCAUGHT Cephalorbynchus sp., 2002/03.

CODE	PATHOLOG [*] NO.	Y DOC TAG NO.	DATE (d/m/y)	CIRCUMSTANCES	LOCATION
Hector's dolph	in—Female				
WB03-01Chh	33976	H58/02	07 Oct 02	Beachcast	Barrytown Beach, Punakaiki
WB03-05Chh	34363	H60	25 Nov 02	Beachcast	Westport
WB03-06Chh	34371	H56/02	14 Aug 02	Beachcast	Pororari Beach Spit, Punakaiki
WB03-10Chh	34462	H59/02	10 Nov 02	Beachcast	Farewell Spit, Golden Bay
Hector's dolph	in—Male				
WB03-03Chh	34209	H61	17 Dec 02	Beachcast	Timaru
WB03-07Chh	34399		07 Feb 03	Entangled in net	South Bay Kaikoura, reef 40m offshore
WB03-08Chh	34400		11 Dec 02	Beachcast	Kaikoura, 200m S of Otumatu Rock
WB03-11Chh	34463	H62/02	20 Dec 02	Bycatch	Blueskin Bay, Otago, 45 42S, 170 38E
WB03-27Chh	34851	H63/02	22 Dec 02	Beachcast	North Beach, Westport (near set-net)
Hector's dolph	in—Gender un	known			
WB03-16Chh	34679	H69/03	04 May 03	Beachcast	Blaketown Beach, Greymouth
WB03-28Chh	34854	H68/03	02 May 03	Beachcast	North Beach, 2km south of Orowaiti River
Maui's dolphin	-Male				
WB03-19Chm	34780	H70/03	04 Jun 03	Beachcast	O'Neils Beach, West Coast, Auckland

2.2 METHODS AND NECROPSY PROTOCOL

2.2.1 Necropsy protocol

Pathological examination and sampling was conducted according to a standard protocol adapted from published small cetacean necropsy protocols (Geraci & Lounsbury 1993; Jefferson et al. 1994). The procedure included recording the body weight (kg), external measurements (m), and examination of the carcass for external lesions such as trauma, net marks, tissue loss, scars, etc. Carcasses were placed with the left side down and an incision made through the blubber from the cranial insertion of the dorsal fin to the ventral midline. Blubber depth (mm) was measured dorsally, laterally and ventrally along this incision. Then the carcass was carefully flensed and the subcutis examined for evidence of trauma.

Lesions in the blubber and subcutis were sampled for histopathology by fixing tissue in 10% buffered formalin. A blubber sample was taken, where appropriate due to state of decomposition, and stored at -20°C for future fatty acid analysis. The internal organs were examined systematically for lesions and tissues sampled for histopathology, virology (only if fresh), parasitology, bacteriology

(faeces routinely sampled but tissues only where appropriate), toxicology (blubber), genetics (skin), and anatomical studies (skeleton or skull if requested by Te Papa). The stomach was removed, tied off, and stored chilled until the contents could be examined the same or following day. At least three of the largest teeth from the middle of the dental arcade of the mandible were extracted, washed and stored in 70% ethanol until they were prepared for age determination. The reproductive organs were carefully dissected, measured (mm), weighed (g), and stored in 10% buffered formalin. Any pathology found was photographed.

2.2.2 Stomach contents

The full stomachs were weighed (kg), then opened with scissors and all material washed into a 1 mm sieve. The stomach was then re-weighed to allow the weight of the stomach contents to be determined. Large, relatively undigested material was removed at this stage, and if possible an axial length (mm) was measured for fish and squid. Smaller, more digested material was gradually sorted using a black-bottomed tray. Otoliths were clearly visible against this background, and as they are denser than most of the other material, they sank to the bottom of the tray. Otoliths, squid beaks and other relevant food material was also removed and stored in 70% ethanol. Parasites were collected and preserved in 5% buffered formalin. Lesions in the gastric mucosa were described, counted, and examples photographed.

2.2.3 Age determination

Age determination was based on a modification of a published protocol for Hector's dolphins (Slooten 1991). Briefly, the teeth were weighed (g) using a Mettler PM 4800 Delta Range balance, and the length and greatest diameter (mm) measured using Vernier callipers. The teeth were then washed in tap water and decalcified for 24 hours in 5% nitric acid using at least 100 mL per gram of tooth. After an overnight soak in water, the teeth were immersed in formol formic acid for 24 hours and then washed overnight in running tap water. The teeth were then soft enough to cut approximately one-third away using a microtome blade. The cut surface was placed face down in a plastic cassette and embedded in paraffin wax. The cassettes were processed by a Citadel Tissue Processor (Shandon, UK) as for soft tissues. Sections were cut at 10-20 µm intervals using a microtome (Microtek Cut 4055F) and stainless steel disposable microtome blades (\$35 Feather Safe Razor Co. Medical Division, Japan). Multiple sections were cut through each tooth and at least two teeth were processed per animal. The sections were stained with toluene blue, washed in water, dehydrated in absolute alcohol, cleaned in xylene, and mounted on glass slides using rapid mounting medium. The tooth sections were read independently by two observers at 16-80× magnification and the number of dentinal growth layer groups (GLGs) assigned by consensus between the readers.

2.2.4 **Reproductive status**

Females

Reproductive tracts were dissected out and examined grossly. The uterine horns were opened and examined for signs of pregnancy. A sample of each horn was removed, fixed in 10% buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 4 μ m intervals, and stained with hematoxylin for microscopic examination as per Lockyer & Smellie (1985) and Bacha & Wood (1990). The length and diameter of the ovaries were measured (mm) using Vernier callipers, and the ovaries weighed (g) using a Mettler PM 4800 Delta Range balance. The ovaries were sliced at 2 mm intervals along their long axis with a scalpel. The slices were examined for the presence of corpora lutea (CL) and corpora albicantia (CA), both macroscopically and using a dissecting microscope at 10× magnification. Sections were processed for microscopic examination as described above. Sexual maturity was defined as the age at which a female had ovulated at least once, and established by the presence of at least one corpus in the ovaries (Harrison et al. 1972). The CAs were classified as per Marsh & Kasuya (1984) and Slooten (1991).

Males

The length and midline diameter of the testes (excluding epididymis) were measured (mm) using Vernier callipers and weighed (g) using a Mettler PM 4800 Delta Range balance. The epididymis was weighed (g) separately. Testes were sectioned at 3 mm intervals using a scalpel and examined for evidence of pathological changes. Histological samples taken from the centre of the testis and epididymis, were embedded in paraffin wax, sectioned at 4 mm intervals, mounted on glass slides and stained with haematoxylin and eosin. The sections were then examined microscopically at 16-80× magnification to assess the maturity of the seminiferous tubule epithelium and for the presence of spermatozoa. Because the cell associations forming the epithelium vary segmentally in mammalian testes, the predominant association in the section was used to classify the stage of maturity. The gonads were classified as immature, pubertal, mature-inactive, or mature-active (Collet & Saint Girons 1984; Slooten 1991).

Immature The seminiferous tubules/cords were narrow and often had no apparent lumen. Sertoli cells and spermatogonia lined the tubules but no further differentiation of germinal cells was apparent. There were abundant interstitial cells. The duct of the epididymis was lined by simple cuboidal epithelium and had a completely empty lumen.

Pubertal The seminiferous tubules were larger than for immature animals and there was consequently less interstitial tissue. The epithelium of the tubules contained spermatogonia, spermatocytes and occasional spermatids, but no spermatozoa.

Mature-inactive The seminiferous tubules occupied most of the crosssectional area and had a defined lumen. The epithelium had sertoli cells, spermatogonia, spermatocytes and early spermatids. Occasional tubule sections may have contained late spermatids. The interstitial cells occupied very little space between the seminiferous tubules. The ducts of the epididymis did not contain spermatozoa. *Mature-active* The majority of tubule sections in the testis were lined by an epithelium that has a sequence of differentiation from spermatogonia through to spermatozoa. There was relatively little interstitial tissue present. The lumen of the epididymis might be full of spermatozoa.

3. Results

3.1 MORPHOMETRICS

An extensive set of standard measurements was taken where possible given the state of decomposition of each carcass (Table 3).

3.2 STOMACH CONTENTS

The stomach weight and the weight of the contents were recorded for each animal where possible (Table 4). The contents were not identifiable to species for any animal but further work on materials collected will be conducted by Kirsty Russell, Auckland University. Only four Hector's dolphins had contents in at least one stomach compartment. Most of these contents were indigestible remains of teleost fish such as bones, eye lenses and otoliths, and an occasional squid beak. Incidentally the two known bycatch Hector's dolphins had the most undigested remains. The dolphin caught in Blueskin Bay had an undigested fish in its stomach while the dolphin caught in Kaikoura had fish bones as well as otoliths. Two dolphins had no stomachs due to scavenging and decomposition. The Maui's dolphin had also eaten relatively recently and intact fish, invertebrates, bones and otoliths were found in both the first and second chambers of the stomach.

The male common dolphins had evidence of recent feeding with a range of food items in the stomachs including intact fish, otoliths, bones and squid, squid mantles, and squid beaks. The female dolphin had an empty stomach (Table 4). The bottlenose dolphin had been entangled for some time and was severely emaciated. The only material found in its stomach was plant debris.

3.3 AGE DETERMINATION

Data on tooth size and the number of dentinal growth layer groups (GLGs) counted are given in Table 5. Because of technical problems with the sectioning equipment the sections obtained from some teeth (asterisks on Table 5) were not of high enough quality to determine the age with certainty. A second tooth sample from each of these animals is being processed at the time of writing, and the results will be included in an amended report. For the Hector's dolphins with teeth (n = 11) the mean tooth weight and size was similar to that reported previously (Slooten 1991; Duignan et al. 2003). The teeth did not have obvious incremental layers in the cementum, but there were clearly defined bands in the

$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	CODE	PATHOLOGY NO.	(WT (kg)	(m)	SN-AN (m)	SN-GEN (m)	SN-ODF (m)	SN-OF (m)	F LT (m)	F WD (m)	DF HT (m)	DFB LT (m)	FLK WD (m)	FLK LT (m)	GT PEC (m)	BLUB.D (mm)	BLUB.L (mm)	BLUB.V (mm)
Werg-Jerchir 339° 310 145 106 102 039 036 035 018 018 028 013 027 013 075 16 15 16 18 Werg-Jerchi 3443 310 122 038 036 023 023 028 023 023 023 023 023 023 023 023 023 023	Hector's dolph	in—Female																
Micro-colume 13:55 200 130 132 032 039 039 039 030 030 030 030 031 037 012 037 11 10 03 040 0400 0400 0400 0400 0400 0	WB03-01Chh *	33976	31.0	1.45	1.06	1.02	0.65	0.35	0.18	0.08	0.08	0.23	0.28	0.12	0.75	16	15	8
WB03-10Ch1F 3431 30.7 1.22 0.38 0.73 0.23 0.03 0.13 0.73 0.13 0.77 11 10 12 HE003-10Ch1F 3440 34.0 10 1.27 0.93 0.62 0.33 0.01 0.24 0.24 0.24 0.24 13 11 12 HE003-05Ch1h 34403 36.0 1.27 0.35 0.34 0.35 0.13 0.24 0.24 0.24 0.24 0.24 12 12 12 WB03-05Ch1h 34403 36.0 11.2 0.35 0.37 0.35 0.31 0.24 0.24 0.35 12 12 12 WB03-05Ch1h 34651 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 12 12 12 12 WB03-05Ch1h 34651 2 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 13	WB03-05Chh	34363	20.6	1.36	1.06	0.95	0.66	0.36	0.21	0.08	0.08	0.18	0.27	0.12	0.69	10	Ś	6
Work-Incluit 3410. 10 1.27 0.92 0.88 0.62 0.21 0.03 0.17 0.73 0.17 11 11 11 Werk-Incluit 34100 8.0 0.77 0.55 0.49 0.39 0.00 0.17 0.55 0.49 0.39 0.01 0.21 0.36 1.1 0.56 1.7 1.1 1.2 Werk-V-Clunt 34400 360 1.21 0.39 0.07 0.39 0.07 0.39 0.01 0.36 1.1 0.36 1.1 0.36 0.17 0.36 0.71 0.36 0.71 0.36 0.71 0.36 0.71 0.36 0.71 0.36 0.71 0.36 0.71 0.36 0.71 0.36 0.71 0.36 0.71 0.75 0.75 0.61 0.27 0.36 0.71 0.75 0.76 0.75 0.61 0.75 0.76 0.71 0.76 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.76	WB03-06Chh*	34371	30.7	1.22	0.88	0.79	0.53	0.27	0.23	0.09	0.12	0.27	0.38	0.13	0.77	11	10	8
Hearor solphin-Maie Web3-93Chh \$420 0.35 0.49 0.33 0.20 0.13 0.06 0.05 17 11 12 Web3-93Chh \$440 0.01 0.02 0.19 0.03 0.11 0.05 0.7 0.13 0.05 0.17 0.13 0.05 11 0.22 0.14 0.35 0.11 0.25 0.13 0.26 17 17 17 17 Web3-95(hh \$440 0.01 1.20 0.85 0.74 0.57 0.30 0.10 0.26 0.74 0.57 0.53 0.20 0.10 0.23 0.26 17 12 0.26 17 12 0.26 12 12 0.27 0.25 0.23 0.23 0.23 0.24 0.25 0.21 0.25 0.21 0.25 0.21 0.25 0.25 0.23 0.24 0.23 0.21 0.25 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	WB03-10Chh	34462	31.0	1.27	0.92	0.88	0.62	0.32	0.21	0.08	0.09	0.24	0.27	0.12	0.79	12	11	12
WB93-05(th) 3130 80 077 055 0.49 0.30 0.01 0.36 0.17 0.36 17 11 12 WB03-07(th) 31400 300 124 0.32 0.30 0.01 0.03 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.01 <th< td=""><td>Hector's dolph:</td><td>in-Male</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Hector's dolph:	in-Male																
Web3-07Ch1 3139 560 124 022 077 039 030 010 031 045 014 045 014 084 15 11 2 We93-09Ch1 3140 30 117 036 031 030 032 039 032 039 012 036 13 12 10 12 10 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	WB03-03Chh	34209	8.0	0.77	0.55	0.49	0.39	0.20	0.18	0.06	0.08	0.17			0.56	17	11	12
WB03-05Chh 3410 300 117 0.86 0.75 0.54 0.27 0.19 0.00 0.21 0.36 0.11 0.75 16 17 16 WB03-77Chh 3481 28 1.10 0.87 0.75 0.65 0.75 0.65 0.75 0.65 0.75 16 17 16 </td <td>WB03-07Chh</td> <td>34399</td> <td>36.0</td> <td>1.24</td> <td>0.92</td> <td>0.77</td> <td>0.59</td> <td>0.30</td> <td>0.19</td> <td>0.08</td> <td>0.10</td> <td>0.24</td> <td>0.45</td> <td>0.14</td> <td>0.84</td> <td>15</td> <td>11</td> <td>12</td>	WB03-07Chh	34399	36.0	1.24	0.92	0.77	0.59	0.30	0.19	0.08	0.10	0.24	0.45	0.14	0.84	15	11	12
WB03-11Chh 34163 3410 1.20 0.85 0.74 0.57 0.30 0.19 0.06 0.23 0.34 0.34 12	WB03-08Chh	34400	30.0	1.17	0.86	0.75	0.54	0.27	0.18	0.08	0.09	0.21	0.38	0.12	0.76	20	15	19
WB03-17Chh 31851 28 119 0.87 0.75 0.6 0.29 0.10 0.23 0.11 0.75 18 12 10 HECOTSODITI-Gender unknown 34679 -	WB03-11Chh	34463	34.0	1.20	0.85	0.74	0.57	0.30	0.19	0.08	0.08	0.21	0.36		0.08	13	15	17
Hetoris doplin-Gender unknown Hetoris doplin-Gender unknown C <thc< th=""></thc<>	WB03-27Chh	34851	28	1.19	0.87	0.75	0.6	0.29	0.19	0.07	0.1	0.23	0.33	0.11	0.75	18	12	16
WB03-16Ch1 [*] 34679 -	Hector's dolphi	in—Gender un	known															
WB03-28Ch1* 34851 -	WB03-16Chh*	34679	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
Mairis dophin-Mate Mairis dophin Mairin Mairis dophin	WB03-28Chh*	34851	ı	I	ı	I	I	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	I	I	ı	ı	ı	ı
WB03-19Chm 34780 38.5 1.32 0.94 0.81 0.61 0.32 0.23 0.01 0.42 0.83 11 11 14 14 Common dolphin-Female 1.05.0 2.15 1.47 0.97 0.48 0.33 0.12 0.38 0.16 0.44 1.09 14 10 WB03-02Dd 33982 100.0 2.15 1.47 0.97 0.48 0.33 0.16 0.44 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 1 1 1 10 1	Maui's dolphin																	
Common dolphin—Female Source dolphin—Female Source dolphin—Female Source dolphin—Female Source dolphin Source dolp	WB03-19Chm	34780	38.5	1.32	0.94	0.81	0.61	0.32	0.23	0.09	0.11	0.24	0.42		0.83	11	11	14
WB03-02Dd 33982 100 2.15 1.47 0.97 0.48 0.33 0.12 0.38 0.16 0.44 1.09 14 11 10 Common dolphin-Mate 2.06 1.54 1.37 0.93 0.47 0.31 0.12 0.33 0.46 0.17 108 12 13 WB03-17Dd 34705 76.0 1.79 1.31 1.19 0.93 0.47 0.31 0.11 0.18 0.26 0.47 13 12 13 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 14 14 15 13 13 14 14 14 15 14 14 14 14 15 14 14 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 </td <td>Common dolpt</td> <td>lin-Female</td> <td></td>	Common dolpt	lin-Female																
Common dolphin—Male WB03-04Dd 3406 102.0 2.06 1.54 1.37 0.93 0.49 0.11 0.12 0.33 0.46 0.17 1.08 12 13 12 WB03-17Dd 34705 76.0 1.79 1.31 1.19 0.93 0.47 0.31 0.11 0.18 0.15 0.95 15 11 15 WB03-17Dd 34705 76.0 1.79 1.31 1.19 0.93 0.47 0.31 0.11 0.18 0.15 0.95 15 11 15 WB03-18Dd 34712 88.0 2 1.45 1.28 0.25 0.9 0.28 0.1 0.19 0.29 0.34 0.15 0.95 15 11 15 Bottlenose dolphin—Male 0.19 0.29 0.34 0.15 0.95 15 9 11 15 WB03.407 35153 61.0 1.93 0.34 0.34 0.31 <td>WB03-02Dd</td> <td>33982</td> <td>100.0</td> <td>2.15</td> <td>1.55</td> <td>1.47</td> <td>0.97</td> <td>0.48</td> <td>0.33</td> <td>0.12</td> <td>0.21</td> <td>0.38</td> <td>0.16</td> <td>0.44</td> <td>1.09</td> <td>14</td> <td>11</td> <td>10</td>	WB03-02Dd	33982	100.0	2.15	1.55	1.47	0.97	0.48	0.33	0.12	0.21	0.38	0.16	0.44	1.09	14	11	10
WB03-04Dd 34086 102.0 2.06 1.54 1.37 0.93 0.49 0.31 0.12 0.33 0.46 0.17 1.08 12 13 12 WB03-17Dd 34705 76.0 1.79 1.31 1.19 0.93 0.47 0.31 0.11 0.18 0.15 0.95 15 11 15 WB03-17Dd 34705 76.0 1.79 1.31 1.19 0.93 0.47 0.31 0.11 0.18 0.26 0.4 0.15 19 19 15 WB03-1SDd 34712 88.0 2 1.45 1.28 0.25 0.9 0.34 0.15 0.29 15 19 15 WB03-1SDd 34712 88.0 2 1.28 0.29 0.25 0.34 0.15 0.29 15 1 16 15 Bottlenose dolphin-Male 51.53 61.0 1.93 0.24 0.24 0.34 0.34 0.41 1.01	Common dolpt	hin—Male																
WB03-17Dd 34705 76.0 1.79 1.31 1.19 0.93 0.47 0.31 0.11 0.18 0.26 0.4 0.15 0.95 15 11 15 WB03-18Dd 34712 88.0 2 1.45 1.28 0.25 0.9 0.28 0.1 0.19 0.25 0.95 15 11 15 1 15 11 15 B014enose dolphin—Male 88.0 2 1.45 1.28 0.25 0.9 0.29 0.24 0.15 0.95 15 9 11 15 Bottlenose dolphin—Male 0.77 0.53 0.32 0.11 0.18 0.24 0.41 16 19 10 10 16 14 16 14 16 14 16 14 16 14 16	WB03-04Dd	34086	102.0	2.06	1.54	1.37	0.93	0.49	0.31	0.12	0.2	0.33	0.46	0.17	1.08	12	13	12
WB03-18Dd 34712 88.0 2 1.45 1.28 0.25 0.9 0.28 0.1 0.19 0.29 0.34 0.15 15 9 11 Bottlenose dolphin—Male	WB03-17Dd	34705	76.0	1.79	1.31	1.19	0.93	0.47	0.31	0.11	0.18	0.26	0.4	0.15	0.95	15	11	15
Bottlenose dolphin—Male WB03-40Tt 35153 61.0 1.93 0.77 0.5 0.32 0.11 0.18 0.41 1.01 16 10 14 Advanced decomposition. Advanced decomposition. Advanced decomposition. Advanced decomposition. Advanced decomposition. Advanced decomposition.	WB03-18Dd	34712	88.0	7	1.45	1.28	0.25	0.9	0.28	0.1	0.19	0.29	0.34	0.15	0.95	15	6	11
WB03-40Tt 35153 61.0 1.93 0.77 0.5 0.32 0.11 0.18 0.24 0.41 1.01 16 10 14 Advanced decomposition. Advanced decomposition.	Bottlenose dolf	ohin-Male																
Advanced decomposition.	WB03-40Tt	35153	61.0	1.93			0.77	0.5	0.32	0.11	0.18	0.24	0.41		1.01	16	10	14
	Advanced deco	omposition.																

TABLE 3. MORPHOMETRIC DATA FOR CETACEANS, 2002/03.

NOTION CONTENTS CONTENT	PATH-		STO	MACH	COMP	ARTMENT 1	COMP	ARTMENT 2	COMPA	RTMENT 3	PARA-	
ici beak, too decomposed to assess anything else fish bones, squid beaks fish bones, squid beaks the title the fish the	OLOGY FULL EMPTY CONTENT NO. WT (kg) WT (kg) WT (kg)	Y FULL EMPTY CONTENT WT (kg) WT (kg) WT (kg)	WT (kg) WT (kg)	CONTENT WT (kg)	ŝ	COMPOSITION	CONTENTS WT (kg)	COMPOSITION	CONTENTS WT (kg)	COMPOSITION	SITES (Y/N)	ULCER
Id beak, too decomposed to assess anything else fish bones, squid beaks 1 whole fish 1 whole fish 1 whole fish 1 whole fish 1 whole fish 1 whole fish 2 c 2 c 2 c 2 c 2 c 2 c 2 c 2 c		-	-									
Ish bones, squid beaks N C2 Ish bones, squid beaks Y C2 I whole fish I whole fish Y C2 I whole fish 0.05 fluid, oroliths, fish Y C2 S, eyeballs, otoliths Dones N Y C2 S, eyeballs, otoliths Dones N Y C2 S, eyeballs, otoliths Dones N N Y C2 S, eyeballs, otoliths Dones N N N C3 S, eyeballs, otoliths Dones N N N C3 S, eyeballs, otoliths N N N N C3 S, eyeballs, otoliths N N N C3	33976 0.41 0.39 0.02 34200	0.41 0.39 0.02	0.39 0.02	0.02		1 squid beak, too decompo	sed to assess a	nything else				
Image: second server second beaks Image: second beaks Image: second	34363 0.3 0.29 0.01	0.3 0.29 0.01	0.29 0.01	0.01							N	C1
fish bones, squid beaksYY1 whole fish1 whole fishY21 whole fishY1 whole fish0.05fluid, otoliths, fish3, cycballs, otoliths0.05fluid, otoliths, fish4, 1 invertebrate, fish0.05fluid, otoliths, fish5, cycballs, otoliths0.05fluid, otoliths, fish5, sycballs, otoliths0.05fluid, otoliths, fish5, sycballs, otoliths0.06fluid6, suid, fish0.05fluid, otoliths, fish6, suid, fish0.05fluid, otoliths, fish7, squid, fishadded C1overflow from C18id + beaks, 2 fish + otolithsN63, 7 squid mantle, squid beaks, otolithsN67161, 7 squid mantle, squid beaks, otolithsN6116116116116116116116116116117117117111111111111111111111111111111111<	34371 0.81 0.77 0.04	0.81 0.77 0.04	0.77 0.04	0.04							N	C2
I whole fishYY1 whole fish1 whole fishY111 <td>34399 0.52 0.51 0.01</td> <td>0.52 0.51 0.01</td> <td>0.51 0.01</td> <td>0.01</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>fish bones, squid bea</td> <td>iks</td> <td></td> <td>Υ</td> <td>C2</td>	34399 0.52 0.51 0.01	0.52 0.51 0.01	0.51 0.01	0.01				fish bones, squid bea	iks		Υ	C2
I whole fishYY111YCIhs111YCI1, 11111YCIs, cycballs, otoliths0.05fluid, otoliths, fish0.002fluidNNs, cycballs, otoliths0.05fluid, otoliths, fish0.002fluidNNNs, cycballs, otoliths0.05fluidNoneNNNNs, cycballs, otoliths1NoneNoneYCIsh, squid, fishadded CIoverflow from CINoneNNCIs17 squid mantle, squid beaks, otolithsNNNCIdebris111NNNCIdebris1111NNCIdebris11111NNCIdebris11111111debris11111111debris11111111debris11111111debris11111111debris11111111debris11111111debris1<	34400 0.3 0.28 0.02	0.3 0.28 0.02	0.28 0.02	0.02							Υ	C2
I whole fishI whole fishYCIhs $1, 1$ invertebrate, fish 0.05 fluid, otoliths, fish 0.002 fluidNC2s, eyeballs, otoliths 0.05 fluid, otoliths, fish 0.002 fluidNNC2s, eyeballs, otoliths 0.05 fluid, otoliths, fish 0.002 fluidNNC2s, eyeballs, otoliths 0.05 fluid, otoliths, fish 0.002 fluidNNC1sh, squid, fishadded C1overflow from C1NoneNYC1s $1, 7$ squid mantle, squid beaks, otolithsN 2 C1C1debris $1, 7$ squid mantle, squid beaks, otolithsNNC1debris $1, 7$ squid mantle, squid beaks, otolithsNNNC1	34462 0.59 0.57 0.02	0.59 0.57 0.02	0.57 0.02	0.02							Υ	I
hs i, 1 invertebrate, fish 0.05 fluid, otoliths, fish 0.002 fluid N No s, eyeballs, otoliths 0.05 fluid, otoliths, fish 0.002 fluid N No sh, squid, fish added C1 overflow from C1 None None Y C1 sh, squid, fish + otoliths id + beaks, 2 fish + otoliths N N - 2 i, 7 squid mantle, squid beaks, otoliths N N - 1 debris N N - 1 N N - 2 N N N - 2 N N N - 2 N N N N - 2 N N N N - 2 N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	34463 0.66 0.51 0.05	0.66 0.51 0.05	0.51 0.05	0.05				1 whole fish			Y	C1
hs the interference of the set o	34679										;	
i, 1 invertebrate, fish 0.05 fluid, otoliths, fish 0.002 fluid N N s, cycballs, otoliths bones 0.002 fluid Y C1 sh squid, fish added C1 overflow from C1 None None Y C1 sh squid, fish added C1 overflow from C1 None N Y C1 sh squid, fish added C1 overflow from C1 None N Y C1 sh squid, fish added C1 overflow from C1 None N Y C1 sh squid, fish added C1 overflow from C1 None N Y C1 sh squid, fish added C1 overflow from C1 N N Y Y sh squid, fish added C1 overflow from C1 N N Y Y sh squid, fish added C1 overflow from C1 N Y Y Y sh start N N Y Y Y Y Y Y sh start Y Y Y Y	34851 0.47 0.45 0.02 or	0.47 0.45 0.02 01	0.45 0.02 01	0.02 01	ō	toliths					Υ	C2
1, 1 invertebrate, fish0.05fluid, otoliths, fish0.002fluidNNos, eyeballs, otolithsbones0.002fluidNNC1sh, squid, fishadded C1overflow from C1NoneNC1shadded C1overflow from C1NoneNC1shy 7 squid mantle, squid beaks, otolithsN-NC2debrisdebrisANNNC1	34854											
i, I invertebrate, fish 0.05 fluid, otoliths, fish 0.002 fluidNNos, eyeballs, otolithsbones 0.002 fluidNYCIsh, squid, fishadded CIoverflow from CINoneNoneYCIsh $1 + beaks, 2 fish + otoliths$ NN-CI $1, 7$ squid mantle, squid beaks, otoliths $1, 7$ squid mantle, squid beaks, otolithsN-CIdebris $1 + beaks, 2 fish + otoliths1 + beaks, 2 fish + otolithsN-CI$												
sh, squid, fish added Cl overflow from Cl Y Cl s added Cl overflow from Cl None Y Cl s id + beaks, 2 fish + otoliths N - N C2 u, 7 squid mantle, squid beaks, otoliths N - N C2 debris debris N N C1	34780 1.68 0.63 0.96 3 fi bon	i 1.68 0.63 0.96 3 fi bon	0.63 0.96 3.fi bon	0.96 3 fi bon	3 fi bon	sh, 1 invertebrate, fish es, eyeballs, otoliths	0.05	fluid, otoliths, fish bones	0.002	fluid	Z	No
sh, squid, fish added C1 overflow from C1 None None Y C1 s id + beaks, 2 fish + otoliths N - 1	ŭn											
sh, squid, fish added C1 overflow from C1 None None Y C1 s id + beaks, 2 fish + otoliths N - \cdot , 7 squid mantle, squid beaks, otoliths N - \cdot C1 debris N - \cdot C2 debris N - \cdot C2 debris N - \cdot C1 N - \cdot C2 N - \cdot	33982 0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24							Υ	C1
id + beaks, 2 fish + otoliths N - N - V C2 N - N - V C2 N - Otoliths - T squid mantle, squid beaks, otoliths N C2 N C2 N - V C2 N	34086 2.91 1.75 1.15 6× bon	2.91 1.75 1.15 6× bon	1.75 1.15 6× bon	1.15 6× bon	6 × bon	fish, squid, fish es	added C1	overflow from C1	None	None	Y	C1
i, 7 squid mantle, squid beaks, otoliths N C2	34705 1.69 0.84 0.86 7 sc	1.69 0.84 0.86 7 sc	0.84 0.86 7 sc	0.86 7 sc	7 sc	quid + beaks, 2 fish + ot	oliths			Z	ı	
debris N C1	34712 2.83 1.51 1.29 2.fi	2.83 1.51 1.29 2 fi	1.51 1.29 2 fi	1.29 2 fi	2 fi	sh, 7 squid mantle, squi	d beaks, otolitl	ls			Z	C2
debris N C1	hin											
	35153 0.43 0.42 0.01 Pla	0.43 0.42 0.01 Pla	0.42 0.01 Pla	0.01 Pla	Pla	nt debris					Z	C1

TABLE 4. STOMACH CONTENTS.

CODE	PATH-	CSL			тоотн		
	OLOGY	CODE	WEIGHT	LENGTH	WIDTH	DEPTH	GLGs
	NO.		(g)	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	
Hector's dolphin							
WB03-01Chh	33976	H58/02	0.13	14	2.8	2.6	10
WB03-03Chh	34209	H61/02	0.06	7.8	1.8	1.7	1.5
WB03-05Chh	34363	H60/02	0.11	12.3	2.5	2.6	13
WB03-06Chh	34371	H56/02	0.11	9.3	3.1	2.7	15
WB03-07Chh	34399		0.12	12.7	2.5	2.6	9
WB03-08Chh	34400		0.12	12.6	2.7	2.7	5
WB03-10Chh	34462	H59/02	0.1	11.9	2.5	2.3	5
WB03-11Chh	34463	H62/02	0.09	12.4	2.6	2.5	1.5
WB03-16Chh	34679	H69/02	0.06	7.8	1.8	1.7	12
WB03-27Chh	34851	H63/02	0.1	12.2	2.8	2.9	3
WB03-28Chh	34854	H68/03	0.12	12	3.2	2.8	6*
Maui's dolphin							
WB03-19Chm	34780	H70/03	0.12	12.3	3	2.9	*
Common dolphin							
WB03-02Dd	33982		0.15	13.7	3.6	3.2	4
WB03-04Dd	34086	84	0.16	14.4	3	3.6	*
WB03-17Dd	34705	1026	0.15	16.2	3.5	3.1	3 to 4*
WB03-18Dd	34712	1028	0.16	14.5	3.7	3	8
Bottlenose dolphin	l						
WB03-40Tt	35153		0.6	21.7	7.3	7	*

TABLE 5. AGE ESTIMATION BASED ON DENTINAL GROWTH LAYER GROUPS (GLG) FOR CETACEANS, 2002/03.

* To be re-cut and read due to poor quality of tooth sections.

dentine of most animals. The accepted protocol for small cetaceans is that one dark band (stained) and one light band (unstained) constitute one year's growth (Perrin & Myrick 1980; Slooten 1991). Based on this assumption, the Hector's dolphins ranged in age from one and a half years to 15 years old.

The common dolphins ranged from animals three or four years old to one male that was eight years old. The bottlenose dolphin is one of the animals for which a second tooth is being sectioned.

3.4 REPRODUCTIVE STATUS

Females

Morphometric data on reproductive tracts are given in Table 6. Hector's dolphin WB03-06Chh (H56/02) was badly decomposed with loss of much of the genital area and mammary glands. The ovaries were present, however they were inactive, without evidence of ovulation or corpora (Table 6). The reproductive tract and mammary glands of WB03-10Chh (H59/02) were immature. These findings are similar to those for female Hector's dolphins, 6 years and younger, reported by Slooten (1991) and also consistent with those of immature female

dolphins, 5 years or younger, from previous bycatch reports (Duignan et al. 2003, 2004). The gonads of three dolphins (H58/02 and H60/02) had been scavenged or decomposed and were not available for examination.

The common dolphin had a 13 mm corpus albicans in the left ovary but the uterus was not well developed and milk was not present in the mammary glands. This dolphin was estimated to be four years old and may be at the end of puberty and showing the first evidence of ovarian activity.

TABLE 6. FEMALE REPRODUCTIVE TRACT MORPHOMETRICS AND CHARACTERISTICS, 2002/03.

CODE	PATH- OLOGY	WT	RIGHT OV	CA	CL	WT	LEFT OV L×W×D	CARY CA	CL	GRAVID	MILK
	NO.	(g)	(mm)			(g)	(mm)			(Y/N)	(Y/N)
Hector's dolphin	l	1								1	
WB03-01Chh	33976	-	scavenged	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WB03-05Chh	34363	-	scavenged	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WB03-06Chh	34371	1	27×12×7			1	27×12×10			Ν	Ν
WB03-10Chh	34462	0.5	22×10×2	-	-	0.7	25×10×4	-	-	Ν	Ν
Common dolphi	n										
WB03-02Dd	33982	2	30×14×14	-	-	4.9	39×21×18	13 mm diameter	-	Ν	Ν

CA = Corpus albicans. CL = Corpus luteum.

Males

The gonads were examined for four male Hector's dolphins and one Maui's dolphin. Of these, one animal (WB03-07Chh) had testes with histological features consistent with transition from puberty to maturity. The summed testicular weight of 198 g is below the range previously found for fully mature combined testicular mass (266 g-1210 g) as reported by Slooten (1991) and Duignan et al. (2003), but similar to that of a mature-inactive male that had a combined testicular mass of 185 g (Duignan et al. 2003). Although the gradation between immature, pubertal and mature is probably indistinct, pubescent males would be expected to have an intermediate combined testicular mass ranging from 21 g to 30 g. In previous studies the combined testicular mass for the gonads of immature dolphins ranged from 10.9 g to 29.6 g (Duignan et al. 2003, 2004).

Of the three male common dolphins, one (WB03-04Dd) was sexually mature with active spermatogenesis and summed testicular mass of 1078 g. This dolphin was also of mature body size at 2.06 m standard length. Active gonads are consistent with its capture date in mid October as most reproductive activity for this species occurs in spring and summer (Watson 1981; Leatherwood et al. 1983). The two remaining dolphins were shorter in body length and had markedly smaller testes at 56 g and 66 g summed mass respectively. The gonads were mature but inactive and consistent with their time of death in the autumn as both were caught on 30 April. The bottlenose dolphin had an immature reproductive tract (Table 7).

TABLE 7.	MALE	REPRODUCTIVE	MORPHOMETRICS	AND	CHARACTERISTICS, 2002/03.	

	PATH-	ŀ	RIGHT TEST	IS]	LEFT TESTIS	3	SUMMED
CODE	OLOGY NO.	WT+EPID (g)	WT-EPID (g)	L×W×D (mm)	WT+EPID (g)	WT-EPID (g)	L×DIAMETER (mm)	TESTICULAR WT (g)
Hector's dolphin								
WB03-03Chh*	34209	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WB03-07Chh	34399	94	74	129×28	104	89	106×30	198
WB03-08Chh	34400	13	9	70×19	17	12	81×19	30
WB03-11Chh	34463	8	6	49×12	9	7	56×13	17
WB03-27Chh	34851	11	7	53×15	10	7	54×14	21
Maui's dolphin								
WB03-19Chm	34780	52	39	105×30×21	52	38	99×32×27	104
Common dolphir	ı							
WB03-04Dd	34086	568.0	517.0	263×60	510.0	478.0	270×59	1078.0
WB03-17Dd	34705	29.0	22.0	85×19	27.0	20.0	85×22	56.0
WB03-18Dd	34712	34.1	19.1	103×22	32.2	28.7	105×21	66.3
Bottlenose dolph	in							
WB03-40Tt	35153	5	4	48×9	6	3	55×11	11

* Gonads decomposed.

3.5 PATHOLOGY

Entanglement-related pathology is included in Table 8. It should be noted that freezing can compromise the interpretation of subtle pathological changes and make the determination of cause of death difficult.

Of the four female Hector's dolphins, only one (H59/02) showed clear evidence of having died as a result of fishing operations. This animal had net marks on its skin, subcutaneous trauma, and respiratory congestion and oedema characteristic of asphyxiation. This animal had been found beachcast on Farewell Spit. An incidental finding in this animal was lungworm infection. The remaining females were either too autolysed to permit detection of possible entanglement related pathology or had been badly scavenged. Thus, the probability of their having been bycaught is unknown.

Five male Hector's dolphins were examined. Two (H62/02 and WB03-07Chh) were known to have died after entanglement in fishing gear and they had epidermal and pulmonary pathology consistent with this. Both also had evidence of blunt trauma. H63/02 had similar pathology although it was found beachcast in Westport. Thus the probability of entanglement for this dolphin is also high. WB03-08Chh and H61/02 are less likely to have died as a result of fishing operations. Both had evidence of blunt trauma with the juvenile H61/02 having trauma to the head and neck that may have been the result of boat strike or aggression from other dolphins, predator attack (killer whales), or trauma in rough seas. WB03-08Chh had severe parasitic pneumonia that may have had a role in its demise.

		PATH-	DOC	ENTANGLEMENT	-RELATED PATHOLOGY					
	CODE	OLOGY NO.	CODE	GROSS	ENTANGLEMENT PROBABILITY					
LEGEND	Hector's dolphin-	-Female								
1 = Respiratory congestion	WB03-01Chh*	33976	H58/02	Too autolysed	Unknown					
and oedema	WB03-05Chh*	34363	H60/02		Unknown					
2 = Pulmonary emphysema	WB03-06Chh*	34371	H56/02	7	Unknown					
3 = Trauma (contusion +/- free blood in abdomen)	WB03-10Chh	34462	H59/02	1,3,5	High					
4 = Foreign matter in lungs	Hector's dolphin-	-Male								
5 = External net	WB03-03Chh*	34209	H61/02	3	Low					
entanglement marks	WB03-07Chh	34399		1,3,5	High					
6 = Regurgitated food in	WB03-08Chh	34400		1,3	Low					
oesophagus	WB03-11Chh	34463	H62/02	1,3,5	High					
7 = Bone fractures	WB03-27Chh	34851	H63/02	1,3,5	High					
* = Marked decomposition										
	Hector's dolphin-	-Gender unk	nown							
	WB03-16Chh*	34679		Too autolysed	Unknown					
	WB03-28Chh*	34854		Too autolysed	Unknown					
	Maui's dolphin—Male									
	WB03-19Chm	34780	H70/03	1,2,3	Low					
	Common dolphin—Female									
	WB03-02Dd	33982		5	High					
	Common dolphin—Male									
	WB03-04Dd	34086	84	1,3,5	High					
	WB03-17Dd	34705	1026	1,3,5	High					
	WB03-18Dd	34712	1028	1,3,5,7	High					
	Bottlenose dolphi	n								
	WB03-40Tt	35153		1,5	High					

TABLE 8. PATHOLOGY OF CETACEANS, 2002/03.

The juvenile male Maui's dolphin died from natural causes with severe fungal pneumonia caused by *Aspergillus fumigatus* infection.

All of the common dolphins were known bycatch. Their pathology is consistent with this in that all have epidermal net marks, evidence of acute blunt trauma, and acute pulmonary and tracheal congestion, oedema, and haemorrhage. WB03-18Dd also had a fractured flipper.

The bottlenose dolphin was found beachcast with fishing gear entangled around its rostrum and embedded in the gingival at the commisures of the mouth. The latter, along with drag caused by the mass of gear, prevented feeding and this animal was severely emaciated. Its ultimate cause of death was respiratory failure as indicated by markedly congested and oedematous lungs.

4. Discussion

The dolphins examined for this contract were received frozen and double bagged. In general the packaging was of a high standard and the animals were identified by CSL observer or Independent Fisheries Ltd data sheets, or by orange tags attached around the tail-stock. The orange tags around the tail-stock of Hector's dolphins were very effective for animal identification. It was beneficial having a list of animals being shipped forwarded by e-mail to allow a cross check between animals shipped and those received. In that way, any animal that arrived without a CSL tag or stranding form could be traced. From a health and safety perspective the packaging was sufficient to prevent contamination of the environment by the carcasses provided they are maintained frozen. Two carcasses were submitted chilled but not frozen. This is ideal for pathology and is recommended for animals originating on the North Island where shipping chilled carcasses should be possible logistically. A second originated in Timaru, but was transported personally by Al Hutt to avoid having it frozen and to facilitate a speedy diagnosis.

The life history characteristics of the common dolphin are similar to those examined in previous CSL contracts (Duignan et al. 2003, 2004), and in previous studies (Leatherwood et al. 1983). The three male dolphins had not attained full adult length and only the largest appears to have attained gonadal maturity. The female common dolphin was the largest of the four common dolphins submitted and she had attained gonadal maturity at four years. The common dolphins were all caught as a result of commercial fishing activities and had cutaneous, soft tissue, and pulmonary lesions suggestive of blunt trauma, entanglement and asphyxiation.

The Hector's dolphins caught by commercial or recreational nets and those found beachcast were from areas of the west and east coasts of the South Island, areas which have a high Hector's dolphin population (Slooten & Dawson 1994; Slooten et al. 2002). A single Maui's dolphin from the west coast of the North Island where a relict population occurs (Ferreira & Roberts 2003), was also submitted for necropsy. Morphological features of these animals were consistent with those reported previously for Cephalorhynchus hectori (Morzer-Bruyns & Baker 1973; Slooten 1991; Slooten & Dawson 1994). The life history data collected from these dolphins complements data from 12 animals examined in 1999, 16 examined in 2000, and 18 in 2001, and 10 from 2002 (Duignan et al. 2003, 2004). The sex ratio of dolphins submitted was equal, as compared to a bias in previous years with males comprising 62% of the animals submitted in 2001, 56% in 2000, and 83% in 1999. This male bias over the previous three years differs from a female bias reported by Slooten (1991). Whether the bias represents a population bias or a sampling artefact is unknown. There was also a bias towards younger and immature animals as in previous studies based on bycatch and beachcast animals (Slooten 1991; Dawson 1991; Duignan et al. 2003, 2004).

Determination of the species of fish and invertebrates ingested by the dolphins was beyond the scope of this investigation, but all hard parts removed from the stomachs have been archived for future studies. As in previous years, the stomach contents of Hector's and Maui's dolphins have been archived for Kirsty Russell, Auckland University, for studies on foraging. Stomach contents of Hector's dolphins were similar to those examined by Duignan et al. (2003). The remains predominately consisted of indigestible teleost fish bones and otoliths and invertebrate carapaces. Fish predominated in the stomachs of Hector's and Maui's dolphins, but fish and squid were equally represented in the stomach of common dolphins. The bottlenose dolphin was so emaciated that there were no recognizable food remains in its stomach.

The principle of age determination in cetaceans based on counting growth layers or annuli in teeth is commonly used on a variety of species (Perrin & Myrick 1980). Although widely used the technique is subject to difficulties in methodology, interpretation, reader variability, variability among teeth, and the lack of known age animals (Dapson 1980). The method used to section teeth can also introduce marked biases into the interpretation of age. For consistency with earlier studies this investigation employed a method previously used to age Hector's dolphins (Slooten 1991) that is based on paraffin embedding of decalcified teeth followed by thin sectioning. It is a particularly difficult method and inferior to methods used on other small cetaceans such as the related Commerson's dolphin (Lockyer et al. 1988). Consultation with Dr Lockyer (Age Dynamics, Denmark) in August 2003, and future collaboration with her in 2004, will probably see a revision of the methodology employed at Massey especially in light of difficulties experienced with some teeth for this study.

Entanglement in fishing gear may result in traumatic lesions immediately apparent in the exterior of the carcass such as abrasions, amputations, penetrating wounds and fracture of limb bones, mandibles or teeth (Kuiken 1994; Kuiken et al. 1994; Garcia Hartman et al. 1994). For cetaceans, diagnosis of the actiology is relatively simple because the sensitive hairless skin is easily damaged and characteristic net marks are often left as impression marks around the rostrum, melon and flippers or dorsal fin. Acute blunt trauma to the body may result in contusions, haemorrhage, and skeletal fractures that are apparent at necropsy. More specific are the cardio-pulmonary changes associated with asphyxiation. These changes include diffuse pulmonary oedema, congestion, emphysema, blood-stained froth in airways and pleural congestion. There may also be congestion of pericardial vessels, ecchymotic haemorrhages on the endocardium or epicardium; and on histology, hyper-contraction of myofibres is seen along with fibre fragmentation and vacuolation (Lunt & Rose 1987). Cutaneous lesions, characteristic of net marks, were observed on four Hector's dolphins, all of the common dolphins and the bottlenose dolphin. Three Hector's dolphins were too decomposed to definitely determine any skin pathology and two appeared not to have net impressions on the skin. However, net marks are not always evident on dolphins known to have been entangled and there should be some caution in the interpretation of this finding (Duignan et al. 2003).

Acute pulmonary lesions indicative of asphyxiation were present in both Hector's dolphins and in the bottlenose and common dolphins known to have died as a result of capture in fishing gear. These lesions took the form of acute diffuse congestion and oedema of the lungs, congestion and haemorrhage in the airways, and blood-stained froth in the airways. These animals also appeared to have acute subendocardial cardiomyopathy (hyper-contraction and fibre fragmentation) of the thickest part of the left ventricular wall consistent with coagulative myocytolysis or coagulative necrosis. Both lesions are morphologically similar particularly in the peracute to acute stage of lesion development. Generally cardiac lesions take hours to develop to a stage where necrosis is unequivocal. In humans with myocardial infarction, necrosis is not seen for up to twelve hours post infarction (Kumar et al. 1992). However ultrastructural changes as determined by electron microscopy can be seen after two hours. Electron microscopy cannot be carried out on pre-frozen tissue. Thus too little time may elapse between the onset of a lesion in the dolphin myocardium and the death of the animal. Freezing may also induce changes that can be confused with true lesions. This problem can only be addressed by conducting necropsies on fresh unfrozen dolphins as soon as possible after death by entanglement.

Of the nine Hector's dolphins that were beachcast, two (22%) have a high probability, based on observed lesions, of having died as a result of entanglement in fishing gear. One juvenile animal appears to have died suddenly from blunt trauma, but the origin of that trauma could not be determined. Two others had severe parasitic pneumonia and that may have played a role in their death. The remaining four animals were too decomposed to determine cause of death. The Maui's dolphin died as a result of severe pulmonary infection by the opportunistic terrestrial fungus, Aspergillus *fumigatus*. In this case the fungus invaded the pulmonary artery from the lung and caused intra thoracic haemorrhage that caused death. Aspergillosis is extremely rare in dolphins worldwide, but has been reported in striped dolphins, Stenella coeruleoalba, and bottlenose dolphins debilitated by morbillivirus infection (Domingo et al. 1992; Lipscomb et al. 1994). A previous case was reported for a juvenile male Hector's dolphin with fulminating pulmonary and cerebral aspergillosis (Duignan et al. 2003). In neither case was morbillivirus implicated based on virus isolation and immunohistochemical staining of tissues for morbillivirus antigen (Duignan et al. manuscript in preparation). However, the underlying cause for immunosuppression in Hector's and Maui's dolphins remains unresolved.

5. Acknowledgements

Carcasses were submitted by government observers (Ministry of Fisheries), Jim Lilley (Marine Watch), and DOC staff: J. Fyfe, A. Hutt, M. Morrissey, K. McLeod, S. Mowbray, D. Neale, H. Stoffregen, and C. Wood. Assistance with necropsies, sorting and identifying stomach was provided on occasion by A. Castinel, F. Sapriza, and M. Stratton. Pat Davey assisted with tooth sectioning and prepared histological slides. Our thanks to the following: L. Rogers for bacteriology, B. Adlington for parasitology, D. Knight for virology, Dr Christina Lockyer, Age

Dynamic, Denmark, for consultation on age determination. Wendy Graham provided secretarial assistance. We acknowledge the goodwill of the Department of Pathobiology and the Institute of Veterinary Animal and Biomedical Sciences in provision of facilities, storage space, use of the chiller, space for freezers. M. Hogan is particularly helpful in assisting with carcasses and waste disposal. The research described in these reports was funded by DOC (Science Investigation nos 96/3040 and 99/3025).

6. References

- Bacha, W.J.; Wood, L.M. 1990. Color atlas of veterinary histology. Lea & Febiger, Philadelphia. Pp. 207-230.
- Baker, A.N. 1978. The status of Hector's dolphin, *Cephalorhynchus bectori* (van Beneden), in New Zealand waters. *Report of the International Whaling Commission 28*: 331-334.
- Baker, A.N. 1999. Whales and dolphins of New Zealand and Australia. Victoria University Press, Wellington. 115 p.
- Baker, A.N.; Smith, A.H. Pichler, F.B. 2002. Geographical variation in Hector's dolphin: recognition of new subspecies of *Cephalorbynchus hectori*. *Journal of the Royal Society* of New Zealand 32 (4): 713–727.
- Collet, A.; Saint Girons, H. 1984. Preliminary study of the male reproductive cycle in common dolphins, *Delphinus delphis*, in the eastern North Atlantic. *Report of the International Whaling Commission, Special Issue 6*: 355–360.
- Dapson, R.D. 1980. Guidelines for statistical usage in age estimation techniques. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 44: 541-548.
- Dawson, S.M. 1991. Incidental catch of Hector's dolphin in inshore gillnets. *Marine Mammal Science* 7(3): 283-295.
- Dawson, S.M.; Slooten, E. 1992. Conservation of Hector's dolphins: a review of studies that led to the establishment of the Bank's Peninsula marine mammal Sanctuary. *Canterbury Conservancy Technical Report Series 4*. Department of Conservation, Canterbury, New Zealand.
- Domingo, M.; Visa, J.; Pumarola, M.; Marco, A.J.; Ferrer, L.; Rabanal, R.; Kennedy, S. 1992. Pathologic and immunocytochemical studies of morbillivirus infection in striped dolphins (*Stenella coeruleoalba*). *Veterinary Pathology 29*: 1–10.
- Duignan, P.J.; Gibbs, N.J.; Jones, G.W. 2003. Autopsy of cetaceans incidentally caught in fishing operations 1997/98, 1999/2000, and 2000/01. DOC Science Internal Series 119. 66 p.
- Duignan, P.J.; Gibbs, N.J.; Jones, G.W. 2004. Autopsy of cetaceans incidentally caught in commercial fisheries, and all beachcast specimens of Hector's dolphins, 2001/02. DOC Science Internal Series 176. 28 p.
- Ferreira, S.M.; Roberts, C.C. 2003. Distribution and abundance of Maui's dolphins (Cephalorhynchus hectori maui) along the North Island west cost, New Zealand. DOC Science Internal Series 93: 19 p.
- Garcia Hartmann, M.; Couperus, A.S.; Addink, M.J. 1994. The diagnosis of by-catch: preliminary results of research in the Netherlands. *European Cetacean Society Newsletter, Special Issue 26*: 16-26.
- Geraci, J.R.; Lounsbury, V.J. 1993. Marine mammals ashore: A field guide for strandings. Texas A&M Sea Grant Publications, Galveston. Pp. 175-228.

- Harrison, R.J.; Brownell, R.L.; Boice R.C. 1972. Reproduction and gonadal appearances in some odontocetes. Pp. 361-429 in Harrison, R.J. (Ed.) Functional anatomy of marine mammals. vol. 1. Academic Press, London.
- Jefferson, T.A.; Myrick, A.C.; Chivers, S.J. 1994. Small cetacean dissection and sampling: a field guide. *National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Technical Memorandum, National Marine Fisheries Service-Southwest Fisheries Science Centre 198.*
- Kuiken, T. 1994. A review of the criteria for the diagnosis of by-catch in cetaceans. *European Cetacean Society Newsletter Special Issue 26*: 38-43.
- Kuiken, T.; Simpson, V.R.; Allchin, C.R.; Bennett, P.M.; Codd, G.A.; Harris, E.A.; Howes, G.J.; Kennedy, S.; Kirkwood, J.K.; Law, R.J.; Merrett, N.R.; Philipps, S. 1994. Mass mortality of common dolphins (*Delphinus delphis*) in south west England due to incidental capture in fishing gear. *The Veterinary Record* 134: 81–89.
- Kumar, V.; Cotran, R.S.; Robbins, S.L. (Eds) 1992. Basic pathology. 5th edition. W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia. Pp. 308-313.
- Leatherwood, S.; Reeves, R.R; Foster, L. 1983. The Sierra Club handbook of whales and dolphins. Dai Nippon Printing, Tokyo, Japan. Pp. 200–203.
- Lipscomb, T.P.; Schulman, F.Y.; Moffatt, D.; Kennedy, S. 1994. Morbilliviral disease in Atlantic dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) from the 1987-1988 epizootic. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases 30*: 567-571.
- Lockyer, C.; Goodall, R.N.P.; Galeazzi, A.R. 1988. Age and body length characteristics of *Cephalorhynchus commersoni* from incidentally caught specimens off Tierra del Fuego. *Reports of the International Whaling Commission, Special Issue 9*: 103-118.
- Lockyer, C.; Smellie, C.G. 1985. Assessment of reproductive status of female fin and sei whales taken off Iceland, from a histological examination of the uterine mucosa. *Reports of the International Whaling Commission* 35: 343-348.
- Lunt, D.W.; Rose, A.G. 1987. Pathology of the human heart in drowning. Archives of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine 111: 939-942.
- Marsh, H.; Kasuya, T. 1984. Changes in ovaries of the short-finned pilot whale *Globicephala macrorhynchus*, with age and reproductive activity. *Reports of the International Whaling Commission, Special Issue 6*: 311-335.
- Mörzer Bryuns, W.F.J.; Baker, A.N. 1973. Notes on Hector's dolphins *Cephalorbynchus hectori* (van Beneden) from New Zealand. *Records of the Dominion Museum* 8: 125-137.
- Perrin, W.F.; Myrick, A.C. Jr. (Eds).1980. Age determination of toothed whales and sirenians. *Reports of the International Whaling Commission, Special Issue 3.* 281 p.
- Pichler, F.; Baker, C.S.; Dawson, S.M.; Slooten, E. 1998. Geographic isolation of Hector's dolphin populations described by mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Conservation Biology* 12: 676– 682.
- Slooten, E. 1991. Age, growth and reproduction in Hector's dolphins. Canadian Journal of Zoology 69: 1689-1700.
- Slooten, E.; Dawson, S.M. 1994. Hector's dolphin *Cephalorhynchus hectori* (van Beneden, 1881).
 Pp. 311-333 in Ridgway, S.H.; Harrison, R. (Eds) Handbook of marine mammals. vol. 5.
 Academic Press, London.
- Slooten, E.; Lad, F. 1990. Population biology and conservation of Hector's dolphin. Canadian Journal of Zoology 69:1701-1707.
- Slooten, E.; Dawson, S.; Rayment, W. 2002. Quantifying abundance of Hector's dolphins between Farewell Spit and Milford Sound. DOC Science Internal Series 35. 18 p.
- Watson, L. 1981. Sea guide to whales of the world. Hutchinson, London. Pp. 270-277.